

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

*TA-W-41,352; Dana Corp., Perfect Circle Div., Richmond Machining Plant, Richmond, IN*

*TA-W-41,158; P/E Technologies, Inc., Cleveland, OH*

*TA-W-41,510; Chicago Bridge and Iron, Provo, UT*

*TA-W-41,452; American Paper Tube, Port Gibson, MS*

*TA-W-41,398; Acordis Cellulosic Fibers, Inc., Axis, AL*

*TA-W-41,442; Ponderosa Pulp Products, Oshkosh, WI*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

*TA-W-41,367 & A,B; Schlumberger Oilfield Services, Lafayette, LA, New Iberia, LA and Houma, LA*

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

*TA-W-40,685; Ingersoll-Rand Co., Kentucky Design Center, Mayfield, KY*

*TA-W-41,246; Avanticase-Hoyt Corp., Chili, NY*

*TA-W-41,568; Invensys Sensor Systems, Clarostat Sensors and Controls, Molding Dept., El Paso, TX*

*TA-W-41,497; Furnimex Products USA, Inc., Charm House Manufacturing, Sumter, SC*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

*TA-W-41,575; Schlumberger Oilfield Services, Well Services Division, Midland, TX*

#### Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

*TA-W-41,141; Garan, Inc., Kaplan, LA: February 8, 2001.*

*TA-W-41,511; BP Exploration Alaska, Inc., Anchorage, AK: April 16, 2001.*

*TA-W-41,521; Dekko Engineering, Manitowoc, WI: April 16, 2001.*

*TA-W-41,584; Square D Company, Oshkosh, WI: April 14, 2002.*

*TA-W-41,641; Southwest Cupid, Bristow, OK: May 21, 2001.*

*TA-W-41,677; Ames True Temper, Plant #2, Parkersburg, WV: May 17, 2001.*

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the months of August, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof), have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) that sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) that imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increased imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) that there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

#### Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

*NAFTA-TAA-06009; Dana Corp., Perfect Circle Div., Richmond Machining Plant, Richmond, IN*

*NAFTA-TAA-06032; Ameripol*

*Synpol Corp., Odessa, TX*

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

*NAFTA-TAA-06030 & A, B; Schlumberger Oilfield Services, Lafayette, LA, New Iberia, LA and Houma, LA*

#### Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

*NAFTA-TAA-06195; Invensys Sensor Systems, Clarostat Sensors and Controls, Molding Department, El Paso, TX: April 29, 2001*

*NAFTA-TAA-06226; Fender Musical Instruments Corp., Corona, CA: May 17, 2001*

*NAFTA-TAA-06152; Telect, Liberty Lake, WA: April 19, 2001.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of August, 2002. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: August 12, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-21097 Filed 8-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39, 749, TA-W-39, 749A, and TA-W-39, 749B]

**BHP Copper, Inc, Pinto Valley, Miami, AZ; BHP Copper, Inc., Tucson/San Manuel Operations, Tucson/San Manuel, AZ; BHP Copper, Inc., Robinson Operations, Ely, NV; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 25, 2002,

applicable to workers of BHP Copper, Inc., Pinto Valley, Miami, Arizona. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 5, 2002 (67 FR 16441).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the Tucson/San Manuel Arizona Operations and the Robinson Operations, Ely, Nevada location of BHP Copper, Inc.

Workers at the Tucson/San Manuel operation were engaged in the production of copper cathode until all plant production ceased in March, 2002. Workers at the Robinson operations were retained after all plant production ceased in 1999 to maintain the operating equipment and to facilitate the closing of the operation. Workers separated at the Robinson facility were previously certified for TAA in August, 1999 (TA-W-36,531A).

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of the Tucson/San Manuel Operations and Robinson Operations, Ely, Nevada locations of BHP Copper, Inc.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of BHP Copper, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39, 749 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of BHP Copper, Inc., Pinto Valley, Miami, Arizona (TA-W-39,749), BHP Copper, Inc., Tucson/San Manuel Operations, Tucson/San Manuel, Arizona (TA-W-39,749A) and BHP Copper, Inc., Robinson Operations, Ely, Nevada (TA-W-39,749B) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 11, 2000, through March 25, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of August, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Division, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-21093 Filed 8-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,527]

#### BHP Copper, Inc., Tucson, AZ, Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 13, 2002 in response to

a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at BHP Copper, Inc., Tucson, Arizona.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers is already in effect (TA-W-39,749A, as amended). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 8th day of August, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-21102 Filed 8-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,446]

#### Duel Systems, a Wholly Owned Subsidiary of Methode Electronics, San Jose, California; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 29, 2002 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Duel Systems, a wholly owned subsidiary of Methode Electronics, San Jose, California.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 5th day of August, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-21098 Filed 8-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,155 and TA-W-41,155A]

#### International Steel Wool Corp., a Subsidiary of F.H. Bonn Co., Springfield, OH; International Steel Wool Corp., a Subsidiary of F.H. Bonn Co., Headquarters Office, Mission, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of

Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 30, 2002, applicable to workers of International Steel Wool Corp., a Subsidiary of F.H. Bonn Co., Springfield, Ohio. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 17, 2002 (67 FR 35143).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the Headquarters Office located in Mission, Texas. The workers at the Mission, Texas location provide administrative services supporting the production of annealed steel wool at the Springfield, Ohio facility of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of International Steel Wool Corp., Headquarters Office, Mission, Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of International Steel Wool Corp. A subsidiary of F. H. Bonn Co., who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-41,155 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of International Steel Wool Corp., Springfield, Ohio (TA-W-41,155), and International Steel Wool Corp., Headquarters Office, Mission, Texas (TA-W-41,155A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 25, 2001, through April 30, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12 day of August, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-21095 Filed 8-19-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,260]

#### Laird Technologies, Asheboro, NC; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration,

By application dated July 26, 2002, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on July 2,