

4. Whether social programs exist in the country to prevent the engagement of children in the worst forms of child labor, and to assist in the removal of children engaged in the worst forms of child labor;

5. Whether the country has a comprehensive policy for the elimination of the worst forms of child labor;

6. Whether the country is making continual progress toward eliminating the worst forms of child labor.

Information relating to the nature and extent of child labor in the country is also sought.

#### Definition of "Worst Forms of Child Labor"

The term "Worst Forms of Child Labor" in the TDA is defined by International Labor Organization (ILO) Convention No. 182, which defines a child as all persons under the age of 18, and the worst forms of child labor as comprising all forms of slavery or practices similar to slavery, such as the sale and trafficking of children, debt bondage and serfdom and forced or compulsory labor, including forced or compulsory recruitment of children for use in armed conflict; the use, procuring or offering of a child for prostitution, for the production of pornography or for pornographic performances; the use, procuring or offering of a child for illicit activities, in particular for the production and trafficking of drugs as defined in relevant international treaties; or any work which, by its nature or the circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children.

The TDA Conference Report noted that the phrase,

\* \* \* work which, by its nature or the circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children \* \* \*

is to be defined as in Article II of Recommendation No. 190, which accompanies ILO Convention No. 182. This includes work that exposes children to physical, psychological, or sexual abuse; work underground, under water, at dangerous heights or in confined spaces; work with dangerous machinery, equipment or tools, or work under circumstances which involve the manual handling or transport of heavy loads; work in an unhealthy environment that exposes children to hazardous substances, agents or processes, or to temperatures, noise levels, or vibrations damaging to their health; and work under particularly difficult conditions such as for long hours, during the night or under

conditions where children are unreasonably confined to the premises of the employer.

The TDA Conference Report further indicated that the phrase,

\* \* \* work which, by its nature or the circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children \* \* \*

be interpreted in a manner consistent with the intent of Article 4 of ILO Convention No. 182, which states that such work shall be determined by national laws or regulations or by the competent authority in the country involved.

This notice is a general solicitation of comments from the public.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of July, 2002.

**Thomas B. Moorhead,**

*Deputy Under Secretary for International Labor Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 02-19636 Filed 8-2-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-28-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the ETA 204, Experience Rating Report. A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before October 4, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Edward M. Dullaghan, Office of Workforce Security, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S4231, 200 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC, 20210; telephone number (202) 693-2927 (This is not a toll-free number); fax (202) 693-3229; e-mail [edullaghan@doleta.gov](mailto:edullaghan@doleta.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The data submitted annually on the ETA 204 report enables the Employment and Training Administration to project revenues for the Unemployment Insurance program on a state-by-state basis and to measure the variations in assigned contribution rates which result from different experience rating systems. Used in conjunction with other data, the ETA 204 assists in determining the effects of certain factors (e.g., seasonality, stabilization, expansion, or contraction in employment, etc.) on the unemployment experience of various groups of employers. The data also provide an early signal for potential solvency problems, are useful in analyzing factors which give rise to these potential problems and permit an evaluation of the effectiveness of the various approaches available to correct the detected problems. Further, the data are the basis for determining the Experience Rating Index; the index allows for the evaluation of the extent to which benefits in states are effectively charged, noncharged, and ineffectively charged.

##### II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
  - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

### III. Current Actions

The extension of the Experience Rating Report will allow for the continued calculation of the Experience Rating Index and to continue experience rating analysis and research on a national, regional or state level.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Title:* Experience Rating Report.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0164.

*Affected Public:* State Government.

*Cite/Reference/Form/etc:* ETA 204.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Total Responses:* 53.

*Average Time per Response:* 15 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 14.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining):* \$350.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 30, 2002.

**Grace A. Kilbane,**

*Administrator, Office of Workforce Security, Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-19635 Filed 8-2-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318]

### Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Inc., Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-53 and DPR-69, issued to Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Calvert County, Maryland.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would correct administrative errors in Section 5.6.5, "Core Operating Limits Report (COLR)," of the Technical Specifications (TSs) and Section 2.0, "Environmental Protection Issues," of the Environmental Protection Program (EPP).

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 31, 2002.

##### The Need for the Proposed Action

On March 17, 1994, the NRC staff issued Amendment Nos. 186/163 to the licensee. These amendments inadvertently introduced two typographical errors on Page 5.0-36 of the TSs.

Page 2-1 of the EPP states that the effective National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit is issued by "the State of Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene." This agency no longer exists; "the Maryland Department of the Environment" is the state agency currently responsible for regulation of matters involving water quality and aquatic biota.

The licensee proposed to correct these administrative errors. The proposed amendments have no impact on actual plant equipment, regulatory requirements, operating practices, or analyses.

##### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is no significant environmental impact if the amendments are granted. No changes will be made to the design, licensing bases, or the applicable procedures at the unit. Other than the correction of administrative errors, no other changes will be made to the TSs and the EPP. The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

##### Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current

environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

##### Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2.

##### Agencies and Persons Contacted

On May 15, 2002, the NRC staff consulted with the Maryland State official, Richard McLean, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

##### Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the foregoing environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 31, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of July 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Richard J. Laufer,**

*Chief, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 02-19683 Filed 8-2-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P