

1128A(a)(5) for two kinds of arrangements:

- *Complimentary local transportation.* The OIG is considering proposing a new exception for complimentary local transportation offered to beneficiaries residing in the provider's primary catchment area. The proposal would permit some complimentary local transportation of greater than nominal value. However, the exception would not cover luxury or specialized transportation, including limousines or ambulances (but would permit vans specially outfitted to transport wheelchairs). The proposed exception may include transportation to the office or facility of a provider other than the donor; however, such arrangements may implicate the anti-kickback statute insofar as they confer a benefit on a provider that is a potential referral source for the party providing the transportation.

- *Government-sponsored clinical trials.* The OIG may propose a new exception for free goods and services (possibly including waivers of copayments) in connection with certain clinical trials that are principally sponsored by the National Institutes of Health or another component of the Department of Health and Human Services.

The OIG is reviewing its pending proposal (65 FR 25460) to permit certain dialysis providers to purchase Medicare supplemental insurance for financially needy persons in the light of the principles established in this Bulletin.

While the OIG does not expect at this time to propose any additional regulatory exceptions related to unadvertised waivers of copayments and deductibles, the OIG recognizes that such waivers occur in a wide variety of circumstances, some of which do not present a significant risk of fraud and abuse. The OIG encourages the industry to bring these situations to our attention through the advisory opinion process. Instructions for requesting an OIG advisory opinion are available on the OIG Web site at <http://oig.hhs.gov/advopn/index.htm>.

Finally, the OIG reiterates that nothing in section 1128A(a)(5) prevents an independent entity, such as a patient advocacy group, from providing free or other valuable services or remuneration to financially needy beneficiaries, even if the benefits are funded by providers, so long as the independent entity makes an independent determination of need and the beneficiary's receipt of the remuneration does not depend, directly or indirectly, on the beneficiary's use of any particular provider. The OIG has approved several such arrangements

through the advisory opinion process, including the American Kidney Fund's program to assist needy patients with end stage renal disease with funds donated by dialysis providers. (See, e.g., OIG Advisory Opinion No. 97-1 and No. 02-1.)

Conclusion

Congress has broadly prohibited offering remuneration to Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries, subject to limited, well-defined exceptions. To the extent that providers have programs in place that do not meet any exception, the OIG, in exercising its enforcement discretion, will take into consideration whether the providers terminate prohibited programs expeditiously following publication of this Bulletin.

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) was established at the Department of Health and Human Services by Congress in 1976 to identify and eliminate fraud, abuse, and waste in the Department's programs and to promote efficiency and economy in departmental operations. The OIG carries out this mission through a nationwide program of audits, investigations, and inspections.

The Fraud and Abuse Control Program, established by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), authorized the OIG to provide guidance to the health care industry to prevent fraud and abuse and to promote the highest level of ethical and lawful conduct. To further these goals, the OIG issues Special Advisory Bulletins about industry practices or arrangements that potentially implicate the fraud and abuse authorities subject to enforcement by the OIG.

Dated: August 8, 2002.

Janet Rehnquist,

Inspector General.

[FR Doc. 02-22124 Filed 8-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4152-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR 4736-N-12]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment—Lease Requirements, Recordkeeping

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* October 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control number and should be sent to: Mildred M. Hamman, Reports Liaison Officer, Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 4249, Washington, DC 20410-5000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mildred M. Hamman, (202) 708-3642, extension 4128. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Lease Requirements—24 CFR 966.4, Recordkeeping.

OMB Control Number: 2577-0006.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: HUD regulations 24 CFR 966.4 prescribe the provisions that shall be incorporated in leases by public housing agencies (PHAs) for dwelling units assisted under the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 in projects owned by or leased to PHAs to the tenants. This recordkeeping requirement imposed upon PHAs by HUD regulations and associated information incidental to PHAs' day-to-day operations as landlords of rental housing. If these minimal requirements were not imposed, the Federal Government would have no assurance that PHAs were adopting leases consistent with the law and regulations

and no assurance that tenants were being provided proper access to the PHA's grievance procedure.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: None.

Member of affected public: State or Local Government; individuals or households.

Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: 3,330 responses, one-time for new and modified leases, 48 average hours per response, 158,400 hours total recordkeeping burden.

Status of the proposed information collection: Reinstatement, without change.

Authority: Section 3506 of the paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: August 26, 2002.

Paula O. Blunt,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 02-22159 Filed 8-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4679-N-04]

Reduction in Certain FHA Multifamily Mortgage Insurance Premiums

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice lowers the mortgage insurance premiums (MIPs) for certain Federal Housing Administration (FHA) multifamily mortgage insurance programs whose commitments will be issued in Fiscal Year 2003, and republishes others at the rate that was in effect in Fiscal Year 2002.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* September 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments and responses to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the General Counsel, Room 10276, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410-0500. Communications should refer to the above docket number and title. Facsimile (FAX) responses are not acceptable. A copy of each response will be available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours (7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. eastern time) at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael McCullough, Director, Office of Multifamily Development, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, (202) 708-1142. Hearing or speech-impaired individuals may access these numbers via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877-8339 (this is a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The interim rule on "Mortgage Insurance Premiums in Multifamily Programs," published on July 2, 2001, at 66 **Federal Register** 35070, revised previous regulations that set mortgage insurance premiums (MIP) at a specific figure. The revision permits the Secretary to change an MIP within the full range of HUD's statutory authority of one fourth of one percent to one percent. This Notice announces, effective October 1, 2002, the mortgage insurance premiums to be in effect for FHA firm commitments issued, amended or reissued in Fiscal Year 2003, which are shown in the table below:

Multifamily loan program	FY 2003 basis points
Section 207—Multifamily Housing—New Construction/Substantial Rehabilitation	61
Section 207—Manufactured Home Parks	61
Section 220—Housing In Urban Renewal Areas	61
Section 221(d)(3)—Moderate Income Housing	80
Section 221(d)(4)—Moderate Income Housing	57
Section 223(a)(7)—Refinancing of Insured Multifamily Project	50
Section 223(d)—Operating Loss Loans	80
Section 207/223(f)—Purchase or Refinance Housing	50
Section 231—Housing for the Elderly	61
Section 232—Health Care Facilities	50
Section 232 pursuant to Section 223(f)—Purchase or Refinance Health Care Facilities	50
Section 234(d)—Condominium Housing	50
Section 241(a)—Additions & Improvements for Apartments	80
Section 241(a)—Additions & Improvements for Health Care Facilities	50
Section 242—Hospitals	50
Title XI—Group Practice	50

Multifamily loan program	FY 2003 basis points
HOPE VI Projects with or without LIHTC— [221(d)(4)]	57
HOPE VI Projects with or without LIHTC—[207, 220 and 231]	61
Low Income Housing Tax Credit Projects— 221(d)(4), 207, 220, and 231 without HOPE VI	50

II. Applicable Mortgage Insurance Premium Regulations

The MIP regulations are contained in 24 CFR 207.252, 207.252(a), and 207.254, published at 66 FR 35072 (July 2, 2001). This Notice is published in accordance with the procedures stated in those regulations.

III. Transition Guidelines

A. General

If a firm commitment has been issued at a higher mortgage insurance premium (MIP) and FHA has not initially endorsed the note, the lender may request the field office to reprocess the commitment at the lower MIP and issue an amended commitment on or after October 1, 2002. If the initial endorsement has occurred, the MIP cannot be changed.

B. Extension of Outstanding 80 basis point Firm Commitments

FHA may extend outstanding firm commitments when the HUB/Program Center determines that the underwriting conclusions (rents, expenses, construction costs, mortgage amount and cash required to close) are still valid.

C. Reprocessing of Outstanding 80 basis point Firm Commitments

FHA will consider requests from mortgagees to reprocess outstanding firm commitments at the lower mortgage insurance premium once the new premiums become effective in Fiscal Year 2003:

- 1. Outstanding commitments with initial 60 day expiration dates on or after the effective date of the MIP Notice.* FHA Multifamily HUB/Program Center staff will simply reprocess these cases to reflect the impact of the lower MIP and issue amended commitments;
- 2. Outstanding commitments with initial expiration dates prior to the effective date of the MIP Notice which have pending extension requests or have had extensions granted by FHA beyond the initial 60 day period.* These cases will require more extensive reprocessing by FHA staff. Reprocessing will include