

the Airport Authority of Washoe County.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on August 14, 2002.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 02-22271 Filed 8-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Savannah International Airport, Savannah, GA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Savannah International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-260, College Park, Georgia 30337-2747.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Patrick S. Graham, Executive Director of the Savannah Airport Commission at the following address: Savannah Airport Commission, 400 Airways Avenue, Savannah, Georgia 31408.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Savannah Airport Commission under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Phillip Cannon, Program Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-260, College Park, Georgia 30337-2747, 404-305-7152. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose

and use the revenue from a PFC at Savannah International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On August 22, 2002, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Savannah Airport Commission was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than Date 120 Days Past Receipt Application or Supplement.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application No.: 02-05-C-00- SAV.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50.

Proposed charge effective date: July 1, 2012.

Proposed charge expiration date: May 1, 2013.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$3,015,790.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

PAPI Runways 9 and 36 AIP 34
AAAE Interactive Training Package AIP 34
Finger Print Machine AIP 34
Design & Construction, New Ammo Bunker AIP 36
Purchase 6 Baggage Lifts & Installation
PFC Administration & Implementation
Purchase and Renovate 6 Loading Bridges
Flight Information Display System
South Bag Carousel #1
Design and Construct GA Taxiways

Class or classes of air carriers which the Savannah Airport Commission has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air taxi/Commercial operators (ATCO) filing form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Savannah Airport Commission.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on August 22, 2002.

Scott L. Seritt,

Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 02-22122 Filed 8-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Policy Statement No. ANM-02-115-20; Corded Electrical Devices

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed policy; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of proposed policy that addresses potential hazards associated with the installation of corded electrical devices used in the passenger cabin.

DATES: Send your comments on or before September 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Address your comments to the individual identified under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Sinclair, Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Transport Standards Staff, Airframe and Cabin Safety Branch, ANM-115, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2195; fax (425) 227-1149; e-mail: alan.sinclair@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The proposed policy is available on the Internet at the following address: <http://www.faa.gov/certification/aircraft/anminfo/devpaper.cfm>. If you do not have access to the Internet, you can obtain a copy of the policy by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

The FAA invites your comments on this proposed policy. We will accept your comments, data, views, or arguments by letter, fax, or e-mail. Send your comments to the person indicated in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. Mark your comments, "Comments to Policy Statement ANM-02-115-20."

Use the following format when preparing your comments:

- Organize your comments issue-by-issue.
- For each issue, state what specific change you are requesting to the proposed policy.
- Include justification, reasons, or data for each change you are requesting.

We also welcome comments in support of the proposed policy.

We will consider all communications received on or before the closing date for comments. We may change the proposed policy because of the comments received.

Background

The proposed policy provides an applicant with various certification options, which will require little or no on-aircraft evaluation of corded devices, provided that these devices meet certain basic criteria. Examples of corded electrical devices are telephone handsets and video system controllers. This guidance supersedes the previously issued guidance in this area.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 15, 2002.

Neil D. Schalekamp,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-22121 Filed 8-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Policy Statement No. ANM-02-113-016]

Guidance for the Certification of Honeywell Primus Epic® Systems

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed policy; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of proposed policy that clarifies current FAA policy with respect to certification of Honeywell Primus Epic® Systems.

DATE: Send your comments on or before September 30, 2002.

ADDRESS: Address your comments to the individual identified under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Connie Beane, Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Transport Standards Staff, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2796; fax (425) 227-1320; e-mail: connie.beane@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The proposed policy is available on the Internet at the following address: <http://www.faa.gov/certification/aircraft/anminfo/devpaper.cfm>. If you do not have access to the Internet, You can obtain a copy of the policy statement by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

The FAA invites your comments on this proposed policy. We will accept

your comments, data, views, or arguments by letter, fax, or e-mail. Send your comments to the person indicated in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. Mark your comments, "Comments to Policy Statement ANM-02-113-016."

Use the following format when preparing your comments:

- Organize your comments issue-by-issue.
- For each issue, state what specific change you are requesting to the proposed policy.
- Include justification, reasons, or data for each change you are requesting.

We also welcome comments in support of the proposed policy.

We will consider all communications received on or before the closing date for comments. We may change the proposed policy because of the comments received.

Background

In the past several years, new aircraft designs have introduced new technologies. These technologies are being combined and used in novel ways and may represent significant challenges with respect to the acceptability of the flightcrew interfaces and aircraft airworthiness.

Honeywell Primus Epic® systems are an avionics suite consisting of single or multiple racks/cabinets with circuit cards or modules that plug into the cabinets. Each racks/cabinets is configurable in that the number of modules can vary in each cabinet; the functions loaded into the cards can vary considerably, and there can be multiple racks/cabinets per aircraft. The functionality of the system is determined by the software loaded into the circuit cards. All the software on these circuit cards can be field-loaded, that is, loaded into the Honeywell Primus Epic® modules without removing the equipment from the aircraft.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 21, 2002.

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-22273 Filed 8-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Denial of Motor Vehicle Defect Petition, DP02-001

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Denial of petition for a defect investigation.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the reasons for the denial of a petition submitted to NHTSA under 49 U.S.C. 30162, requesting that the agency commence a proceeding to determine the existence of a defect related to motor vehicle safety in certain Lexus LS 430 vehicles equipped with the Lexus Link System. After reviewing the petition and other information, NHTSA has concluded that further expenditure of the agency's investigative resources on the issues raised by the petition does not appear to be warranted. The agency accordingly has denied the petition. The petition is hereinafter identified as DP02-001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan White, Office of Defects Investigation (ODI), NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366-5226.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Mr. Jorge A. Gomez of Michael Best & Friedrich LLP in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, submitted a petition by letter dated November 16, 2001, requesting NHTSA to commence a proceeding to determine the existence of a defect related to motor vehicle safety in certain Lexus model vehicles equipped with the Lexus Link System (subject vehicles). The petitioner alleges that the Lexus Link System in the model year 2001 Lexus LS 430 (VIN JTHBN30F510023113—hereafter as "petition vehicle") leased by Sensient Technologies Corporation appeared to be activated by an automated voice message "The Lexus Link System is activated" when the ignition is turned on, but in fact was not. The petitioner further alleges that the driver of the vehicle was unable to place an emergency call to the Lexus Link Call Center after an accident, and that the Lexus Link System apparently requires manual activation by the dealership or the manufacturer.

The Lexus Link System is available as an option only on Lexus LS 430 vehicles beginning with model year 2001. This built-in, cellular-based communication system allows the vehicle occupant to communicate with the Lexus Link Call Center for safety, security, and convenience services. The Lexus Link System also is able to locate the vehicle using Global Position System (GPS) technology. The system is only operational in GPS and analog cellular coverage areas.

According to the response by Toyota Motor North America, Inc. (Toyota) to ODI's Information Request (IR) letter, there were 36,424 model year 2001–2002 Lexus LS 430 vehicles sold in the