

SALT LAKE CITY AREA PROJECTS POST-2004 POWER POOL FINAL ALLOCATIONS—Continued

Tribe	Summer energy (kWh)	Winter energy (kWh)	Summer CROD (kW)	Winter CROD (kW)
Ramah Navajo Chapter	650,681	954,717	300	412
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	35,026,125	31,034,316	16,144	13,380
San Carlos Apache Tribe	9,008,264	8,766,824	4,152	3,780
Santa Ana Pueblo	997,747	950,995	460	410
Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians	33,098	34,336	15	15
Southern Ute Indian Tribe	2,435,344	2,723,333	1,122	1,174
Tohono O'Odham Utility Authority	2,270,947	7,060,054	1,047	3,044
Tonto Apache Tribe	829,541	810,134	382	349
Ute Indian Tribe	991,484	1,596,382	457	688
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	1,034,236	1,177,682	477	508
White Mountain Apache Tribe	12,632,129	13,914,290	5,822	5,999
Wind River Reservation	1,050,627	1,138,890	484	491
Yavapai Apache Nation	4,106,724	3,399,015	1,893	1,465
Yavapai Prescott Indian Tribe	1,589,784	1,867,486	733	805
Yomba Shoshone Tribe	68,129	70,678	31	30
Total	203,251,178	217,281,509	93,679	93,680

IV. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–621, requires Federal agencies to perform a regulatory flexibility analysis if a final rule is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and there is a legal requirement to issue a general notice of proposed rulemaking. Western has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis since it is a rulemaking of particular applicability involving rates or services applicable to public property.

V. Environmental Compliance

Western has completed an environmental impact statement on the Program, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The Record of Decision was published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 53181, October 12, 1995). Western's NEPA review assured all environmental effects related to these procedures have been analyzed.

VI. Determination 12866

DOE has determined that this is not a significant regulatory action because it does not meet the criteria of Executive Order 12866, 58 FR 51735. Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866; accordingly, this notice requires no clearance by the Office of Management and Budget.

VII. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

Western has determined that this rule is exempt from congressional notification requirements under 5 U.S.C. 801 because the action is a rulemaking

of particular applicability relating to rates or services and involves matters of procedure.

Dated: July 5, 2002.
Michael S. HacsKaylo,
Administrator.
 [FR Doc. 02–19070 Filed 7–26–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7251–3]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; National Waste Minimization Partnership Program; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The EPA published a document in the **Federal Register** of June 21, 2002, concerning a proposed information collection request for the National Waste Minimization Partnership Program.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Newman Smith, 703–308–8757.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA published a document in the **Federal Register** of June 21, 2002, (67 FR 42251), in FR Doc. 02–15725. This document corrects the docket number in the **ADDRESSES** section in the second and third column of page 42251 to read “RCRA–2002–0022”; and also corrects the docket address in the second column to read: RCRA Docket Information Center, Office of Solid Waste (5305G) U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.

Dated: July 19, 2002.

Elizabeth Cotsworth,
Director, Office of Solid Waste.
 [FR Doc. 02–19106 Filed 7–26–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV–2001–2; FRL–7252–1]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Dougherty County Landfill, Flemming/Gaissert Road Facility, Albany (Dougherty County), GA

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final order on petition to object to a state operating permit.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Clean Air Act section 505(b)(2) and 40 CFR 70.8(d), the EPA Administrator signed an order, dated July 3, 2002, denying a petition to object to a state operating permit issued by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) to Dougherty County Landfill, Flemming/Gaissert Road Facility (Dougherty) located in Albany, Dougherty County, Georgia. This order constitutes final action on the petition submitted by the Georgia Center for Law in the Public Interest (GCLPI or Petitioner) on behalf of the Sierra Club. Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) any person may seek judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this document under section 307 of the Act.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the final order, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The final order is also available electronically at the following address: http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/dougherty_decision2001.pdf.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562-9115 or hofmeister.art@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review and, as appropriate, object to operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorize any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of EPA's 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

GCLPI submitted a petition on behalf of the Sierra Club to the Administrator on August 22, 2001, requesting that EPA object to a state title V operating permit issued by EPD to Dougherty. The Petitioner maintains that the Dougherty permit is inconsistent with the Act because of: (1) Inadequate reporting requirements related to monitoring; (2) the permit's apparent limitation of enforcement authority; (3) inadequate public notice procedures; and (4) the permit's apparent limitation of credible evidence.

On July 3, 2002, the Administrator issued an order denying this petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that the Dougherty permit is not in compliance with the requirements of the Act on the grounds raised.

Dated: July 19, 2002.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 02-19107 Filed 7-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

July 22, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before September 27, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judith Boley Herman or Leslie Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804 or Room 1-A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov or lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith Boley Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-XXXX.

Title: Radar Detectors Operating Under Part 15.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, and state, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 8.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2 hours.

Frequency of Response: One time reporting requirement; third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 16 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$2,000.

Needs and Uses: The collection of information is made necessary by changes to part 15 of the Commission's Rules that require that all radar detectors to be certified to demonstrate compliance with certain radiated emission limits before they can be marketed. To assist in identifying products manufactured before and after a certain date on which certification is required. Radar detector manufacturers will be required to provide the Commission with a list of radar detector serial numbers.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-19062 Filed 7-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. AUC-02-46-B (Auction No. 46); DA 02-1628]

Auction No. 46 Revised License Inventory and Auction Start Date; Comment Sought on Revisions to Auction Procedures

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document revises the license inventory and starting date for Auction No. 46. This document also seeks comment on revisions to the Bureau's proposed procedures for Auction No. 46. With the exception of the changes described in the *Auction No. 46 Revised License Inventory and Auction Start Date Public Notice*, the Bureau's proposed procedures for Auction No. 46 remain unchanged from the *Auction No. 46 Comment Public Notice* released on May 24, 2002.

DATES: Comments were due on or before July 22, 2002, and reply comments are due on or before July 29, 2002.¹

ADDRESSES: Because of the disruption of regular mail and other deliveries in Washington, DC, the Bureau requires

¹ This document was received by the Office of the Federal Register on July 25, 2002.