

The form also may be found at the website above.

Estimate of Burden: 15 minutes.

Respondents: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Responses: 50.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 12.5 hours, based on 15 minutes per respondent.

Frequency of Responses: On occasion.

Dated: August 29, 2002.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 02-22591 Filed 9-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

Regular Board of Directors Meeting

Time & Date: 2 p.m. Tuesday, September 10, 2002.

Place: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, 1325 G Street, NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005.

Status: Open.

Contact Person for More Information: Jeffrey T. Bryson, General Counsel/Secretary, 202-220-2372.

Agenda:

I. Call to Order

II. Approval of Minutes: May 20, 2002, Annual Meeting

IX. Personnel Committee Report 7/11/02

X. Audit Committee Report 7/11/02

XI. Budget Committee Report 7/20/02

XII. Treasurer's Report

XIII. Executive Director's Report

VII-A. Campaign for Homeownership Update

XIV. Adjournment

Jeffrey T. Bryson,

General Counsel/Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-22662 Filed 8-30-02; 4:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 7570-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287]

Duke Energy Corp.; Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of amendments to Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55, issued to Duke Energy Corporation (the licensee), for operation of Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, located in Seneca, South Carolina. Therefore, as required

by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is administrative in nature and would incorporate several editorial changes in the Technical Specifications.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated July 11, 2002.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action corrects several errors in the Technical Specifications.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there are no environmental impacts.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 dated March 1972 and the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact

Statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 2), dated December 9, 1999.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On August 7, 2002, the staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Mr. Henry Porter of the Division of Waste Management, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated July 11, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of August 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Leonard N. Olshan,

Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02-22597 Filed 9-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-254]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(4)(ii) for Facility Operating License No. DPR-29, issued to Exelon Generation