

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit EMBRAER to use the 1-g stall speed used for 14 CFR part 25 airworthiness certification for part 39 noise certification for the approach reference and test limitations on the EMBRAER EMB145XR model airplane.

#### Dispositions of Petitions

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-8741.

*Petitioner:* Cessna Aircraft Company.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 91.409(b).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit the owners and operators of C-172R, C-172S, C-182S, C-208 and C-208B airplanes to use Cessna's applicable PhaseCard Inspection Program rather than completing the required 100-hour inspection. *Grant, 06/07/2002, Exemption No. 6901C.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11750.

*Petitioner:* DalFort Aerospace, L.P.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 145.45(f).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit DalFort to make its inspection procedures manual (IPM) available electronically to its supervisory and inspection personnel rather than give a copy to each. *Grant, 06/13/2002, Exemption No. 7292A.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11752.

*Petitioner:* Peninsula Airways, Inc., dba PenAir.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 91.411(b) and 91.413(c).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To allow PenAir to perform ATC transponder tests and inspections and altimeter system and altimeter reporting equipment tests and inspections for its 14 CFR part 121 aircraft maintained under its continuous airworthiness maintenance program. PenAir is also granted relief to perform similar tests and inspections on its 14 CFR part 135 aircraft maintained under an approved aircraft inspection program. *Grant 05/16/2002, Exemption No. 7770.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11764.

*Petitioner:* Executive Jet Management, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 145.45(f).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit EJM to assign copies of its IPM to key individuals within its departments and key areas within its shop and place an adequate number of copies of its IPM for access to all employees, rather than give a copy if the IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel. *Grant, 06/13/2002, Exemption No. 7813.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11837.

*Petitioner:* F.S. Repair Services, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 145.45(f).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit FSRs to place and maintain its IPM in fixed locations within its facility rather than give a copy of its IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel. *Grant, 06/13/2002, Exemption No. 7814.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11857.

*Petitioner:* L-3 Communications Integrated Systems.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 145.45(f).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit L-3 to make its IPM available electronically to its supervisory, inspection, and other personnel, rather than give a paper copy of the IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel. *Grant, 06/13/2002, Exemption No. 7816.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11868.

*Petitioner:* The Boeing Company.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 25.365(e).

*Description of Relief Sought:* To

permit a time-limited exemption for a period of time not to exceed three years to allow continued delivery of Model 767 airplanes, both in production and retrofit, which incorporate enhanced security flight deck doors meeting the requirements of 14 CFR 25.795(a)(1) and (2). *Petition Withdrawn, 05/28/2002.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11926.

*Petitioner:* Minneapolis Community & Technical College.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 65.17(a), 65.19(b), and 65.75.

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit MCTC to (1) administer oral and practical tests to its students at times and places identified in its FAA-approved operations handbook, (2) allow students to apply for retesting within 30 days after failure without presenting a signed statement certifying additional instruction in the failed area, (3) administer the aviation mechanic general written test immediately after students successfully complete the general curriculum but before they meet the experience requirements of § 65.77, and (4) administer oral and practical tests as an integral part of the AMT educational process rather than upon students' successful completion of the mechanic written tests. *Grant, 05/20/2002, Exemption No. 7771.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11942.

*Petitioner:* The Boeing Company.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 47.69(b).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Boeing to

conduct flight testing and sales demonstrations outside the United States with its Dealer Aircraft Registration Certificates and Temporary Registration Numbers. *Grant, 06/07/2002, Exemption No. 6627A.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-11990.

*Petitioner:* Air Transport International, L.L.C.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.310(d)(4).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit ATI to operate its McDonnell Douglas DC-8 airplanes in passenger-carrying operations without a cockpit control device for each emergency light. *Grant, 06/13/2002, Exemption No. 7815.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-12164.

*Petitioner:* The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 145.45(f).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Goodyear to maintain a copy of its repair station IPM at fixed locations within its facilities, rather than give a copy of the manual to each of its supervisors and inspectors. *Grant, 05/31/2002, Exemption No. 5543E.*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2002-12246.

*Petitioner:* Midcoast-Little Rock, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 145.45(f).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Midcoast to place copies of its IPM in strategic locations throughout its repair station rather than giving a copy of the IPM to each of its supervisory and inspection personnel. *Grant, 06/13/2002, Exemption No. 7277A.*

[FR Doc. 02-18022 Filed 7-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Maritime Administration

#### Marine Transportation System National Advisory Council

**ACTION:** National Advisory Council public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Maritime Administration announces that the Marine Transportation System (MTS) National Advisory Council (MTSNAC) will hold a meeting to discuss SEA-21 and other MTS related issues. A public comment period is scheduled for 9 a.m.—9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, August 14, 2002. To provide time for as many people to speak as possible, speaking time for each individual will be limited to three

minutes. Members of the public who would like to speak are asked to contact Raymond Barberesi by August 6, 2002. Mr. Barberesi can be reached at: telephone (202) 366-4357, fax (202) 366-6988, or e-mail

*Raymond.Barberesi@marad.dot.gov*.

Commenters will be placed on the agenda in the order in which notifications are received. If time allows, additional comments will be permitted. Copies of oral comments must be submitted in writing at the meeting. Additional written comments are welcome and must be filed by August 21, 2002. Send comments to the attention of Mr. Raymond Barberesi, Director, Office of Ports and Domestic Shipping, U.S. Maritime Administration, 400 7th Street, SW., Room 7201, Washington, DC 20590.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Tuesday, August 13, 2002, from 2:30 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. and Wednesday, August 14, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Hyatt Regency Long Beach Hotel, 200 South Pine Avenue, Long Beach, California 90802.

For further information contact: Raymond Barberesi, (202) 366-4357; Maritime Administration, MAR-830, Room 7201, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590; Raymond.Barberesi@marad.dot.gov.

(Authority: 5 U.S.C. App 2, Sec. 9(a)(2); 41 CFR part 102-3 DOT Order 1120.3B)

Dated: July 22, 2002.

**Joel C. Richard,**

*Secretary, Maritime Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-18853 Filed 7-24-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-81-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2002-12732]

#### Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster Passenger Cars Manufactured Before September 1, 2002 Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002 are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic

Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002 that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is August 26, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.].

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5306).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. § 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

Automobile Concepts, Inc. of North Miami, Florida ("AMC") (Registered Importer 01-278) has petitioned NHTSA

to decide whether 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002 are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which AMC believes are substantially similar are 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002 that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002 to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

AMC submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 1997-2001 and 2002 Porsche Boxster passenger cars manufactured before September 1, 2002 are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence* \* \* \*, 103 *Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic Brake Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 109 *New Pneumatic Tires*, 113 *Hood Latch Systems*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 212 *Windshield Retention*, 214 *Side Impact Protection*, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, and 302 *Flammability of Interior Materials*.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays*: (a) Inscription of the word "brake" on the dash in place of the international ECE warning symbol; (b) replacement of the speedometer with a U.S.-model component calibrated to read in miles.