

Day care homes	Breakfast		Lunch and supper		Supplement	
	Tier I	Tier II	Tier I	Tier II	Tier I	Tier II
Alaska .....	1.55	.56	2.93	1.76	.87	.24
Hawaii .....	1.13	.42	2.11	1.27	.63	.17

## ADMINISTRATIVE REIMBURSEMENT RATES FOR SPONSORING ORGANIZATIONS OF DAY CARE HOMES

[Per home/per month rates in U.S. dollars]

	Initial 50	Next 150	Next 800	Each additional
Contiguous States .....	84	64	50	44
Alaska .....	136	104	81	71
Hawaii .....	98	75	59	52

The changes in the national average payment rates for centers reflect a 2.59 percent increase during the 12-month period, May 2001 to May 2002, (from 173.1 in May 2001 to 177.6 in May 2002) in the food away from home series of the CPI for All Urban Consumers.

The changes in the food service payment rates for day care homes reflect a 1.56 percent increase during the 12-month period, May 2001 to May 2002, (from 172.8 in May 2001 to 175.5 in May 2002) in the food at home series of the CPI for All Urban Consumers.

The changes in the administrative reimbursement rates for sponsoring organizations of day care homes reflect a 1.18 percent increase during the 12-month period, May 2001 to May 2002, (from 177.7 in May 2001 to 179.8 in May 2002) in the series for all items of the CPI for All Urban Consumers, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.

The total amount of payments available to each State agency for distribution to institutions participating in the program is based on the rates contained in this notice.

This action is not a rule as defined by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) and thus is exempt from the provisions of that Act. This notice has been determined to be exempt under Executive Order 12866.

This program is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.558 and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials (See 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V, and final rule related notice published at 48 FR 29114, June 24, 1983).

This notice imposes no new reporting or recordkeeping provisions that are subject to Office of Management and Budget review in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3518).

**Authority:** Sec. 4(b)(2), 11a, 17(c) and 17(f)(3)(B) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 1753(b)(2), 1759a, 1766(f)(3)(B)) and sec. 4(b)(1)(B) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1773(b)(1)(B)).

Dated: June 27, 2002.

**Roberto Salazar,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 02-16906 Filed 7-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Forest Service

**Southwestern Region; Authorization of Livestock Grazing Activities on the Sacramento Grazing Allotment, Sacramento Ranger District, Lincoln National Forest, Otero County, NM**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Revised notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 472,551.

**SUMMARY:** The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement on a proposal to authorize livestock grazing activities on the Sacramento Grazing Allotment. The project area encompasses over 111,000 acres of National Forest lands on the Sacramento Ranger District of the Lincoln National Forest. The Sacramento Grazing Allotment comprises approximately 25% of the ranger district. The project has generated controversy on three main points; effects to threatened and endangered animal and plant species, concern for degraded riparian areas, and forage competition between wildlife and livestock.

The Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement was first published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, May 5, 1999 (Volume 64,

Number 86, pages 24132-24134). The Notice announced that a draft environmental impact statement would be available for review in July 1999, and a final environmental impact statement would be available for review in September 1999. A Revised Notice of Intent was published in the **Federal Register** on March 8, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 46, page 12202). The revised Notice announced that a draft environmental impact statement was now expected to be available for public review in July 2000 and a final environmental impact statement should be available for review by October 2000. This Notice revises the expected date of availability for public review of a draft environmental impact statement to June 2002, a final environmental impact statement should be available for review in October 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rick Newmon or Mark Cadwallader, Lincoln National Forest, Sacramento Ranger District, P.O. Box 288, Cloudcroft, New Mexico 88317, (505) 682-2551.

Dated: April 17, 2002.

**Jose M. Martinez,**

*Forest Supervisor, Lincoln National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 02-16878 Filed 7-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## Forest Service

**Glady Fork Environmental Impact Statement, Monongahela National Forest, Randolph and Tucker Counties, WV**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The USDA Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement for timber harvest, stream restoration, wildlife enhancement, and

road management activities in the Gladly Fork watershed of the Monongahela National Forest. This notice provides a description of the proposed action, displays the estimated dates for filing the EIS, provides information concerning public participation, and provides the names and addresses of the responsible agency official and individuals who can provide additional information.

**DATES:** We need to receive your comments on this Notice of Intent in writing 45 days after this notice is published in the **Federal Register**. The draft environmental impact statement is expected February 2003 and the final environmental impact statement is expected May 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: Michele Jones, Forest NEPA Team Leader, Monongahela National Forest, 200 Sycamore Street, Elkins, West Virginia 26241. Faxes should be sent to (304) 636-1875. For further information, mail correspondence to the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michele Jones, Forest NEPA Team Leader, Monongahela National Forest, 200 Sycamore Street, Elkins, West Virginia 26241, or by calling (304) 636-1800.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Purpose and Need for Action**

The purpose and need for action in the Gladly Fork project area is to increase forest health, to improve water quality and fish habitat, to enhance wildlife habitat, and to provide forest products to the local community.

**Proposed Action**

The proposed activities all fall within Management Prescription 6.1 under the Monongahela National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. The primary purpose of Management Prescription 6.1 is to manage for remote habitat species intolerant of disturbance. Secondary purposes are to manage for a semi-primitive non-motorized type of recreational environment, a mix of forest products, and manage sites reverting from hardwood to conifer and the intermingled high site hardwood types.

The proposed action includes an estimated 797 acres of thinning, 689 acres of crop tree release, 51 acres of clearcuts, 96 acres of shelterwood, 345 acres of two-age harvest, and 20 acres of overstory removal. In total, approximately 1,998 acres of commercial timber harvest is proposed, which would be logged by using helicopter yarding on 1,205 acres and

793 acres of conventional ground-based skidding. Post-harvest treatments proposed include 609 acres of herbicide use, site preparation, and fencing, and 51 acres of planting.

Road management proposed in the Gladly Fork project includes 2.0 miles of new road construction, 25.5 miles of road maintenance, 3.3 miles of road to be put in storage, and 10.3 miles of road to be abandoned.

Planting or seeding of willow, aspen, alder, or other native trees or shrubs along Daniels Creek is proposed to provide shade and future large woody debris. In addition, trees would be placed in Daniels Creek, McCray Run, and Nichols Lane Run to help channel stability and to provide stream structure.

The proposed action includes one stand that would be converted to a savannah, approximately 10 acres in size. An estimated 56 acres of red spruce release would occur to improve habitat for wildlife species such as the endangered West Virginia northern flying squirrel. Two wildlife openings, approximately 3 acres each, would be developed to provide open, grassy habitat for species such as white-tailed deer, wild turkey, and black bear.

**Responsible Official**

The responsible official is Clyde N. Thompson, Monongahela National Forest Supervisor, 200 Sycamore Street, Elkins, West Virginia 26241.

**Nature of Decision To Be Made**

The responsible official will make a determination in his decision whether the proposed action should proceed as proposed, as modified, or not at all. The decision will also include mitigation measures to be implemented with the project and associated monitoring requirements. The decision maker will also determine whether or not an amendment to the Monongahela National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan is needed.

**Scoping Process**

The scoping period last for 45 days from the date this notice is published in the **Federal Register**. Written and oral comments from the public will be received through the postal service, via fax, or over the telephone. No public meetings, open houses, or field trips are planned at this time.

**Comment Requested**

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments will be used to identify significant issues,

which will be used to develop alternatives to the proposed action. Comments should be as specific as possible. In order for the Forest Service to consider research identified in comments, the commentor must identify why the research is pertinent to the Gladly Fork project and must provide a copy of the research papers.

*Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review:* A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7. and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: June 28, 2002.

**Clyde N. Thompson,**  
*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 02-16816 Filed 7-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Notice of Resource Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Modoc Resource Advisory Committee, Alturas, California, USDA Forest Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committees Act (Public Law 92-462) and under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-393) the Modoc National Forest's Modoc Resource Advisory Committee will meet Wednesday, August 7th, 2002, Wednesday, August 21st, 2002, and Wednesday, September 11th, 2002, in Alturas, California for business meetings. The meetings are open to the public.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The business meeting August 7th begins at 5 pm., at the Modoc National Forest Office, Conference Room, 800 West 12th St., Alturas. Agenda topics will include approval of July 10, 2002 minutes, projects submitted for the new fiscal year 2003, reports from subcommittees and review and selection of projects that will improve the maintenance of existing infrastructure, implement stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems, and restore and improve health and water quality that meet the intent of Pub. L. 106-393. Time will also be set aside for public comments at the beginning of the meeting. The business meeting August 21st begins at 5 pm., at the Modoc National Forest Office, Conference Room, 800 West 12th St., Alturas. Agenda topics will include approval of the August 7, 2002 minutes, projects submitted for the new fiscal year 2003, reports from subcommittees and selection of projects on the Modoc National Forest that meet the intent of Pub. L. 106-393. Time will be set aside for public comments at the beginning of the meeting. The business meeting September 11th begins at 5 pm., at the Modoc National Forest Office, Conference Room 800 West 12th St., Alturas. Agenda topics will include

approval of the August 21, 2002 minutes, projects submitted for the new fiscal year 2003, reports from subcommittees and selection of projects on the Modoc National Forest that meet the intent of Pub. L. 106-393. Time will be set aside for public comments at the beginning of the meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dan Chisholm, Forest Supervisor and Designated Federal Officer, at (530) 233-8700; or Public Affairs Officer Nancy Gardner at (530) 233-8713.

**Dan Chisholm,**  
*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 02-16810 Filed 7-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### Lower Clear Boggy Creek Watershed, Site 32B, Atoka County, Oklahoma

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of record of decision.

**SUMMARY:** M. Darrel Dominick, responsible Federal official for projects administered under the provisions of Public Law 83-566, 16 U.S.C. 1001-1008, in the State of Oklahoma, is hereby providing notification that a record of decision to proceed with the installation of the Lower Clear Boggy Creek Watershed, Site 32B project is available. Single copies of this record of decision may be obtained from M. Darrel Dominick at the address shown below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** M. Darrel Dominick, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, State Office, 100 USDA Suite 206, Stillwater, Oklahoma, 74074-2655, telephone (405) 742-1227.

(This activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials.)

Dated: June 19, 2002.

**M. Darrel Dominick,**  
*State Conservationist.*

[FR Doc. 02-16876 Filed 7-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-16-M**

### COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

#### Procurement List; Proposed Additions and Deletions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed additions to and deletions from Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is proposing to add to the Procurement List products and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and to delete products previously furnished by such agencies.

*Comments Must Be Received on or Before:* August 4, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sheryl D. Kennerly, (703) 603-7740.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a) (2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

#### Additions

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, the entities of the Federal Government identified in this notice for each product or service will be required to procure the products and services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the products and services to the Government.

2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products and services to the government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the products and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters