

ACTION: Correction notice for the subject investigation.

SUMMARY: On July 29, 2002, the Commission published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 145) an amended notice of investigation on Certain Stainless Steel Plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, Nos. 701-TA-376, 377 and 379 (Final) and 731-TA-788-793 (Final). The document was published incorrectly and this notice replaces the previous published document. The U.S. International Trade Commission (the Commission) hereby gives notice of its amended schedule in the court-ordered remand of its final antidumping and countervailing duty investigations, Certain Stainless Steel Plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, Nos. 701-TA-376, 377 and 379 (Final) and 731-TA-788-793 (Final).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 23, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Woodley Timberlake, Office of Investigations, telephone 202-205-3188 or Neal J. Reynolds, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202-205-3093, U.S. International Trade Commission. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In May 1998, the Commission determined, by a four-to-two vote, that an industry in the United States was not being materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium and Canada. On August 28, 2000, the Court of International Trade affirmed this determination as being in accordance with law and supported by substantial evidence. *Allegheny Ludlum Corp. v. United States*, 116 F.Supp. 2d 1276 (CIT 2000). On April 19, 2002, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit vacated the lower court ruling, finding that the Commission's volume and impact findings with respect to cold-rolled stainless steel plate were not in accordance with law and that its pricing finding for cold-rolled plate was unsupported by substantial evidence. *Allegheny Ludlum Corp. v. United States*, Appeal No. 01-1223 (April 19, 2002). On June 18, 2002, in accordance with the Federal Circuit's decision, the Court of International Trade vacated its

earlier decision and remanded to the Commission its final negative determination with respect to cold-rolled stainless steel plate. In its order, the Court of International Trade remanded the determination to the Commission "for proceedings not inconsistent with the Federal Circuit's decision in Appeal No. 01-1223." It also directed the Commission to issue a remand determination within sixty days of the date of the order, i.e., by August 19, 2002. On July 8, 2002, the Commission published its schedule for the remand proceeding in the **Federal Register**. 67 FR 47147.

On July 10, 2002, the Commission filed a motion with the Court of International Trade requesting an extension of the deadline for filing the remand determination until September 27, 2002. On July 15, 2002, the Court granted the Commission's request. Accordingly, the Commission is amending the schedule of its remand proceeding to reflect the Court's order. Any deadlines not specified below remain unchanged from the Commission's original notice.

Scheduling the Vote

The Commission will vote on the remand determination at a public meeting to be held on Thursday, September 12, 2002. The meeting is tentatively scheduled for 2:00 p.m.

Written Submissions

Each party who is an interested party in this remand proceeding may submit a written brief to the Commission. The brief must be concise and be limited to comments on how the data obtained in this remand proceeding affect the Commission's original determination with respect to cold-rolled stainless steel plate products. Any material in the comments not addressing this limited issue will be stricken from the record. The brief must be double-spaced, single-sided, and on stationary measuring 8½ by 11 inches. The brief will be limited to thirty (30) pages, and must be filed no later than the close of business on August 23, 2002.

Each party who is an interested party may also submit a rebuttal brief to the Commission. The brief must be concise and limited to rebutting or commenting on the arguments made or facts presented by other parties in their initial brief. Any material in the comments not addressing these issues will be stricken from the record. The brief must be double-spaced, single-sided, and on stationary measuring 8½ by 11 inches. The rebuttal brief will be limited to ten (10) pages, and must be filed no later

than the close of business on August 30, 2002.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. In accordance with sections 3201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 2, 2002.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 02-19973 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 28, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, National City Corporation, Cleveland, OH; Comerica Bank, Detroit, MI; and Canadian Payments Association, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada have been added as parties to this venture. Also, ESI International, Woodland Hills, CA; CUNA & Affiliates, Washington, DC; Data Treasury Corp., Melville, NY; ValiCert, Mountain View, CA; Experian, Orange, CA; and CashEdge, New York, NY have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project.

Membership in this group research project remains open, and Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1993, Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 14, 1993 (58 FR 65399).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 29, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act of April 30, 2002 (67 FR 21271).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-19749 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Southwest Research Institute: Effect of Emission Control Technologies on the Chemical and Physical Characteristics of Particulate Matter

Notice is hereby given that, on June 25, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Southwest Research Institute: Effect of Emission Control Technologies on the Chemical and Physical Characteristics of Particulate Matter has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Caterpillar, Inc., Peoria, IL; INTEVEP, Cartacas, Venezuela; and Lubrizol Corporation, Wickliff, OH. The nature and objectives of the venture are to research the impact of various modern and novel NO_x and PM control technologies on the chemical and physical characteristics of particles emitted from diesels. For NO_x reduction, NO_x adsorber technology and its control will be developed. For particulate matter control, diesel

oxidation catalysts in combination with diesel particulate filters will be included.

Membership in this research group remains open, and the participants intend to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership or planned activities.

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-19750 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to Section 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on February 22, 2002, Penick Corporation, 158 Mount Olivet Avenue, Newark, New Jersey 07114, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Codeine (9050)	II
Dihydrocodeine (9120)	II
Oxycodone (9143)	II
Hydromorphone (9150)	II
Hydrocodone (9193)	II
Morphine (9300)	II
Thebaine (9333)	II
Oxymorphone (9652)	II

The firm plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances for distribution as bulk pharmaceutical products to its customers.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substances may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration.

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than October 7, 2002.

Dated: July 9, 2002.
Laura M. Nagel,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.
[FR Doc. 02-19830 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 7, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 18, 2002, (67 FR 12050), Roxane Laboratories, Inc., 1809 Wilson Road, P.O. Box 16532, Columbus, Ohio 43216-6532, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as an importer of cocaine (9041), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

The firm plans to import cocaine to manufacture topical solutions for distribution to customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Roxane Laboratories, Inc. to import cocaine is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Roxane Laboratories, Inc. on a regular basis to ensure that the company’s continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have included inspection and testing of the company’s physical security systems, audits of the company’s records, verification of the company’s compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company’s background and history. Therefore, pursuant to section 1008(a) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act and in accordance with Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1301.34, the above firm is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed above.

Dated: June 28, 2002.
Laura M. Nagel,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.
[FR Doc. 02-19832 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importation of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to section 1008 of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 958(I)), the Attorney General shall, prior to issuing