

inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed inspection on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$3,060, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 2001–NM–277–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–9–11, DC–9–12, DC–9–13, DC–9–14, DC–9–15, DC–9–15F, DC–9–21, DC–9–31, DC–9–32, DC–9–32 (VC–9C), DC–932F, DC–9–32F (C–9A, C–9B), DC–9–33F, DC–9–34, DC–9–34F, DC–9–41, and DC–9–51 airplanes; listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC9–24A190, Revision 01, dated November 21, 2001; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To find and fix contamination of certain electrical connectors and prevent future contamination of these connectors, which could cause electrical arcing and result in a fire on the airplane, accomplish the following:

One-Time Inspection and Corrective Actions

(a) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time general visual inspection of the disconnect panel at station Y=237.000 in the left forward cargo compartment to find evidence of contamination (e.g., staining or corrosion) of electrical connectors by blue water, and to determine if a dripshield is installed over the disconnect panel. Do this inspection according to the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC9–24A190, Revision 01, dated November 21, 2001.

(1) If no evidence of contamination of electrical connectors is found, and a dripshield is installed, no further action is required by this AD.

(2) If any evidence of contamination of any electrical connector is found: Before further flight, remove each affected connector, and install a new or serviceable connector according to the service bulletin.

(3) If no dripshield is installed over the disconnect panel: Before further flight, install a dripshield according to the service bulletin.

Previously Accomplished Inspections and Corrective Actions

(b) Inspections and corrective actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Alert Service

Bulletin DC9–24A190, dated July 31, 2001, are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding action specified in this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 19, 2002.

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–21506 Filed 8–22–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 02–ACE–8]

Proposed Establishment of Class E2 and Class E4 Airspace and Modification of Existing Class E5 Airspace; Ainsworth, NE

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to establish Class E airspace designated as a surface area for Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE; establish Class E airspace designated as an extension to Class E surface area at Ainsworth, NE; and modify Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface of the earth at Ainsworth, NE. The FAA has developed Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) Runway (RWY) 17 ORIGINAL Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP), RNAV (GPS) RWY 35 ORIGINAL SIAP, VHF Omni-directional Range (VOR) RWY 17 Amendment 3 SIAP and VOR RWY 35 Amendment 4 SIAP to serve Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE. Class

E surface area airspace, extensions to this Class E surface area airspace and additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) are needed to protect instrument flight rules (IFR) operations at Ainsworth, NE. This Class E surface area, Class E surface area extensions and additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL will ensure that aircraft executing straight-in SIAPs to RWY 17 and RWY 35 remain within controlled airspace. Adoption of this proposal would result in establishing Class E surface area and extensions at Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE and also result in additional Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet at Ainsworth, NE.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch Manager, ACE-520, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Docket Number 02-ACE-8, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Regional Counsel for the Central Region at the same address between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours in the Air Traffic Division at the same address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda Mumper, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE-520A, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329-2524.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the

following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 02-ACE-8." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket, FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report that summarizes each substantive-public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rule making will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Inquiry Center, APA-230, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-3484.

Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 to establish Class E airspace designated as a surface area for Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE; establish Class E airspace designated as an extension to Class E surface area at Ainsworth, NE; and modify Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface of the earth at Ainsworth, NE.

This Class E airspace surface area, Class E airspace surface area extensions and additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL are needed to ensure that aircraft executing straight-in SIAPs to RWY 17 and RWY 35 remain within controlled airspace. The areas would be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts. Class E airspace surface areas, Class E airspace surface area extensions and Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraphs 6002, 6004 and 6005 respectively of FAA Order 7400.9J, dated August 31, 2001, and effective September 16, 2001, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace

designations listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9J Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 31, 2001, and effective September 16, 2001, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Designated as Surface Areas.

* * * * *

ACE NE E2 Ainsworth, NE

Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE
(Lat. 42°34'45" N., long. 99°59'35" W.)
Ainsworth VOR/DME
(Lat. 42°34'09" N., long. 99°59'23" W.)

Within a 4.3-mile radius of Ainsworth Municipal Airport.

* * * * *

Paragraph 6004 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to Class D or Class E Surface Area.

* * * * *

ACE NE E4 Ainsworth, NE

Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE
(Lat. 42°34'45" N., long. 99°59'35" W.)
Ainsworth VOR/DME

(Lat. 42°34'09" N., long. 99°59'23" W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within 2.4 miles each side of the Ainsworth VOR/DME 197° radial extending from the 4.3-mile radius of Ainsworth Municipal Airport to 7 miles south of the airport; and within 2.4 miles each side of the Ainsworth VOR/DME 348° radial extending from the 4.3-mile radius of Ainsworth Municipal Airport to 7 miles north of the airport.

* * * * *

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward from 700 feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ACE NE 35 Ainsworth, NE [Revised]

Ainsworth Municipal Airport, NE
(Lat. 42°34'45" N., long. 99°59'35" W.)
Ainsworth VOR/DME

(Lat. 42°34'09" N., long. 99°59'23" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7.4-mile radius of Ainsworth Municipal Airport and within 3.9 miles each side of the 179° bearing from the airport extending from the 7.4-mile radius to 9.6 miles south of the airport.

* * * * *

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on August 9, 2002.

Paul J. Sheridan,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 02-21576 Filed 8-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[Region II Docket No. NJ52-243(b); FRL-7264-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of New Jersey

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan

(SIP) revision submitted on January 15, 2002 by the State of New Jersey to redesignate the New Jersey portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island Carbon Monoxide (CO) nonattainment area from nonattainment to attainment of the National Ambient Quality Standard (NAAQS) for CO. EPA is proposing to approve the New Jersey CO maintenance plan because it provides for continued maintenance of the CO NAAQS.

EPA is also proposing to approve New Jersey's CO attainment demonstration that was submitted on August 7, 1998. This will provide for full approval of New Jersey's State Implementation Plan (SIP) for CO. Additionally, EPA is proposing to approve the 1997 transportation conformity budget submitted on December 10, 1999 as an addendum to the New Jersey CO attainment demonstration submitted on August 7, 1998. Finally, EPA is proposing to approve the 2007 and 2014 transportation conformity budgets found in New Jersey's CO maintenance plan.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as noncontroversial submittals and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before September 23, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Raymond Werner, Chief, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866.

Copies of the State submittals are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Air Quality Management, Bureau of Air

Pollution Control, 401 East State Street, CN027, Trenton, New Jersey 08625.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry Feingersh, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10278, (212) 637-4249.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 7, 2002.

William J. Muszynski,

P.E., Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 02-21284 Filed 8-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[CA-082-FOAb; FRL-7263-7]

Determination of Attainment of the 1-Hour Ozone Standard for San Diego County, CA

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to determine that the San Diego County area has attained the 1-hour ozone air quality standard by the deadline required by the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: Comments on this proposal must be received by September 23, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Please address your comments to Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR-2), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the State's submittal are available for public inspection during normal business hours at EPA's Region 9 office and at the following locations: California Air Resources Board, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123-1096.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Jesson, US EPA Region 9, at (415) 972-3957, or jesson.david@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are finding that the San Diego area has attained the 1-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) under CAA Section 181(b)(2)(A). We are taking this action without prior proposal because we believe that the finding is not controversial. If we receive adverse