

waters that conventional motorboats can't navigate.

### Call for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages you to submit comments and related material responding to the suggestions of the ACA; others just discussed; the questions that follow; or other issues concerning definitions of watercraft. We also welcome any other comments in connection with this notice. Please include with your submission your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking [USCG-1998-4734], indicate the specific questions in the next four paragraphs to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and material by mail, hand delivery, fax, or electronic means to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES**; but please submit your comments and material by only one means. If you submit them by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comments will help us to determine whether to initiate a rulemaking in accordance with the petitioner's request.

### Questions

1. Are the difficulties associated with the use of water-jet-driven recreational vessels so severe that they require the Coast Guard to adopt fresh terms and definitions, so as to describe those vessels and distinguish them from conventional propeller-driven vessels?
2. Should the Coast Guard adopt fresh terms and definitions to identify the recreational vessels now generally referred to as *PWC* that lack conventional hulls? If so, what? Is the definition suggested by the ACA adequate for one? Should any terms and definitions depend upon a minimum of water-jet thrust? Should any of them cover similar propeller-driven recreational vessels? How many people should such vessels carry, and how large should they be allowed to get, before they fall outside the definitions?
3. Should the Coast Guard adopt fresh terms and definitions to identify other types of recreational vessels propelled by water-jet pumps that have conventional hulls? If so, what? Is the definition suggested by the ACA adequate for one? Should any terms and

definitions depend upon a minimum of water-jet thrust?

4. Should the Coast Guard adopt fresh terms and definitions to identify other types of recreational vessels such as canoes, kayaks, houseboats, bowriders, bassboats, and jonboats? If so, why?

Dated: June 24, 2002.

**Kenneth T. Venuto,**

*Rear Admiral, Coast Guard, Director of Operations Policy.*

[FR Doc. 02-16755 Filed 7-2-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 34212]

#### Central Railroad Company of Indianapolis—Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR), pursuant to a written trackage rights agreement entered into between NSR and Central Railroad Company of Indianapolis (CERA)<sup>1</sup> has agreed to grant overhead and limited local trackage rights to CERA over a portion of NSR's track (1) from CERA's connection with NSR and the trackage of the West Marion Belt at Michael, IN, at milepost TS-157.44, to the connection between the West Marion Belt and the trackage of Winamac Southern Railroad Company adjacent to NSR-operated Goodman Yard at Marion, IN, and (2) from CERA's connection with NSR at milepost TS-157.44, through the switch serving Bell Fiber Corporation at milepost TS-155.6, to, and including, the switch serving Essex Wire, Incorporated, at milepost TS-154.65, a total distance of approximately 5 miles.

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on or shortly after June 21, 2002, the effective date of the exemption (7 days after the notice was filed).

The trackage rights will allow CERA to enhance rail service for certain shippers and provide more efficient and economical routings and service for the shippers' traffic.

<sup>1</sup> On June 14, 2002, CERA concurrently filed a notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 34221, *Central Railroad Company of Indianapolis—Acquisition and Control Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company*, wherein CERA would acquire and continue to operate approximately 31.66 miles of railroad from NSR, which runs between (1) Kokomo, IN, milepost I-51.8 and near Kokomo, IN milepost I-57.2, and (2) near West Marion Belt, IN, milepost TS-157.44, and Kokomo, IN, milepost TS-183.7.

As a condition to this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights-BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34212, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Louis E. Gitomer, 1455 F Street, NW., Suite 225, Washington, DC 20005.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at [www.stb.dot.gov](http://www.stb.dot.gov).

Decided: June 27, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik,  
Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-16720 Filed 7-2-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 26, 2002.

The Department of the Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before August 2, 2002 to be assured of consideration.

### Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

*OMB Number:* 1545-0001.

*Form Number:* IRS Form CT-1.

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Title:* Employer's Annual Railroad Retirement Tax Return.