

procurement of defense items under a memorandum of understanding providing for reciprocal procurement of defense items” and if he determines that “that country does not discriminate against defense items produced in the United States to a greater degree than the United States discriminates against defense items produced in that country.” The Secretary of Defense has delegated the waiver authority of 10 U.S.C. 2534(d) to the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics).

DoD has a reciprocal procurement Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the UK that was signed on December 13, 1994.

The Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) finds that the UK does not discriminate against defense items produced in the United States to a greater degree than the United States discriminates against defense items produced in the UK, and also finds that application of the limitation in 10 U.S.C. 2534 against defense items produced in the UK would impede the reciprocal procurement of defense items under the MOU.

Under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2534, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) has determined that application of the limitation of 10 U.S.C. 2534(a) to the procurement of any defense item produced in the UK that is listed below would impede the reciprocal procurement of defense items under the MOU with the UK.

On the basis of the foregoing, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) is waiving the limitation in 10 U.S.C. 2534(a) for procurements of any defense item listed below that is produced in the UK. This waiver applies only to the limitations in 10 U.S.C. 2534(a). It does not apply to any other limitation, including sections 8016 and 8065 of the DoD Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-117). This waiver applies to procurements under solicitations issued during the period from August 19, 2002, to August 18, 2003. Similar waivers were granted for the period from August 4, 1998, to August 18, 2002 (63 FR 38815, July 20, 1998; 64 FR 38896, July 20, 1999; 65 FR 47968, August 4, 2000; and 66 FR 40680, August 3, 2001). For contracts resulting from solicitations issued prior to August 4, 1998, this waiver applies to procurements of the defense items listed below under—

(1) Subcontracts entered into during the period from August 19, 2002, to August 18, 2003, provided the prime

contract is modified to provide the Government adequate consideration such as lower cost or improved performance; and

(2) Options that are exercised during the period from August 19, 2002, to August 18, 2003, if the option prices are adjusted for any reason other than the application of the waiver, and if the contract is modified to provide the Government adequate consideration such as lower cost or improved performance.

#### List of Items to Which This Waiver Applies

1. Air circuit breakers.
2. Welded shipboard anchor and mooring chain with a diameter of four inches or less.
3. Gyrocompasses.
4. Electronic navigation chart systems.
5. Steering controls.
6. Pumps.
7. Propulsion and machinery control systems.
8. Totally enclosed lifeboats.
9. Ball and roller bearings.

**Michele P. Peterson,**

*Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.*

[FR Doc. 02-19525 Filed 8-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-08-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Meeting of the Naval Research Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of closed meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Naval Research Advisory Committee (NRAC) Panel on Technology for Base Security will meet to review basic and advanced research and associated science and technology opportunities with respect to the following anti-terrorism/force protection (AT/FP) issues: access control, automation, intrusion detection systems, consolidation of manpower, threat detection, counter-surveillance, situational awareness, and deterrence. From these discussions and review, the Panel will recommend appropriate naval science and technology investments both near and far term, to enhance base security. All sessions of the meeting will be closed to the public.

**DATES:** The meetings will be held on Tuesday, August 13, 2002, from 1 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.; Wednesday, August 14, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; and Thursday, August 15, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meetings will be held at the Office of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis Ryan, Program Director, Naval Research Advisory Committee, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217-5660, (703) 696-6769.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice of a closed meeting is provided in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2). All sessions of the meeting will be devoted to discussions of basic and advanced research and associated science and technology opportunities with respect to the following anti-terrorism/force protection (AT/FP) issues: access control, automation, intrusion detection systems, consolidation of manpower, threat detection, counter-surveillance, situational awareness, and deterrence. These discussions will contain classified information that is specifically authorized under criteria established by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense and are in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive Order. The classified and non-classified matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined as to preclude opening any portion of the meeting. In accordance with 5 U.S.C. App. 2, section 10(d), the Under Secretary of the Navy has determined in writing that the public interest requires that all sessions of the meeting be closed to the public because they will be concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. section 552b(c)(1). Due to an unavoidable delay in administrative processing, the 15-day advance notice could not be provided.

Dated: July 30, 2002.

**R.E. Vincent, II,**

*Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-19619 Filed 8-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Floodplain and Wetlands Statement of Findings for the Proposed Deactivation and Demolition of the Zone 13 Sewage Treatment Plant at the Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE).

**ACTION:** Floodplain and wetlands statement of findings.

**SUMMARY:** This is a Floodplain and Wetlands Statement of Findings for the demolition of a decommissioned sewage

treatment plant located on the Pantex Plant in Carson County, 17 miles northeast of Amarillo, Texas, in accordance with 10 CFR part 1022, Compliance with Floodplain/Wetlands Environmental Review Requirements. A floodplain and wetlands assessment was conducted that evaluated the potential impacts of this project. The floodplain and wetlands assessment describes the possible effects, alternatives, and measures designed to avoid or minimize potential harm to the floodplain and wetlands or their flood storage potential. DOE will allow 15 days of public review after publication of the Statement of Findings before implementation of the Proposed Action.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Brenda G. Finley, Public Affairs Officer, U.S. DOE/NNSA, Office of Amarillo Site Operations, P.O. Box 30020, Amarillo, Texas 79120-0020, (806) 477-3120, (806) 477-6641 (FAX).

*For Further Information on General DOE Floodplain/Wetlands*

*Environmental Review Requirements,*

Contact: Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-4600, (800) 472-2756.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of Floodplain and Wetlands Involvement for the Proposed Deactivation and Demolition of the Zone 13 Sewage Treatment Plant at the Pantex Plant was published in the **Federal Register** on April 15, 2002 (67 FR 18182); and, subsequently, a floodplain and wetlands assessment was prepared. The floodplain and wetlands assessment documented the floodplain and wetlands communities that have the potential to be affected by the demolition of the Zone 13 Sewage Treatment Plant. Alternatives considered include: (1) removing and disposing of abandoned equipment and piping; razing the buildings, roads, and associated structures; disposing of all waste; returning the land to the original grade, and re-establishing vegetation (the Preferred Alternative), and (2) no action.

With the Preferred Alternative, some minor short-term impacts could occur during demolition and grading, which would be associated with stormwater runoff and erosion of soil particles. To mitigate these potential effects, erosion control measures will be installed during demolition and grading activities; and will remain in place until vegetative cover is established on 75 percent of the disturbed area. Potential long-term impacts to the wetland are

associated with contaminants of concern entrained in building materials or sediments confined to below grade sumps. Because these materials are currently confined, and can be well controlled during demolition, the potential for being transported to the wetlands is limited to receding floodwaters that could inundate the area during demolition. To mitigate this potential negative effect, the existing tailwater pit will be used to control rising waters; and may have a pump installed to keep water from building up in the tailwater pit. The tailwater pit has enough volume to contain 1.26 acre feet of stormwater. The controls on the tailwater pit will remain operational until demolition activities are completed. Equipment and materials used during demolition and grading will be staged in an area outside the floodplain. This proposed action complies with State and local floodplain requirements.

Issued in Amarillo, Texas, on July 10, 2002.

**Jerry S. Johnson,**

*Associate Director for Environmental & Site Engineering Programs.*

[FR Doc. 02-19520 Filed 8-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-409-000]

#### ANR Storage Company; Notice of Application

July 29, 2002.

Take notice that on July 17, 2002, ANR Storage Company (ANR Storage), 9 E Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046, filed in the captioned docket an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity and related authorizations pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and the Commission's Rules and Regulations thereunder, requesting that the Commission issue an order authorizing ANR Storage to make the well modifications as described in its application.

ANR Storage states that it does not seek to increase the existing certificated storage capacity or injection/withdrawal deliverability of its facility. ANR Storage's proposed activities will improve operational efficiency of its storage reservoir within existing certificated limits. While ANR Storage has met all of its customer requests for service since the Excelsior 6 field has

been in operation, attempts have been made to improve deliverability from the west reef, including various replacements. However, working gas remains stranded at free flow conditions due to a lack of processing facilities to remove hydrocarbon liquids from the gas stream. This effectively excludes utilization of compressors for withdrawal, resulting in an inability to cycle an additional 4.0 Bcf of combined working gas. Consequently, ANR Storage proposes to drill several lateral extensions from the boreholes of two wells in order to enhance deliverability during the withdrawal season, and to install gas cooling and separation equipment at the Excelsior station, which will enable the use of compression withdrawal. These modifications will increase Excelsior 6 and Cold Springs 31 late-season deliverability and ability to cycle working gas, while remaining within the certificated limits of 200 Mmcf/d.

More specifically, ANR Storage requests authorization to—

(i) drill several lateral extensions from the well bores of two existing wells in the Excelsior 6/East Kalkaska 1 storage fields towards zones of high porosity and permeability in the west reef; and

(ii) install gas cooling and separation equipment at the Excelsior station in Kalkaska County, Michigan for the purposes of removing hydrocarbon liquids from the gas stream;

at a total capital cost of \$4,397,400, all as more thoroughly described in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. ANR Storage also requests that this application be disposed of in accordance with the shortened procedures set forth in Rules 801 and 802 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. ANR Storage requests that the intermediate decision procedure be omitted and waives oral hearing, and requests that the Commission grant such other and further authorizations, relief and/or waivers as the Commission deems necessary to enable ANR Storage to complete the project as proposed.

This filing may be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (please call (202)208-2222 for assistance). Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Dawn A. McGuire, Attorney, 9 E Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046, (832) 676-5503.

ANR Storage states that no new rates or rate schedules are being proposed, and that it will charge rates as currently set forth in its tariff for any service that utilizes the proposed facilities. Further,