

period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 3, 2002.

**Faryar Shirzad,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-22843 Filed 9-6-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A-570-815]**

#### Sulfanilic Acid from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 9, 2002.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holly Hawkins or Sean Carey, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0414 or (202) 482-3964, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

##### Background

On August 27, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from respondent Zhenxing Chemical Company to conduct an administrative review. The Department also received a request on August 30, 2001 from petitioner, Nation Ford Chemical Company (NFC), to conduct an administrative review of Zhenxing Chemical Company. On October 1, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sulfanilic acid from the People's Republic of China, covering the period

August 1, 2000 through July 31, 2001 (67 FR 31770). On May 10, 2002, the Department published its preliminary results of this administrative review (67 FR 31770).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Because of the complexities involved in this review, including the need to analyze new public information on factor valuation timely submitted by the parties since the preliminary results of review, it is not practical to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the final results of review from September 7, 2002 to November 15, 2002.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777 (i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 29, 2002.

**Joe Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 02-22839 Filed 9-6-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Federal Consistency Appeal by Millennium Pipeline Company From an Objection by the New York Department of State

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (Commerce).

**ACTION:** Notice of appeal, request for comments, notice of availability of appeal documents, and notice of public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The Millennium Pipeline Company has filed an administrative appeal with the Department of Commerce asking that the Secretary of Commerce override the State of New York's objection to Millennium's proposed natural gas pipeline. The pipeline would extend from the Canadian border in Lake Erie and cross the Hudson River, affecting the natural resources or land and water uses of New York's coastal zone. This document: (a) Provides public notice of the appeal; (b) announces an opportunity for public comment on the appeal; (c) identifies locations where documents comprising the appeal record will be available for

review; and (d) provides notice of a public hearing for the appeal.

**DATES:** Public comments on the appeal must be received by December 2, 2002. A public hearing for the appeal is scheduled for November 13, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** All email comments on issues relevant to the Secretary's decision of this appeal may be submitted to

*Millennium.comments@noaa.gov.*

Comments may also be sent by mail to the Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Ocean Services, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1305 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Materials from the appeal record will be available at the Internet site <http://www.ogc.doc.gov/czma.htm> and at the Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Ocean Services. Also, public filings made by the parties to the appeal may be available at the offices of the New York Department of State, Office of General Counsel, 41 State Street, 8th Floor, Albany, NY. The public hearing will be held at the Hilton Tarrytown Hotel, 455 South Broadway, Tarrytown, New York.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karl Gleaves, Assistant General Counsel for Ocean Services, via email at *gcos.inquiries@noaa.gov*, or at 301-713-2967, extension 186.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Notice of Appeal

Millennium Pipeline Company, L.P. (Millennium or Appellant) filed a notice of appeal with the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) pursuant to section 307(c)(3)(A) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA), as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1451 *et seq.*, and the Department of Commerce's implementing regulations, 15 CFR Part 930, Subpart H, (revised, effective January 8, 2001). The appeal is taken from an objection by the New York Department of State (State) to Millennium's consistency certification for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission permits to construct and operate a natural gas pipeline. The certification indicates that the project is consistent with the State's coastal management program. The project would traverse Lake Erie and cross the Hudson River, affecting the natural resources or land and water uses of New York's coastal zone.

The Appellant requests that the Secretary override the State's consistency objections for a procedural reason, concerning the timing of the State's objection to the Millennium