

generating station by replacing its current boiler with a dual fuel combustion turbine in order to increase its capacity and serve an expanding market. It is explained that Ozark's existing facilities would not provide sufficient capacity and pressure to supply gas to the electric generating station following the re-powering. It is asserted that Ozark will upgrade its measurement station by adding measurement devices to handle and accurately measure a minimum flow rate of 150 Mcf of gas per day (Mcf/d) and a maximum flow rate of 45,000 Mcf/d. Ozark estimates the cost of the proposed facilities at \$475,212. Ozark requests that a certificate be issued by September 11, 2002, so that it can supply test gas to Fitzhugh by December 1, 2002.

It is explained that the proposed upgrade could have been authorized under the automatic provisions of Ozark's blanket certificate. However, the project is located in an area of Western Arkansas (Township 9N, Range 26W) that is the subject of a Stipulation and Consent Agreement entered into between Ozark's predecessor, Ozark Gas Transmission System and the Commission in 1982. This settlement agreement requires Ozark to obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Commission before constructing any pipeline or compression facility in Township 9N, Range 26W.

Any questions regarding this amendment should be directed to James F. Bowe, Jr., Attorney, Dewey Ballantine LLP, at (202) 429-1444.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before July 22, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions

on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-16968 Filed 7-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER00-980-006, et al.]

Bangor Hydro-Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

June 26, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Bangor Hydro-Electric Company

[Docket No. ER00-980-006]

Take notice that on June 17, 2002, pursuant to Section 2.11 of the Settlement Agreement filed on November 1, 2000, in Docket No. ER00-980-000, and accepted and modified by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) on February 26, 2001, Bangor-Hydro Electric Company (Bangor Hydro) submits this informational filing showing the implementation of Bangor Hydro's open access transmission tariff formula rate for the charges that became effective on June 1, 2002.

Copies of this filing were sent to Bangor Hydro's open access transmission tariff customers that have requested to receive a copy (Indeck Maine Energy, L.L.C.), the Commission Trial Staff, the Maine Public Utilities Commission, and the Maine Public Advocate.

Comment Date: July 8, 2002.

2. Maine Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER00-1053-006]

Take notice that on June 17, 2002, pursuant to Section 2.4 of the Settlement Agreement filed on June 30, 2000, in Docket No. ER00-1053-000, and accepted by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on September 15, 2000, Maine Public Service Company (MPS) submits this

informational filing setting forth the changed open access transmission tariff charges effective June 1, 2002 together with back-up materials.

Copies of this filing were served on the parties to the Settlement Agreement in Docket No. ER00-1053-000, the Commission Trial Staff, the Maine Public Utilities Commission, the Maine Public Advocate, and current MPS open access transmission tariff customers.

Comment Date: July 8, 2002.

3. Oncor Electric Delivery Company

[Docket No. ER02-2019-000]

Take notice that on June 5, 2002, Oncor Electric Delivery Company (Oncor) tendered for filing its FERC Electric Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 2 for transmission service to Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas (Tex-La) to supersede Oncor's current FERC Electric tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 2.

Oncor states that this filing has been served upon Tex-La and the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Comment Date: July 15, 2002.

4. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Complainant)

[Docket No. ER02-2126-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 2002, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison) tendered for filing an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement (Agreement) between Con Edison and PSEG Power In-City I, LLC (PSEG Power). Con Edison requested that the Agreement be allowed to become effective September 1, 2002.

Con Edison states that copies of the filing were served upon PSEG Power, the New York Independent System Operator, and the New York Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

5. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER02-2127-000]

Take notice that Boston Edison Company (BECo), on June 20, 2002, tendered for filing pursuant to the provisions of Section 205 of the Federal Power Act unexecuted Service Agreements for the Town of Concord, Massachusetts, Municipal Light Department (Concord) and the Town of Wellesley, Massachusetts, Municipal Light Department (Wellesley), respectively, to take local network transmission service pursuant to the provisions of BECo's FERC Electric Tariff, Volume No. 8. BECo requests a June 1, 2002 effective date.

BECo states that copies of this filing have been served upon Concord and Wellesley and on the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

5. Maine Electric Power Company and Central Maine Power Company

[Docket No. ER02-2128-000]

Please take notice that on June 26, 2002, Maine Electric Power Company (MEPCO) and Central Maine Power Company (CMP) tendered for filing a Support Services Agreement for support services provided by MEPCO to CMP, and designated as Rate Schedule FERC No. 18, Supplement No. 1.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

6. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER02-2129-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 2002, the American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC), tendered for filing an executed Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement for Central Virginia Electric Cooperative (CVEC). This agreement is pursuant to the AEP Companies' Open Access Transmission Service Tariff (OATT) that has been designated as the Operating Companies of the American Electric Power System FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 6.

AEPSC requests waiver of notice to permit the Service Agreements to be made effective for service on and after May 21, 2002. A copy of the filing was served upon the CVEC and the state utility regulatory commissions of Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

7. Lake Road Generating Company, L.P.

[Docket No. ER02-2130-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 2002, Lake Road Generating Company, L.P. (Lake Road) tendered for filing an Electric Purchase/Sale Agreement for power sales (Agreement) with PG&E Energy Trading-Power, L.P. (PGET) pursuant to which Lake Road will sell electric wholesale services to PGET at market-based rates according to its FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

8. Virginia Electric and Power Company

[Docket No. ER02-2131-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 2002, Virginia Electric and Power Company (the Company) respectfully tendered for filing the following Service Agreement by Virginia Electric and Power Company to Sempra Energy Trading Corp. designated as Service Agreement No. 18 under the Company's Wholesale

Market-Based Rate Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 6, effective on June 15, 2000.

The Company respectfully requests an effective date of June 1, 2002, as requested by the customer. Copies of the filing were served upon Sempra Energy Trading Corp., the Virginia State Corporation Commission, and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

9. Dominion Energy Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER02-2133-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 2002, Dominion Energy Marketing, Inc. (the Company) respectfully tendered for filing the following Service Agreement by Dominion Energy Marketing, Inc. to Sempra Energy Trading Corp. designated as Service Agreement No. 6 under the Company's Market-Based Sales Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, effective on December 15, 2000. The Company requests an effective date of June 1, 2002, as requested by the customer.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Sempra Energy Trading Corp., the Virginia State Corporation Commission, and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

10. Just Energy, LLC

[Docket No. ER02-2134-000]

Take notice that on June 19, 2002, Just Energy, LLC (Just Energy) petitioned the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) for acceptance of Just Energy Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; the granting of certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates; and the waiver of certain Commission regulations.

Just Energy intends to engage in wholesale electric power and energy purchases and sales as a marketer. Just Energy is not in the business of generating or transmitting electric power. Just Energy sells electricity to customers in various deregulated states.

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

11. Central Maine Power Company and Maine Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER02-2135-000]

Please take notice that on June 20, 2002, Central Maine Power Company (CMP) and Maine Electric Power Company (MEPCO) tendered for filing a Support Services Agreement for support services provided by CMP to MEPCO, and designated as Rate Schedule FERC No. 115, First Revised

Comment Date: July 11, 2002.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-16971 Filed 7-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. RM01-8-000]

Revised Public Utility Filing Requirements; Notice

July 1, 2002.

In *Order Issuing Instruction Manual for Public Utilities to Use to File Their Electric Quarterly Reports*, issued on May 29, 2002, the Commission defined the specific filing instructions for complying with Order 2001, *Revised Public Utility Filing Requirements*, (67 FR 31043, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,127, April 25, 2002). This notice is to provide a filing alternative to utilities with very large Electric Quarterly Report Filings.

Several utilities have expressed concern that their Electric Quarterly Report filings will be too large to conform to the current size constraints of FERC's electronic filing system. While the ultimate system will be able to accommodate these large filings, the interim method being used for the July

31, 2002 and October 31, 2002 reports is limited in the size of the files it can accept. The May 29, 2002 order did not fully address options for parties with filings larger than the current size limit of five megabytes (MB). To accommodate the larger filings, we will increase the file size limitation for the Electric Quarterly Report filings to ten MB.

All utilities filing Electric Quarterly Reports that are 10MB or less are required to file as instructed in the Commission's May 29, 2002 order issued in RM01-8-000.

Utilities filing Electric Quarterly Reports that are larger than ten MB shall break the Electric Quarterly Report into files that are each ten MB or less and electronically file each part via FERC's electronic filing system. The file name for each part filed must be identical except the last character(s) of the file name, before the file extension (i.e., .csv, .xls, or .xlsx). That character shall identify each part sequentially. The first part to be filed shall end with the number "1" and be increased by one for each successive part filed. For example, if the first part filed is named myutilityeqr1.csv, the second part will be named myutilityeqr2.csv, and so on. By using this naming convention, staff and the public will be able to reconstruct the utility's Electric Quarterly Report by placing the parts filed in the correct order. The filings will be available in RIMS and/or FERRIS as multiple documents. The ten MB file size will reduce the adverse impact of network connection problems and PC configuration limitations when the public downloads the files.

If utilities experience difficulties filing their Electric Quarterly Reports, FERC staff will work with filers on a case by case basis to resolve technical issues. For assistance or to discuss problems with making electronic filings, contact the Helpline at 202-208-0258 during the Commission's business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern time) or e-mail efiling@ferc.gov.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-16966 Filed 7-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP02-383-000]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed National Fuel Replacement/Abandonment Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

July 1, 2002.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the National Fuel Replacement/Abandonment Project involving construction and operation of facilities by National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National Fuel) in Allegany County, New York.¹ These facilities would consist of replacement of about 5.44 miles of 10-inch-diameter steel pipeline (Line PY-10) and abandonment of 27.35 miles of Line PY-10. This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

If you are a landowner receiving this notice, you may be contacted by a pipeline company representative about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed facilities. The pipeline company would seek to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement. However, if the project is approved by the Commission, that approval conveys with it the right of eminent domain. Therefore, if easement negotiations fail to produce an agreement, the pipeline company could initiate condemnation proceedings in accordance with state law.

A fact sheet prepared by the FERC entitled "An Interstate Natural Gas Facility On My Land? What Do I Need To Know?" was attached to the project notice National Fuel provided to landowners. This fact sheet addresses a number of typically asked questions, including the use of eminent domain and how to participate in the Commission's proceedings. It is available for viewing on the FERC Internet website (<http://www.ferc.gov>).

Summary of the Proposed Project

National Fuel seeks authority to:

- Replace 5.44 miles of 10-inch-diameter steel pipeline (Line PY-10)

¹ National Fuel's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and part 157 of the Commission's regulations.