addition, this generic form will be used in its entirety or with minor modifications by all U.S. Export Assistance Centers and the Office of Domestic Operations. The form will ask U.S. exporting firm respondents to provide general background information and identify which service(s) they are interested in.

II. Method of Collection

The form is submitted via Internet, telephone, fax, or e-mail.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625–0237.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,750.

Estimated Time Per Response: 5–20 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 667 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: The estimated annual cost for this collection is $40,020.00 ($23,345.00 for respondents and $16,675.00 for federal government).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 23, 2002.

Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–19028 Filed 7–26–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–FP–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–428–825]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstances administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany (64 FR 40557 (July 27, 1999)). On June 12, 2002, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, formerly Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH, informed the Department of its corporate name change effective December 19, 2001, and requested that the Department initiate and conduct an expedited changed circumstances review. Based on information provided in its June 12, 2002 letter, we preliminarily determine that ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH is the successor firm to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH.[1]

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Tran or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–1121 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

Background

On July 27, 1999, the Department published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Germany*, 64 FR 40557 (July 27, 1999). In a June 12, 2002 letter to the Department, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH requested that the Department initiate and conduct an expedited changed circumstances administrative review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act to determine whether it is the successor-in-interest to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH for purposes of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. The company also asked the Department to issue preliminary results concurrently with the notice of initiation, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). In its request, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, formerly Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH, notified the Department that effective December 19, 2001, its corporate name had changed to ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, and despite this change in corporate name, the management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer base are identical to those of the former Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH. Citing the Department's determination in *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 67513 (December 31, 2001) (*S4 from Korea Changed Circumstances Review*), ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH claimed the Department should determine that it is the successor-in-interest to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH.

Scope of the Review

For purposes of this order, the products covered are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or

[1] In addition to ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH the following companies involved in the production, importation, and U.S. sale of subject merchandise have changed their corporate names: Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc. to ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc.; Krupp VDM GmbH to ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH; and Krupp VDM Technologies Corporation to ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc.

otherwise descaled. The subject sheet and strip may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, aluminized, coated,*etc.*) provided that it maintains the specific dimensions of sheet and strip following such processing.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) at subheadings: 7219.13.00.31, 7219.13.00.51, 7219.13.00.71, 7219.13.00.81, 7219.14.00.30, 7219.14.00.65, 7219.14.00.90, 7219.32.00.05, 7219.32.00.20, 7219.32.00.25, 7219.32.00.35, 7219.32.00.36, 7219.32.00.38, 7219.32.00.42, 7219.32.00.44, 7219.33.00.05, 7219.33.00.20, 7219.33.00.25, 7219.33.00.35, 7219.33.00.36, 7219.33.00.38, 7219.33.00.42, 7219.33.00.44, 7219.34.00.05, 7219.34.00.20, 7219.34.00.25, 7219.34.00.30, 7219.34.00.35, 7219.35.00.05, 7219.35.00.15, 7219.35.00.30, 7219.35.00.35, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.12.10.00, 7220.12.50.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.20.70.05, 7220.20.70.10, 7220.20.70.15, 7220.20.70.60, 7220.20.70.80, 7220.20.80.00, 7220.20.90.30, 7220.20.90.60, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive.

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) sheet and strip that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled; (2) sheet and strip that is cut to length; (3) plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled stainless steel products of a thickness of 4.75 mm or more); (4) flat wire (*i.e.*, cold-rolled sections, with a prepared edge, rectangular in shape, of a width of not more than 9.5 mm); and (5) razor blade steel. Razor blade steel is a flat-rolled product of stainless steel, not further worked than cold-rolled (cold-reduced), in coils, of a width of not more than 23 mm and a thickness of 0.266 mm or less, containing, by weight, 12.5 to 14.5 percent chromium, and certified at the time of entry to be used in the manufacture of razor blades. See Chapter 72 of the HTSUS, ''Additional U.S. Note'' 1(d).

In response to comments by interested parties, the Department has determined that certain specialty stainless steel products are also excluded from the scope of this order. These excluded products are described below.

Flapper valve steel is defined as stainless steel strip in coils containing, by weight, between 0.37 and 0.43 percent carbon, between 1.15 and 1.35 percent molybdenum, and between 0.20 and 0.80 percent manganese. This steel also contains, by weight, phosphorus of 0.025 percent or less, silicon of between 0.20 and 0.50 percent, and sulfur of 0.020 percent or less. The product is manufactured by means of vacuum arc remelting, with inclusion controls for sulphide of no more than 0.04 percent and for oxide of no more than 0.05 percent. Flapper valve steel has a tensile strength of between 210 and 300 ksi, yield strength of between 170 and 270 ksi, plus or minus 8 ksi, and a hardness (Hv) of between 460 and 590. Flapper valve steel is most commonly used to produce specialty flapper valves for compressors.

Also excluded is a product referred to as suspension foil, a specialty steel product used in the manufacture of suspension assemblies for computer disk drives. Suspension foil is described as 302/304 grade or 202 grade stainless steel of a thickness between 14 and 127 microns, with a thickness tolerance of plus-or-minus 2.01 microns, and surface glossiness of 200 to 700 percent Gs. Suspension foil must be supplied in coil widths of not more than 407 mm, and with a mass of 225 kg or less. Roll marks may only be visible on one side, with no scratches of measurable depth. The material must exhibit residual stresses of 2 mm maximum deflection, and flatness of 1.6 mm over 685 mm length.

Certain stainless steel foil for automotive catalytic converters is also excluded from the scope of this order. This stainless steel strip in coils is a specialty foil with a thickness of between 20 and 110 microns used to produce a metallic substrate with a honeycomb structure for use in automotive catalytic converters. The steel contains, by weight, carbon of no more than 0.030 percent, silicon of no more than 1.0 percent, manganese of no more than 1.0 percent, chromium of between 19 and 22 percent, aluminum of no less than 5.0 percent, phosphorus of no more than 0.045 percent, sulfur of no more than 0.03 percent, lanthanum of between 0.002 and 0.05 percent, and total rare earth elements of more than 0.06 percent, with the balance iron.

Permanent magnet iron-chromium-cobalt alloy stainless strip is also excluded from the scope of this order. This ductile stainless steel strip contains, by weight, 26 to 30 percent chromium, and 7 to 10 percent cobalt, with the remainder of iron, in widths 228.6 mm or less, and a thickness between 0.127 and 1.270 mm. It exhibits magnetic remanence between 9,000 and 12,000 gauss, and a coercivity of between 50 and 300 oersteds. This product is most commonly used in electronic sensors and is currently available under proprietary trade names such as ''Arnokrome III.''[2]

Certain electrical resistance alloy steel is also excluded from the scope of this order. This product is defined as a non-magnetic stainless steel manufactured to American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) specification B344 and containing, by weight, 36 percent nickel, 18 percent chromium, and 46 percent iron, and is most notable for its resistance to high temperature corrosion. It has a melting point of 1390 degrees Celsius and displays a creep rupture limit of 4 kilograms per square millimeter at 1000 degrees Celsius. This steel is most commonly used in the production of heating ribbons for circuit breakers and industrial furnaces, and in rheostats for railway locomotives. The product is currently available under proprietary trade names such as ''Gilphy 36.''[3]

Certain martensitic precipitation-hardenable stainless steel is also excluded from the scope of this order. This high-strength, ductile stainless steel product is designated under the Unified Numbering System (UNS) as S45500–grade steel, and contains, by weight, 11 to 13 percent chromium, and 7 to 10 percent nickel. Carbon, manganese, silicon and molybdenum each comprise, by weight, 0.05 percent or less, with phosphorus and sulfur each comprising, by weight, 0.03 percent or less. This steel has copper, niobium, and titanium added to achieve aging, and will exhibit yield strengths as high as 1700 Mpa and ultimate tensile strengths as high as 1750 Mpa after aging, with elongation percentages of 3 percent or less in 50 mm. It is generally provided in thicknesses between 0.635 and 0.787 mm, and in widths of 25.4 mm. This product is most commonly used in the manufacture of television tubes and is currently available under proprietary trade names such as ''Durphynox 17.''[4]

Finally, three specialty stainless steels typically used in certain industrial blades and surgical and medical instruments are also excluded from the scope of this order. These include

[2] ''Arnokrome III'' is a trademark of the Arnold Engineering Company.

[3] ''Gilphy 36'' is a trademark of Imphy, S.A.

[4] ''Durphynox 17'' is a trademark of Imphy, S.A.

stainless steel strip in coils used in the production of textile cutting tools (*e.g.*, carpet knives).[5] This steel is similar to ASTM grade 440F, but containing, by weight, 0.5 to 0.7 percent of molybdenum. The steel also contains, by weight, carbon of between 1.0 and 1.1 percent, sulfur of 0.020 percent or less, and includes between 0.20 and 0.30 percent copper and between 0.20 and 0.50 percent cobalt. This steel is sold under proprietary names such as ''GIN4 Mo.'' The second excluded stainless steel strip in coils is similar to AISI 420–J2 and contains, by weight, carbon of between 0.62 and 0.70 percent, silicon of between 0.20 and 0.50 percent, manganese of between 0.45 and 0.80 percent, phosphorus of no more than 0.025 percent and sulfur of no more than 0.020 percent. This steel has a carbide density on average of 100 carbide particles per square micron. An example of this product is ''GIN5'' steel. The third specialty steel has a chemical composition similar to AISI 420 F, with carbon of between 0.37 and 0.43 percent, molybdenum of between 1.15 and 1.35 percent, but lower manganese of between 0.20 and 0.80 percent, phosphorus of no more than 0.025 percent, silicon of between 0.20 and 0.50 percent, and sulfur of no more than 0.020 percent. This product is supplied with a hardness of more than Hv 500 guaranteed after customer processing, and is supplied as, for example, ''GIN6.''[6]

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

In accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH is the successor company to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH. In making such a determination, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) management, (2) production facilities, (3) supplier relationships, and (4) customer base. *See, e.g., S4 from Korea Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 67513, 67515 and *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 57 FR 20460, 20461 (May 13, 1992). While no one or several of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is similar to that of the predecessor. *See, e.g., Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944, 6946 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same entity as the former company, the Department will treat the new company as the successor-in-interest to the predecessor.

We have examined the information provided by ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH in its June 12, 2002 letter and preliminarily determine that ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH has established a *prima facie* case that it is the successor-in-interest to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH. In addition, we preliminarily determine ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc., ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH, and ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc. are successors-in-interest to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc., Krupp VDM GmbH, and Krupp VDM Technologies Corp., respectively. ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH has provided sufficient documentation to support our preliminary finding. Exhibits 8–A of ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH's letter of June 12, 2002 illustrates that the management and supervisory Board of ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH is identical to the management and supervisory Board of Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH after the December 19, 2001 name change. It lists Jurgen H. Fechter as the chairman of the management board and Dr. Helmut Hadrys as the chairman of the supervisory board for both Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH. Exhibit 8–B illustrates the Board of Directors for Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc. is identical to ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc. *See* proprietary version of Exhibit 8–B of ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH's June12, 2002 submission. Exhibit 8–C lists Rolf-Dieter Grosskopf as chairman of the management board and Dr. Helmut Hadrys the chairman of the supervisory board for Krupp VDM GmbH and ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH. The board membership for Krupp VDM Technologies Corp. is identical to ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc. as listed in Exhibit 8–D. Exhibit 7 of ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH's June 12, 2002 letter demonstrates there has been no change in the ownership. As determined in the original investigation of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany, the former Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH was a privately-held company; ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH is also a privately held company with an ownership structure identical to that found in the most recently-completed administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. In addition to ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, we preliminarily find ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc., ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH, and ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc. are also privately held companies with an ownership structure identical to the ownership structure found in the most recently-completed administrative review of Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc., Krupp VDM GmbH, Krupp VDM Technologies Corp., respectively. Exhibit 10–A of the June 12, 2002 submission contains an affidavit from Dorothea Kettendorf, a solicitor in the legal department of ThyssenKrupp Steel A.G., certifying that i) the corporate name change was pursuant to a directive issued by ThyssenKrupp A.G.; ii) the shareholders of Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and Krupp VDM GmbH approved the changes in the companies' respective corporate names; and iii) the changes have been registered with the relevant government authorities in Germany. She also states ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH and ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH did not undergo any material changes in operations resulting from the corporate name changes. Exhibit 10–B of the June 12, 2002 submission contains an affidavit from Erhard Meier, the treasurer of ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc., stating effective December 27, 2001 Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc. officially changed its corporate name to ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc. Mr. Meier certified the company underwent a name change and it has not affected the ownership, management, corporate structure, production facility, production process, sales operation, customer base, or supplier base as a result of the name change. Exhibit 10–C of the June 12, 2002 submission contains an affidavit from Vincent D. Coppolecchia, President of ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc., stating effective May 23, 2002 Krupp VDM Technologies Corp. officially changed its corporate name to ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc. Mr. Coppolecchia certified the company underwent a name change and it has not affected the ownership, management, corporate structure, production facility, production process, sales operation,

[5] This list of uses is illustrative and provided for descriptive purposes only.

[6] ''GIN4 Mo,'' ''GIN5'' and ''GIN6'' are the proprietary grades of Hitachi Metals America, Ltd.

customer base, or supplier base as a result of the name change. Finally, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc., ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH, and ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc., have provided sufficient documentation of the name change. *See, e.g.*, Exhibits 3 through 6 of the June 12, 2002 submission (notarized document that at the general meeting of the company it agreed to change the name of the company, and certificate of amendment to the certificate of incorporation). Therefore, we preliminarily determine that ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc., ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH, and ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc., have maintained the same management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer bases as did Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH, Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc., Krupp VDM GmbH, Krupp VDM Technologies Corp., respectively. Based upon the foregoing, we preliminarily determine that ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta North America, Inc., ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH, and ThyssenKrupp VDM USA, Inc. are the successors-in-interest to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH, Krupp Thyssen Nirosta North America, Inc., Krupp VDM GmbH, Krupp VDM Technologies Corp., respectively, and we find it appropriate to issue the preliminary results in combination with the notice of initiation in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). If there are no changes in the final results of the changed circumstances review, ThyssenKrupp Nirosta GmbH and ThyssenKrupp VDM GmbH will retain the antidumping duty cash deposit rate assigned to Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and Krupp VDM GmbH in the most recent administrative review of the subject merchandise.

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted no later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals comments, limited to the issues raised in those case briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 28 days after the publication of this notice. All written comments must be submitted and served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of final results of this changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, including the results of its analysis of any issues raised in any written comments.

During the course of this changed circumstances review, we will not change any cash deposit instructions on the merchandise subject to this review, unless a change is determined to be warranted pursuant to the final results of this review.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3) and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: July 16, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–19110 Filed 7–26–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Evaluation of Coastal Zone Management Program and National Estuarine Research Reserve

AGENCY: Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), DOC.

ACTION: Notice of intent to evaluate.

SUMMARY: The NOAA Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (OCRM) announces its intent to evaluate the performance of the Delaware National Estuarine Research Reserve and the Delaware National Estuarine Research Reserve and the Delaware Coastal Management Program. Since the Coastal Management Program and the National Estuarine Research Reserve are administered by the same office, they are being evaluated together.

The Coastal Zone Management Program evaluation will be conducted pursuant to section 312 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA), as amended and regulations at 15 CFR part 923, subpart L. The National Estuarine Research Reserve evaluation will be conducted pursuant to sections 312 and 315 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA), as amended and regulations at 15 CFR part 921, subpart E and part 923, subpart L.

The CZMA requires continuing review of the performance of states with respect to coastal program and research reserve program implementation. Evaluation of Coastal Zone Management Programs and National Estuarine Research Reserves requires findings concerning the extent to which a state has met the national objectives, adhered to its Coastal Management Program document or Reserve final management plan approved by the Secretary of Commerce, and adhered to the terms of financial assistance awards funded under the CZMA.

The evaluations will include a site visit, consideration of public comments, and consultations with interested Federal, state, and local agencies and members of the public. A public meeting will be held as party of the site visit.

Notice is hereby given of the dates of the site visit for the listed evaluations, and the date, local time, and location of the public meeting during the site visit.

The Delaware Coastal Management Program and National Estuarine Research Reserve evaluation site visit will be held September 16–20, 2002. One public meeting will be held during the week. The public meeting will be on Tuesday, September 17, 2002, from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m., in the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control Auditorium, Richardson and Robbins Building, 89 Kings Highway, Dover, Delaware 19901.

Copies of the state's most recent performance reports, as well as OCRM's notifications and supplemental request letters to the states, are available upon request from OCRM. Written comments from interested parties regarding these Programs are encouraged and will be accepted until 15 days after the public meeting. Please direct written comments to Douglas Brown, Deputy Director, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, NOS/NOAA, 1305 East-West Highway, 10th floor, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910. When the evaluations are completed, OCRM will place a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing the availability of the Final Evaluation Findings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Brown, Deputy Director, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, NOS/NOAA, 1305 East-West Highway, Silver spring, Maryland 20910, (301) 713–3155, Extension 215.

(Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog 11.419 Coastal Zone Management Program Administration)