

potentially affecting the listed Puget Sound Chinook ESU within the marine and freshwater areas of Puget Sound, from the entrance of the Strait of Juan de Fuca inward, including fisheries under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fraser Panel. However, as with the current RMP, harvest objectives specified in the RMP will account for fisheries-related mortality of Puget Sound chinook throughout its migratory range from Oregon and Washington to Southeast Alaska. The RMP will also include implementation, monitoring, and evaluation procedures designed to ensure fisheries are consistent with the RMP's objectives. Under the ESA 4(d) Rule Limit 6 NMFS must make a determination that the RMP, as proposed and implemented by the Puget Sound Treaty Tribes and State of Washington, does not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of Puget Sound chinook while providing for fishing opportunities and the exercise of federally protected treaty fishing rights, as implemented and enforced within the continuing jurisdiction of *U.S. v. Washington*.

NMFS will conduct an environmental review of the RMP and prepare an EIS. The environmental review will analyze the proposed action, the proposed RMP, as well as a full range of reasonable alternatives and the associated impacts of each. NMFS is currently developing alternatives for analysis. In addition to the No Action Alternative (no authorized take of listed Puget Sound chinook within the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound area), the alternatives could include at least the following: (1) a harvest regime based on escapement goal management and (2) an alternative that combines escapement goal management at the individual population level with terminal fisheries.

#### Authority

The environmental review of this project will be conducted in accordance with requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, National Environmental Policy Act Regulations (40 CFR 1500-1508), other appropriate Federal laws and regulations, and policies and procedures of NOAA for compliance with those regulations.

#### Special Accommodations

Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Susan Bishop (206/526-4587, or email: [susan.bishop@noaa.gov](mailto:susan.bishop@noaa.gov)) at least 5 days before the meeting date.

Dated: August 2, 2002.

#### Susan L. Pultz,

*Acting Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-20092 Filed 8-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 7, 2002.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the

burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: August 2, 2002.

#### John Tressler,

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

### Office of the Undersecretary

**Type of Review:** New.

**Title:** Longitudinal Assessment of CSR Implementation and Outcomes (LACIO).

**Frequency:** Annually.

**Affected Public:** State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

#### Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 4,380.

Burden Hours: 2,567.

**Abstract:** This evaluation assesses the accomplishments of the Comprehensive School Reform (CSR) program in implementing school reform and thereby improving student achievement. The evaluation also makes a preliminary assessment of the conditions influencing the sustainability of reforms once Federal support ends. The evaluation uses a variety of data sources to understand the complex interplay of state policies, school district, educational support, and CSR school conditions affecting CSR implementation and outcomes. The major evaluation questions are: (1) To what extent have CSR-supported schools made gains on state assessments in comparison to gains for schools in the same state with similar characteristics; (2) How effective is CSR support for reform; and (3) How have district policies and state policies affected CSR implementation and comprehensive school reform. A mixed method approach will be used to collect appropriate data for addressing each evaluation question. The methods include mail surveys of 400 CSR-program and 400 non-CSR program schools, telephone surveys of 50 districts and 20 states, and a case study inquiry of 30 "sites" to provide data on vertical slices of the CSR program (each "site" comprises a CSR school and comparison school, as well as the district, state, and support infrastructure in which the schools operate). Evaluators will be able to link information from these various sources in order to provide policymakers and other stakeholders with coherent findings.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2091. When you access the

information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651 or to the e-mail address [Vivian.Reese@ed.gov](mailto:Vivian.Reese@ed.gov). Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address [OCIO\\_RIMG@ed.gov](mailto:OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Jacqueline Montague at (202) 708-5359 or via her e-mail address [Jackie.Montague@ed.gov](mailto:Jackie.Montague@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 02-20035 Filed 8-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### President's Advisory Commission on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans

**AGENCY:** President's Advisory Commission on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** To amend the date of the meeting posted in the 67 FR 140, Monday, July 22, 2002, page 47777.

*Date and Time:* Monday, August 5, 2002, from 4:30 p.m. to 6 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The Commission meeting will be held in San Diego, California, at the Westgate Hotel located at 1055 Second Avenue, San Diego, CA, 92101

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leslie Sanchez, Executive Director, or Adam Chavarria, Associate Director, White House Initiative on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans, 400 Maryland Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20202, (202) 401-1411.

Dated: August 2, 2002.

**Rod Paige,**

*Secretary, U.S. Department of Education.*

[FR Doc. 02-20027 Filed 8-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### [Docket Nos. EA-212-B]

#### Application To Export Electric Energy; Coral Power, L.L.C.; To Amend Authorization to Transmit Electric Energy to Mexico

**AGENCY:** Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of applications.

**SUMMARY:** Coral Power, L.L.C. (Coral) has applied to amend its authorization to transmit electric energy from the United States to Mexico pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

**DATES:** Comments, protests or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before August 23, 2002.

**ADDRESS:** Comments, protests or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports (FE-27), Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0350 (FAX 202-287-5736).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Mintz (Program Office) 202-586-9506 or Michael Skinker (Program Attorney) 202-586-2793.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) (16 U.S.C. 824a(e)).

On June 9, 1999, the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) authorized Coral to transmit electric energy from the United States to Mexico using the international electric transmission facilities of San Diego Gas and Electric Company, El Paso Electric Company, Central Power and Light Company, and Comision Federal de Electricidad, the national utility of Mexico. That two-year authorization (Order EA-212) expired on August 13, 2001.

On June 27, 2001, Coral filed an application with FE for renewal of its authorization to transmit electric energy from the United States to Mexico. That two-year authorization (Order EA-212-A) will expire on August 13, 2003.

On July 8, 2002, Coral filed an application with FE to amend its authorization to transmit electric energy from the United States to Mexico in order to add the recently permitted Baja transmission facilities (Docket PP-234). Coral is an affiliate of Baja California Power, Inc., the owner of the transmission facilities built pursuant to PP-234. Coral also requested that its authorization be extended for a five-year period and that its application be given

expedited treatment. On July 11, 2002, the applicant clarified the reason for requesting expedited treatment by stating that completion of the La Rosita plant in Mexico (the power plant that is connected to the permitted facilities, PP-234) is scheduled to be completed and ready to be energized in the first week in August 2002 for testing purposes. No other source of power is readily available for this purpose.

DOE notes that the circumstances described in this application are virtually identical to those for which export authority had previously been granted in FE Order EA-212. Consequently, DOE believes that it has adequately satisfied its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 through the documentation of a categorical exclusion in the FE Docket EA-212 proceeding.

**Procedural Matters:** Any person desiring to become a party to this proceeding or to be heard by filing comments or protests to these applications should file a petition to intervene, comment or protest at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of each petition and protest should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above.

Comments on the Coral application to export electric energy to Mexico should be clearly marked with Docket EA-212-B. Additional copies are to be filed directly with Andrea M. Settanni, Bracewell & Patterson, L.L.P., 2000 K Street, NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20006-1872 AND Robert Reilley, Vice President, Regulatory Affairs, Coral Power, L.L.P., 909 Fannin, Suite 700, Houston, TX 77010.

Copies of these applications will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above or by accessing the Fossil Energy Home Page at <http://www.fe.doe.gov>. Upon reaching the Fossil Energy Home page, select "Electricity Regulation", then "Pending Procedures" from the options menus.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 2, 2002.

**Ellen Russell,**

*Acting Deputy Director, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports, Office of Coal & Power Systems, Office of Fossil Energy.*

[FR Doc. 02-20061 Filed 8-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**