

(a) *Application and registration fees.* Applications for new materials licenses and export and import licenses; applications to reinstate expired, terminated, or inactive licenses except those subject to fees assessed at full costs; applications filed by Agreement State licensees to register under the general license provisions of 10 CFR 150.20; and applications for amendments to materials licenses that would place the license in a higher fee category or add a new fee category must be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for each category.

(1) Applications for licenses covering more than one fee category of special nuclear material or source material must be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for the highest fee category.

(2) Applications for new licenses that cover both byproduct material and special nuclear material in sealed sources for use in gauging devices will pay the appropriate application fee for fee Category 1C only.

(b) *Licensing fees.* Fees for reviews of applications for new licenses and for renewals and amendments to existing licenses, for pre-application consultations and for reviews of other documents submitted to NRC for review, and for project manager time for fee categories subject to full cost fees (fee Categories 1A, 1B, 1E, 2A, 4A, 5B, 10A, 11, 12, 13A, and 14) are due upon notification by the Commission in accordance with § 170.12(b).

(c) *Amendment fees.* Applications for amendments to export and import licenses must be accompanied by the prescribed amendment fee for each license affected. An application for an amendment to a license or approval classified in more than one fee category must be accompanied by the prescribed amendment fee for the category affected by the amendment unless the amendment is applicable to two or more fee categories, in which case the amendment fee for the highest fee category would apply.

(d) *Inspection fees.* Inspections resulting from investigations conducted by the Office of Investigations and non-routine inspections that result from third-party allegations are not subject to fees. Inspection fees are due upon notification by the Commission in accordance with § 170.12(c).

(e) *Generally licensed device registrations under 10 CFR 31.5.* Submittals of registration information must be accompanied by the prescribed fee.

² Fees will not be charged for orders issued by the Commission under 10 CFR 2.202 or for amendments resulting specifically from the requirements of these types of Commission orders. However, fees will be charged for approvals issued under a specific exemption provision of the Commission's regulations under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (e.g., 10 CFR 30.11, 40.14, 70.14, 73.5, and any other sections in effect now or in the future), regardless of whether the approval is in the form of a license amendment, letter of approval, safety evaluation report, or other form. In addition to the fee shown, an applicant may be assessed an additional fee for sealed source and device evaluations as shown in Categories 9A through 9D.

³ Full cost fees will be determined based on the professional staff time multiplied by the appropriate professional hourly rate established in § 170.20 in effect at the time the service is provided, and the appropriate contractual support services expended. For applications currently on file for which review costs have reached an applicable fee ceiling established by the June 20, 1984, and July 2, 1990, rules, but are still pending completion of the review, the cost incurred after any applicable ceiling was reached through January 29, 1989, will not be billed to the applicant. Any professional staff-hours expended above those ceilings on or after January 30, 1989, will be assessed at the applicable rates established by § 170.20, as appropriate, except for topical reports whose costs exceed \$50,000. Costs which exceed \$50,000 for each topical report, amendment, revision, or supplement to a topical report completed or under review from January 30, 1989, through August 8, 1991, will not be billed to the applicant. Any professional hours expended on or after August 9, 1991, will be assessed at the applicable rate established in § 170.20.

* * * * *

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of July, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jesse L. Funches,

Chief Financial Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-19198 Filed 7-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-CE-14-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Series 200, Jetstream Series 3101, and Jetstream Model 3201 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to all British Aerospace Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Series 200, Jetstream Series 3101, and Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes. This proposed AD would require you to repetitively inspect the horizontal and vertical stabilizer

attachment fittings and associated hardware for corrosion and wear (damage). If damage is found, this proposed AD would also require you to repair or replace the damaged parts. This proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to detect and correct damage on the horizontal and vertical stabilizer attachment fittings and associated hardware, which could result in failure of the attachment fittings. Such failure could lead to fluttering and subsequent structural failure of the empennage.

DATES: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive any comments on this proposed rule on or before August 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-CE-14-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. You may view any comments at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also send comments electronically to the following address: 9-ACE-7-Docket@faa.gov. Comments sent electronically must contain "Docket No. 2002-CE-14-AD" in the subject line. If you send comments electronically as attached electronic files, the files must be formatted in

Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

You may get service information that applies to this proposed AD from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft, Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland; telephone: (01292) 672345; facsimile: (01292) 671625. You may also view this information at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

How Do I Comment on This Proposed AD?

The FAA invites comments on this proposed rule. You may submit whatever written data, views, or arguments you choose. You need to include the rule's docket number and submit your comments to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. We will consider all comments received on or before the closing date. We may amend this proposed rule in light of comments received. Factual information that supports your ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of this proposed AD action and determining whether we need to take additional rulemaking action.

Are There Any Specific Portions of This Proposed AD I Should Pay Attention to?

The FAA specifically invites comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. You may view all comments we receive before and after the closing date of the rule in the Rules Docket. We will file a report in the Rules Docket that summarizes each contact we have with the public that concerns the substantive parts of this proposed AD.

How Can I Be Sure FAA Receives My Comment?

If you want FAA to acknowledge the receipt of your mailed comments, you must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard. On the postcard, write "Comments to Docket No. 2002-CE-14-AD." We will date stamp and mail the postcard back to you.

Discussion

What Events Have Caused This Proposed AD?

The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, recently notified FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all British Aerospace Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Series 200, Jetstream Series 3101, and Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes. The CAA reports that, during regular scheduled maintenance, an operator discovered fretting corrosion on the horizontal and vertical stabilizer attachment bolts on an in service Jetstream Series 4100 airplane. The Jetstream Series 4100 airplane has a similar structural layout in the affected area to those affected by this proposed action. The corrosion is occurring on the eye bolt shanks and the horizontal and vertical stabilizer forward and rear attachment fitting lugs

on the contact faces. There have been 10 reported cases of corrosion found on Jetstream Series 3101 and Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes.

What Are the Consequences If the Condition Is Not Corrected?

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could result in failure of the horizontal and vertical stabilizer attachment fittings. Such failure could lead to fluttering and subsequent structural failure of the empennage.

Is There Service Information That Applies to This Subject?

British Aerospace has issued Jetstream Service Bulletin 55-JA010941, Original issue: January 25, 2002.

What Are the Provisions of This Service Information?

The service bulletin includes procedures for:

- Repetitively inspecting the forward and rear horizontal and vertical stabilizer attachment fittings and associated hardware for corrosion and wear (damage); and
- Replacing or repairing any damaged parts found during any inspection.

What Action Did the CAA Take?

The CAA classified this service bulletin as mandatory in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the United Kingdom. The CAA classifying a service bulletin as mandatory is the same in the United Kingdom as the FAA issuing an AD in the United States.

Was This in Accordance With the Bilateral Airworthiness Agreement?

These airplane models are manufactured in the United Kingdom and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the

applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement.

Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the CAA has kept FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA's Determination and an Explanation of the Provisions of This Proposed AD

What Has FAA Decided?

The FAA has examined the findings of the CAA; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that:

- The unsafe condition referenced in this document exists or could develop on other British Aerospace Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Series 200, Jetstream Series 3101, and Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes of the same type design that are on the U.S. registry;
- The actions specified in the previously-referenced service information should be accomplished on the affected airplanes; and
- AD action should be taken in order to correct this unsafe condition.

What Would This Proposed AD Require?

This proposed AD would require you to incorporate the actions in the previously-referenced service bulletin.

Cost Impact

How Many Airplanes Would This Proposed AD Impact?

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 250 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What Would Be the Cost Impact of This Proposed AD on Owners/Operators of the Affected Airplanes?

We estimate the following costs to accomplish the proposed inspection:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
40 workhours × \$60 = \$2,400	No parts required to perform the inspection	\$2,400	\$2,400 × 250 = \$600,000.

The FAA has no method of determining the number of repetitive inspections each owner/operator would incur over the life of each of the affected airplanes so the cost impact is based on the initial inspection.

The FAA has no method of determining the number of repairs each owner/operator would incur over the life of each of the affected airplanes based on the results of the proposed

inspections. We have no way of determining the number of airplanes that may need such repair. The extent of damage would vary on each airplane.

Compliance Time of This Proposed AD

What Would Be the Compliance Time of This Proposed AD?

The compliance time of this proposed AD is "upon accumulating 8 calendar years on the airframe or within the next

6 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later."

Why Is the Compliance Time Presented in Calendar Time Instead of Hours Time-in-Service (TIS)?

The unsafe condition specified by this proposed AD is caused by corrosion. Corrosion can occur regardless of whether the aircraft is in operation or is in storage. Therefore, to assure that the unsafe condition specified in this

proposed AD does not go undetected for a long period of time, the compliance is presented in calendar time instead of hours time-in-service (TIS). This will allow the owners/operators to work the proposed inspection into regularly scheduled maintenance.

Regulatory Impact

Would This Proposed AD Impact Various Entities?

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposed rule would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Would This Proposed AD Involve a Significant Rule or Regulatory Action?

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT

Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

British Aerospace: Docket No. 2002-CE-14-AD.

(a) *What airplanes are affected by this AD?*
This AD affects Model HP.137 Jetstream Mk.1, Jetstream Series 200, Jetstream Series 3101, and Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes, all serial numbers, that are certificated in any category.

(b) *Who must comply with this AD?*

Anyone who wishes to operate any of the airplanes identified in paragraph (a) of this AD must comply with this AD.

(c) *What problem does this AD address?*

The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct corrosion and/or wear on the horizontal and vertical stabilizer attachment fittings and associated hardware, which could result in failure of the attachment fittings. Such failure could lead to fluttering and subsequent structural failure of the empennage.

(d) *What actions must I accomplish to address this problem?* To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) Visually inspect the forward and rear horizontal stabilizer attachment bolts and associated hardware for corrosion (i.e., pitting or a change of color in the surface) and wear (damage)	Initially inspect upon accumulating 8 years on the airframe or within the next 6 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Repetitively inspect thereafter at intervals not to exceed 8 years	In accordance with British Aerospace Jetstream Service Bulletin 55-JA010941, Original Issue: January 25, 2002.
(2) If corrosion or wear is found during any inspection required in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD, replace or repair any damaged part in accordance with the procedures specified in the manufacturer's service bulletin.	Prior to further flight after the inspection in which the damage was found	In accordance with British Aerospace Jetstream Service Bulletin 55-JA010941, Original Issue: January 25, 2002.
(3) Visually inspect the forward and rear horizontal and vertical stabilizer attachment fittings and the forward eye bolts of the vertical stabilizer for corrosion or damage at the lug faces.	Initially inspect upon accumulating 8 years on the airframe or within the next 6 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Repetitively inspect thereafter at intervals not to exceed 8 years	In accordance with British Aerospace Jetstream Service Bulletin 55-JA010941, Original Issue: January 25, 2002.
(4) If corrosion or damage is found during any inspection required in paragraph (d)(3) of this AD: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) replace or repair any damaged part in accordance with the procedures specified in the manufacturer's service bulletin; or (ii) if damage exceeds the limits defined in the manufacturer's service bulletin, obtain a repair scheme from the manufacturer through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD; and (iii) incorporate this repair scheme 	Prior to further flight after the inspection in which the damage was found	Repair in accordance the scheme obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft, Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland, Obtain this repair scheme through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD.

Note 1: Although not required by this AD, FAA highly recommends you accomplish Section 2, Part B, *Highly Recommended Corrosion Prevention Tasks*, of the manufacturer's service bulletin.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Standards Office Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your

alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Standards Office Manager.

Note 2: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified,

altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *How do I get copies of the documents referenced in this AD?* You may get copies of the documents referenced in this AD from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft, Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland; telephone: (01292) 672345; facsimile: (01292) 671625. You may view these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in British Aerospace Jetstream Service Bulletin 55-JA010941, Original Issue: January 25, 2002. This service bulletin is classified as mandatory by the United Kingdom Civil Aviation Authority (CAA).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 23, 2002.

Michael Gallagher,
Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-19255 Filed 7-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 91

[Docket No. FAA-2002-12261; Notice No. 02-09]

RIN 2120-AH68

Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum in Domestic United States Airspace; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to the notice of proposed rulemaking, published in the **Federal Register** on May 10, 2002 (67 FR 31920). That document proposed to permit Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum (RVSM) flights in the airspace over the contiguous 48 States of the United States and Alaska and that portion of the Gulf of Mexico where the FAA provides air traffic services. The RVSM program would allow the use of reduced vertical separation between aircraft at certain altitudes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy Grimes, (202) 267-3734.

Correction of Publication

In the notice FR Doc. 02-11704, beginning on page 31920 in the **Federal Register** issue of May 10, 2002, make the following correction:

1. On page 31920, in column 1, in the heading section, beginning on line 6, correct "RIN 2120-AH63" to read "RIN 2120-AH68".

Issued in Washington, DC on July 25, 2002.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel, Regulations Division.

[FR Doc. 02-19365 Filed 7-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

17 CFR Part 420

RIN 1505-AA88

Government Securities Act Regulations: Large Position Rules

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Markets, Treasury.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury ("Treasury," "We," or "Us") is publishing for comment proposed amendments to the reporting requirements pertaining to very large positions in certain Treasury securities. The regulations are issued under the Government Securities Act Amendments of 1993 ("GSAA"). The purpose of the rules is to provide Treasury with information to better understand the causes of market shortages in certain Treasury securities. We are proposing changes to improve the information available to Treasury. Specifically, we are proposing to modify the report to require separate reporting of certain components of the "net trading position" and the "gross financing position." We are also proposing to revise the current

"memorandum" item to require that the par amount of securities delivered through repurchase agreements be separated by maturity classification. In addition, we are proposing to add a new memorandum item to the large position report that would report the gross par amount of "fails to deliver." Finally, we are proposing to modify the definition of "gross financing position" to eliminate the optional exclusion in the calculation of the amount of securities received through certain financing transactions.

DATES: Submit comments on or before September 16, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may send hard copy comments to: Government Securities Regulations Staff, Bureau of the Public Debt, 999 E Street N.W., Room 315, Washington, D.C. 20239-0001. You may also send us comments by e-mail at govsecreg@bpd.treas.gov. When sending comments by e-mail, please use an ASCII file format and provide your full name and mailing address. You may download this proposed rule, and review the comments we receive, from the Bureau of the Public Debt's website at www.publicdebt.treas.gov. The proposed rule and comments will also be available for public inspection and copying at the Treasury Department Library, Room 1428, Main Treasury Building, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20220. To visit the library, call (202) 622-0990 for an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori Santamorena (Executive Director), Lee Grandy (Associate Director), or Nadir Isfahani (Government Securities Advisor), Bureau of the Public Debt, Government Securities Regulations Staff, (202) 691-3632 or e-mail us at govsecreg@bpd.treas.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Treasury published final rules¹ in 1996 that established Part 420 providing recordkeeping and reporting requirements related to very large positions in certain Treasury securities.² We are re-examining the "large position rules" and proposing modifications to improve the information available to better understand the causes of market shortages in certain Treasury securities. In this notice, we first provide background on the rules and then describe the proposed changes.

¹ 61 FR 48338 (September 12, 1996).

² 17 CFR Part 420.