

Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or TTY, (202) 208-1659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: September 9, 2002.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-22711 Filed 9-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-429-000]

Enbridge Pipelines (AlaTenn) Inc.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 30, 2002.

Take notice that on August 23, 2002, Enbridge Pipelines (AlaTenn) Inc. (AlaTenn), 1100 Louisiana, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP02-429-000, a request pursuant to 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2) (18 CFR Sections 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2)) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA), for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point facilities to serve an end-user in Madison County, Alabama under AlaTenn's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP85-359-000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659.

Specifically, AlaTenn states that it is seeking authority to construct, own and operate new delivery point facilities on its existing 12-inch Mainline and 10-inch Loop Line to accommodate natural gas deliveries to Metal Working Products, a division of TDY Industries, Inc. (MWP), in Madison County, Alabama. AlaTenn states that MWP presently receives its natural gas service from Huntsville Utilities, the local

distribution company. According to AlaTenn, the proposed facilities will be used to transport up to 1,200 Dth of natural gas per day on a firm basis to MWP, which will be within AlaTenn's certificated level of services. AlaTenn further states that it will provide such firm transportation service on behalf of its marketing affiliate, Enbridge Marketing (US) Inc. under its existing authorized FT Rate Schedule, and within certificated entitlements. According to AlaTenn, the proposed facilities will not have an impact on AlaTenn's peak day deliveries, and that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed transportation service without detriment or disadvantage to its existing customers. AlaTenn states that the total estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$71,490, will be totally reimbursed by MWP, and that the volumes will be transported under AlaTenn's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP89-2201-000.

Any questions concerning this request may be directed to Claudia Schrull, Director of Regulatory Affairs, Enbridge Pipelines (AlaTenn) Inc., 1100 Louisiana, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002 at (713) 821-2045.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and, pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed time for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-22707 Filed 9-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP02-427-000]

Honeoye Storage Corporation; Notice of Application

August 30, 2002.

Take notice that on August 20, 2002, Honeoye Storage Corporation (Honeoye), c/o HALLC, 55 Union Street, 4th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02108, filed an application in the above captioned docket seeking a certificate of public convenience and necessity and related authorizations pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and the Commission's Rules and Regulations thereunder. Honeoye's application requests that the Commission issue an order authorizing Honeoye to make a well modification and increase the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) of its compressor station and field gathering system as described in the application. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659. Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Richard A. Norman, Vice-President, Honeoye Storage Corporation, c/o EHALLC, 55 Union Street, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02108 (617) 367-0032.

Honeoye's application states that it does not seek to increase the existing certificated storage capacity or injection/withdrawal deliverability of its facility. Honeoye also indicated that the proposed activities will improve operational efficiency of its storage reservoir located in Ontario County, New York within existing certificated limits. Honeoye asserts that while it has met all of its service obligations, it is unable to completely fill the storage reservoir during the injection cycle to its certificated capacity because of limits on the existing MAOP. In addition, Honeoye states that it has experienced a decline in deliverability during late stages of withdrawal from the Honeoye facility because of the installation of smaller casing/tubing sizes in certain injection/withdrawal wells.

Consequently, Honeoye proposes to increase the MAOP of its compressor station and field gathering system from its presently authorized limit of 1045 psia to 1322 psia to improve injection rates during late stages of injection.

Honeoye further proposes to laterally extend the existing Roberts #3 injection/withdrawal well in order to enhance deliverability during the withdrawal season. Honeoye states that these modifications will enhance the injection and withdrawal capability of the Honeoye facility while permitting Honeoye to remain within its certificated limitation of Maximum Quantity Stored of 6,718.4 MDth and Maximum Daily Withdrawal Quantity of 55,880 MDth/d. The cost of the proposed project is \$548,500, which will be financed with funds on hand, funds generated internally, borrowing under revolving credit agreements, or short-term financing which will be rolled into permanent financing.

Honeoye states that all proposed work will be completed on or beneath land and existing right of ways and leases which it now owns, and therefore, Honeoye indicates that this application does not require the exercise of the right of eminent domain.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before September 23, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceedings. Only parties to the proceedings can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-22705 Filed 9-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-125-000]

KeySpan Energy Development Corporation, KeySpan-Ravenswood, LLC, New York Power Authority, Electric Power Supply Association and Independent Power Producers of New York, Inc., Complainants v. New York Independent System Operator, Inc., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 30, 2002.

Take notice that on August 28, 2002, KeySpan Energy Development Corporation, KeySpan-Ravenswood, LLC, the New York Power Authority, Electric Power Supply Association and Independent Power Producers of New York, Inc. filed a Complaint against the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (NYISO) requesting that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission direct the NYISO to (1) prepare a revised Cost Allocation Report for the Class of 2001 that complies with the NYISO Open Access Transmission Tariff with revised allocations of the cost of system upgrade facilities and (2) prepare cost allocation reports for succeeding years in compliance with the Commission's decision in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before September 17, 2002. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659. The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The