

according to the following Hydro Licensing Schedule. Revisions to the schedule will be made as appropriate: Initiate 10(j) Process, May 7, 2002.

Notice of the availability of the final NEPA document, September 30, 2002.

Ready for Commission decision on the application, January 31, 2003.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-19038 Filed 7-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11077-022 Alaska]

Goat Lake Hydro Inc.; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

July 23, 2002.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for amendment of the license for the Goat Lake Hydroelectric Project, located on Pitchfork Falls, near the town of Skagway, in the First Judicial District, Alaska, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. The project occupies lands of the Tongass National Forest.

The DEA contains the staff's analysis of the potential environmental impacts of modifications to the project and concludes that amending the license for the project, with appropriate environmental protective measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208-1371. The DEA may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> (call (202) 208-2222 for assistance).

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please affix Project No. 11077-022 to all comments. Comments may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>.

For further information, contact Michael Henry at (503) 944-6762.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-19040 Filed 7-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Multi-Project Environmental Assessment and Notice of Paper Scoping and Soliciting Scoping Comments

July 23, 2002.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric applications have been filed with Commission and are available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Applications:* New Minor Licenses.

b. *Project Nos.:* Enterprise Mill Project, P-2935-015, Sibley Mill Project, P-5044-008.

c. *Dates filed:* Enterprise Mill Project, September 24, 2001, Sibley Mill Project, April 2, 2001.

d. *Applicants:* Enterprise Mill Project, Enterprise Mill, LLC, Sibley Mill Project, Avondale Mills Inc.

e. *Name of Projects:* Enterprise Mill Project, Sibley Mill Project.

f. *Location:* On the Augusta Canal about 5 and 6 miles downstream of the Augusta Canal diversion dam, adjacent to the Savannah River, Richmond County, Augusta, GA. The projects are two of three hydropower projects located in the Augusta Canal. The projects do not affect Federal lands.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 USC 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Enterprise Mill Project, Beth E. Harris, Project Engineer, CHI Energy, Inc., P.O. Box 8597, Greenville, SC 29604, (864) 281-9630; Sibley Mill Project, Mr. J. H. Vaughn, III Avondale Mills Inc., P.O. Box 128, Graniteville, SC 29829, (803) 663-2116.

i. *FERC Contact:* Monte TerHaar, (202) 219-2768 or monte.terhaar@ferc.gov.

j. *Deadline for filing scoping comments:* 30 days from issuance date of this notice.

All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

The Commission's rules of practice and procedure require all interveners filing documents with the Commission to serve a copy of that document on each person on the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervener

files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Scoping comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

k. This application is not ready for environmental analysis at this time.

1. *Project Descriptions: Enterprise Mill:* The existing Enterprise Mill Hydroelectric Project consists of: (1) Intake works including two steel sliding, vertical lift intake gates; (2) primary and secondary steel trash racks; (3) two 300-foot-long, 8-foot-diameter penstocks; (4) two vertical shaft turbine/generator units with an installed capacity of 1.2 megawatts and a rated maximum discharge of 590 cfs, located inside the reinforced concrete and masonry Enterprise Mill structure; (5) an underground 350-foot-long tailrace, and open 500-foot-long tailrace section returning flow to the Augusta Canal, and (6) appurtenant facilities. There is no dam or impoundment, as approximately 580 cfs of water is withdrawn from the Augusta Canal when operating at full capacity. Developed head is approximately 30 feet. The applicant estimates that the annual generation would be between 5,000 and 8,000 megawatt-hours (MWh). Generated power is utilized within the applicant's Enterprise Mill which houses residential and commercial tenants, and excess power will be sold to Georgia Power Company. No new facilities are proposed.

Sibley Mill: The existing Sibley Mill Project consists of: (1) Intake works including four steel diversion gates on a 50-foot-long by 15-foot-high intake structure equipped with 1-inch trashracks; (2) a concrete headrace 290 feet long, 42 feet wide, and 15 feet deep; (3) a brick powerhouse; (4) three vertical shaft Francis turbine/generator units with an installed capacity of 2.475 MW; (5) an open tailrace 350 foot long, 30 feet wide, and 38 feet deep; and (6) appurtenant facilities. There is no dam or impoundment, as approximately 936 cfs of water is withdrawn from the Augusta Canal when operating at full capacity. The applicant estimates that the total average annual generation would be 11,000 MWh. All generated power is utilized within the industrial manufacturing facility in the Sibley Mill. No new facilities are proposed.

m. A copy of the application is on file with the Commission and is available

for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link—select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item g above.

n. *Scoping Process:* Scoping is intended to advise all parties regarding the proposed scope of the EA and to seek additional information pertinent to this analysis. The Commission intends to prepare one Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Enterprise Mill Project and Sibley Mill Project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act. The EA will consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts and reasonable alternatives to the proposed action. Should substantive comments requiring reanalysis be received on the NEPA document, we would consider preparing a subsequent NEPA document.

At this time, the Commission staff does not anticipate holding formal public or agency scoping meetings near the project site. Instead, staff will conduct paper scoping.

A Scoping Document (SD) outlining the subject areas to be addressed in the EA were distributed to the parties on the Commission's mailing list. Copies of the SD may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

As part of scoping the staff will: (1) Summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the EA; (2) solicit from comments all available information, especially quantifiable data, on the resources at issue; (3) encourage comments from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA, including viewpoints in opposition to, or in support of, the staff's preliminary views; (4) determine the resource issues to be addressed in the EA; and (5) identify those issues that require a detailed analysis, as well as those issues that do not require a detailed analysis.

Consequently, interested entities are requested to file with the Commission any data and information concerning environmental resources and land uses in the project area and the subject project's impacts to the aforementioned.

O. The preliminary schedule for preparing the subject EA is as follows:

Milestone	Target date
Issue Scoping Document 1 (Paper Scoping).	July/August 2002.
Additional Information (if needed).	October 2002.
Issue Acceptance Letter.	October 2002.
Issue Notice of Ready for Environmental Analysis.	December 2002.
Deadline for Filing Agency Recommendations.	February 2003.
Issue Notice of availability of EA.	April 2003.
Public Comments on EA Du.	May 2003.
Initiate 10(j) Process Ready for Commission decision on the application.	June 2003. September 2003.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-19039 Filed 7-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Western Area Power Administration

Post-2004 Resource Pool-Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of adjustment to final allocations.

SUMMARY: The Western Area Power Administration (Western), a Federal power marketing agency of the Department of Energy (DOE), announces an adjustment to its Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects (SLCA/IP) Post-2004 Resource Pool Final Allocation of Power developed under the requirements of Subpart C—Power Marketing Initiative of the Energy Planning and Management Program (Program) Final Rule. Final allocations were published in the **Federal Register** on February 4, 2002. Information received since then has made it necessary to revise the allocations.

Adjusted final allocations are published to indicate Western's decisions prior to beginning the contractual phase of the allocation process. Firm electric service contracts, negotiated between Western and allottees, will permit delivery of power allocations from the October 2004 billing period through the September 2024 billing period.

DATES: The Adjusted Post-2004 Resource Pool Final Allocation of Power will become effective August 28, 2002,

and will remain in effect through September 30, 2024.

ADDRESSES: All documents developed or retained by Western in developing the adjusted final allocations are available for inspection and copying at the CRSP Management Center, 150 East Social Hall Avenue, Suite 300, Salt Lake City, UT 84111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Western published Final Post-2004 Resource Pool Allocation Procedures (Procedures) in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 48825, September 8, 1999) to implement Subpart C-Power Marketing Initiative of the Program's Final Rule (10 CFR part 905), published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 54151, October 20, 1995). The Program, developed in part to implement Section 114 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, became effective on November 20, 1995. The goal of the Program is to require planning and efficient electric energy use by Western's long-term firm power customers and to extend Western's firm power resource commitments. One aspect of the Program is to establish project-specific power resource pools and allocate power from these pools to new preference customers.

The Procedures, in conjunction with the Post-1989 Marketing Plan (51 FR 4844, February 7, 1986), establish the framework for allocating power from the SLCA/IP Post-2004 Power Pool.

Proposed allocations were published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 31910, June 13, 2001). Public information/comment forums concerning the proposed allocations were held August 10, 15, 16, 21, and October 4, 2001. The public comment period closed October 11, 2001.

Final allocations were published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 5113, February 4, 2002). Information received by Western since that date has indicated that misinterpretation of data by Western made it necessary to adjust these allocations.

I. Reason for Adjustment

Following publication of the final allocations, Western received information indicating that because of errors made in evaluating the data used to calculate the final allocations, three tribes' allocations were incorrect. Western has stated in the criteria that it would be consistent in determining the allocations of all tribes. It is necessary to adjust the allocations to correct these errors. The first of these is the San Carlos Apache Tribe (San Carlos). The San Carlos Apache Reservation is served by three utilities. Only one of these utilities currently receives Federal