the products produced (pine board) by the subject plant and therefore not relevant. The Department conducted a survey, as already indicated, to examine the direct impact of pine board imports on the subject firm worker's during the relevant period. The survey revealed that customer imports did not contribute importantly to the layoffs at the subject plant during the relevant period.

Further, the price of imported softwood lumber is not a relevant factor in meeting the ''contributed importantly'' group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 3rd day of July 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–18416 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–39,987]

GSI Lumonics Corp., Maple Grove, MN; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of January 9, 2002, an employee requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department=s Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on December 17, 2001, based on the finding that imports did not contribute importantly to the layoffs at the subject plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2002 (67 FR 1509).

The request for reconsideration is based on the allegation that specific products produced at the subject plant were shifted to Canada and England, and a meaningful portion of those products were imported back to the United States.

The Department on further review of the investigation and further contact with the company received new information revealing that shifts in plant production (SVS & Silver Cutting Head) to foreign sources occurred during the relevant period. A meaningful portion of that production shifted to foreign sources was imported back to the United States during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at GSI Lumonics, Inc., Maple Grove, Minnesota contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of GSI Lumonics, Inc., Maple Grove, Minnesota who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 21, 2000 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 14th day of June, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–18414 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–40,732]

LM Services, Cumberland, Maryland; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at LM Services, Cumberland, Maryland. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA–W–40,732; LM Services
Cumberland, Maryland (June 24, 2002)

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of July, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–18417 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–40,343]

Specialty Minerals (Michigan), Inc., Plainwell, MI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 13, 2002, the company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 24, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2002 (67 FR 22112).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Specialty Minerals (Michigan), Inc., Plainwell, Michigan was denied because the ''contributed importantly'' group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended; was not met. The denial was based on evidence indicating that customers of the subject firm do not import precipitated calcium carbonate. The subject firm did not import precipitated calcium carbonate.

The company feels that the eligibility criteria were met based on the fact that the subject plant existed to supply the key raw material (precipitated calcium carbonate) to the major customer. The company further states that once the customer closed down, due to imported paper, the subject plant no longer had a customer and as a result was directly impacted by imported paper closing it's primary customer.

The imports of any other product by the company or customer is not relevant to this petition that was filed on behalf of worker(s) producing precipitated calcium carbonate. The products imported must be ''like or directly competitive'' with what the subject plant produces to meet the eligibility requirements of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of June 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–18415 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–41,142]

SPX Valves and Controls, Lake City, PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received on May 31, 2002, the company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of SPX Valves and Controls, Lake City, Pennsylvania was issued on May 13, 2002, and will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The investigation findings revealed that criterion (2) of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met. Subject firm sales and production of valves increased from 2000 to 2001 and further increased from the January through March 2002 period over the corresponding 2001 period. The workers were engaged in the production of valves.

The request for reconsideration alleges that sales and production although increasing at the subject plant will begin to decline during the third or fourth quarter of 2002. The company further states that the company started importing valve parts (valve bonnets, bodies, actuators and positioners) from foreign sources during January 2002 and has purchase orders to import a meaningful amount of valves during the remainder of the year.

The company request for reconsideration corresponds to the TAA denial which was based on criterion (2) not being met, plant sales and production did not decline during the relevant period.

Imports of valve parts cannot be considered in meeting criterion (3) group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. The reported importation of component parts beginning in January 2002 is not a relevant factor for workers producing valves. The imported product must be like or directly competitive with what the subject firm workers produce (valves).

The petitioner further states that sales and production will decline later this year and also appears to be stating that the company has ordered foreign produced valves which will be imported into the United States in the near future and continue to be imported through the remainder of 2002. If conditions change at the subject firm, the workers are encouraged to reapply for TAA eligibility.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC., this 18th day of June, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–18419 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Correction

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, USDOL.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: In notice document 02–17599 beginning on page 46214 in the issue of Friday, July 12, 2002, make the following corrections:

On page 46214 in the third column, insert ''*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration'' after ''*Type of Review:* Extension with change'' where ''*Type of Review:* Extension without change'' first appears.

On page 46214 in the third column, insert ''*Title:* Employment Service Complaint Referral'' in the seventh line just before the word ''Record.'' Thus, the beginning of line seven should read as ''*Title:* Employment Service Complaint Referral Record, ETA 8429 and the Services to * * *''

Dated: July 15, 2002.

Grace A. Kilbane,

Administrator, Office of Workforce Security.

[FR Doc. 02–18412 Filed 7–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA–05755]

Delphi Automotive Systems Corporation, Delphi Delco Electronics Division, Body and Security Team, Oak Creek, WI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 10, 2002, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement—Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA—TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 16, 2002, and was published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2002 (67 FR 22115).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;