

Transmission Systems, Inc. Open Access Transmission Tariff submitted for filing by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in Docket No. ER99-2647-000. The proposed effective date under the Service Agreement is June 13, 2002 for the above mentioned Service Agreement in this filing.

Comment Date: July 5, 2002.

17. American Transmission Systems, Inc.

[Docket No. ER02-2097-000]

Take notice that on June 14, 2002, American Transmission Systems, Inc. filed a Service Agreement to provide Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service for NSP Energy Marketing, the Transmission Customer. Services are being provided under the American Transmission Systems, Inc. Open Access Transmission Tariff submitted for filing by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in Docket No. ER99-2647-000. The proposed effective date under the Service Agreement is June 13, 2002 for the above mentioned Service Agreement in this filing.

Comment Date: July 5, 2002.

18. Progress Energy Inc. On behalf of DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC.

[Docket No. ER02-2098-000]

Take notice that on June 14, 2002, DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC (DeSoto) tendered for filing an executed Service Agreement between DeSoto and the following eligible buyer, Florida Power & Light Company. Service to this eligible buyer will be in accordance with the terms and conditions of DeSoto's Cost-Based Rates "Up To" Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff No. 1.

DeSoto requests an effective date of May 20, 2002 for this Service Agreement. Copies of the filing were served upon the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the South Carolina Public Service Commission, the Florida Public Service Commission and the Georgia Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: July 5, 2002.

19. Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER02-2099-000]

Take notice that on June 14, 2002, Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation (Central Hudson) tendered for filing proposed changes in its Rate Schedule FERC No. 202 which sets forth the terms and charges for substation service provided by Central Hudson to Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Central Hudson requests waiver on the notice requirements set forth in 18

CFR 35.11 of the Regulations to permit charges to become effective January 1, 2002 as agreed to by the parties.

Central Hudson states that a copy of its filing was served on Con Edison and the State of New York Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: July 5, 2002.

20. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER02-2100-000]

Take notice that on June 14, 2002, PJM Interconnection L.L.C. (PJM), tendered for filing two executed umbrella service agreements for firm point-to-point transmission service and non-firm point-to-point transmission service with UBS AG, acting through its London Branch and care of UBS Warburg Energy, LLC (UBS AG).

PJM requested a waiver of the Commission's notice regulations to permit effective date of May 15m 2002 for the agreements, the date that the agreements were executed.

Copies of this filing were served upon UBS AG, as well as the state utility regulatory commissions within the PJM region.

Comment Date: July 5, 2002.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.201(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary**

[FR Doc. 02-16148 Filed 6-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-100-000]

Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County, WA, Complainant, v. American Electric Power Service Corporation, as Agent for the Operating Companies of American Electric Power Company, Inc., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

June 19, 2002.

Take notice that on June 18, 2002, Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County, Washington (Snohomish) filed a complaint against American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP), as agent for the Operating Companies of American Electric Power Company, Inc., concerning a long-term power supply contract executed by AEP with Snohomish in January 2001, when the Western energy markets allegedly were dysfunctional. Snohomish requests that the Commission: (i) revoke AEP's market-based rate authority; and (2) terminate the contract or, in the alternative, reform the price to the \$24/MWh historical average in the Pacific Northwest. Snohomish also requests that the Commission set a refund effective date as early as July 2, 2001, and not later than 60 days from the date of filing of its complaint. A copy of the complaint was served on AEP.

Snohomish has requested privileged treatment of certain information in the complaint, and has filed privileged and public copies of the complaint, a request for privileged treatment, and a protective agreement.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before July 8, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before July 8, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the

instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-16150 Filed 6-25-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the California State Lands Commission

[FERC Docket No. CP01-422-000; CA State Clearinghouse No. 2001071035; CSLC EIR No. 710; BLM Reference Nos. CACA-43346 and CACA-17918]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Availability/Completion of the Final Environmental Impact Statement/Report for the Proposed Kern River 2003 Expansion Project

June 20, 2002.

The staffs of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) and the California State Lands Commission (CSLC) have prepared a final environmental impact statement/report (EIS/EIR) to address natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by Kern River Gas Transmission Company (KRGT).

The final EIS/EIR was prepared as required by the National Environmental Policy Act and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Its purpose is to inform the public and the permitting agencies about the potential adverse and beneficial environmental impacts of the proposed project and its alternatives, and recommend mitigation measures that would reduce any significant adverse impacts to the maximum extent possible and, where feasible, to a less than significant level. With one exception, the EIS/EIR concludes that the potentially significant adverse environmental impacts of the proposed project can be mitigated to a level of insignificance with appropriate mitigation measures. As discussed in the EIS/EIR, a long-term reduction in the special concern vegetation communities of yucca, cactus, and agave cannot be ruled out and, therefore, potential impacts on these species could be significant. Accordingly, the CSLC's approval of the project would be subject to a Statement

of Overriding Considerations under the CEQA due to this significant unavoidable impact that could remain after mitigation is applied.¹

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is participating as a cooperating agency in the preparation of the EIS/EIR because the project would cross Federal land under the jurisdiction of seven field offices in Wyoming, Utah, and Nevada, and one district office and three field offices in California. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (FS) is also a cooperating agency in the preparation of this document because the Dixie National Forest and the Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest/Spring Mountains National Recreation Area would be crossed by the project. The EIS/EIR will be used by the BLM to consider issuance of a new or amended right-of-way grant for the portion of the project on Federal lands.

The final EIS/EIR addresses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the following facilities in Wyoming, Utah, Nevada, and California:

- 634.5 miles of 36-inch-diameter pipeline adjacent to KRGT's existing pipeline in Wyoming (Lincoln and Uinta Counties), Utah (Summit, Morgan, Salt Lake, Utah, Juab, Millard, Beaver, Iron, and Washington Counties), Nevada (Lincoln and Clark Counties), and California (San Bernardino County);
- 82.2 miles of 42-inch-diameter pipeline adjacent to the portion of KRGT's existing pipeline that it jointly owns with Mojave Pipeline Company in California (San Bernardino and Kern Counties);
- 0.8 mile of 12-inch-diameter pipeline in Uinta County, Wyoming;
- Three new compressor stations, one each in Wyoming (Uinta County), Utah (Salt Lake County), and Nevada (Clark County) for a total of 60,000 horsepower (hp) of compression;
- Modifications to six existing compressor stations, one in Wyoming (Lincoln County), three in Utah (Utah, Millard, and Washington Counties), one in Nevada (Clark County), and one in California (San Bernardino County) for a total of 103,700 hp of new compression;
- Modifications to one existing meter station in Wyoming (Lincoln County) and four existing meter stations in

¹ The CEQA Guidelines Section 15093 requires the CSLC to balance, as applicable, the economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of a proposed project against its unavoidable environmental risks when determining whether to approve the project. If the specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of a proposed project outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects, the adverse environmental effects may be considered "acceptable."

California (two each in San Bernardino and Kern Counties); and

- Various mainline block valves, internal inspection tool launcher/receiver facilities, and other appurtenances.

The final EIS/EIR has been placed in the public files of the FERC and the CSLC and is available for public inspection at:

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1371;

and California State Lands Commission, 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100 South, Sacramento, CA 95825, (916) 574-1890.

The final EIS/EIR was filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and submitted to the California State Clearinghouse. The document was also mailed to appropriate Federal, state, and local agencies; elected officials, Native American groups; newspapers; public libraries; intervenors to the FERC's proceeding; and other interested parties who provided scoping comments, commented on the draft EIS/EIR, or wrote to the FERC, the CSLC, or the BLM asking to receive a copy of the document. A formal notice indicating that the final EIS/EIR is available was published in the **Federal Register** and posted in the appropriate County Clerks' offices in California.

A limited number of copies of the final EIS/EIR are available from the FERC's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch identified above. Copies may also be obtained from Cy Oggins, CSLC, at the address above. The final EIS/EIR is available for viewing on the project web site at <http://www.kernriver2003.com> and at the public libraries listed in appendix 1 of this notice.²

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Cy Oggins at the CSLC at (916) 574-1884, or on the CSLC web site at <http://www.slc.ca.gov>, and from the FERC's Office of External Affairs at (202) 208-1088 (direct line) or you can call the FERC operator at 1-800-847-8885 and ask for External Affairs. Information is also available on the FERC web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS"

² The appendix referenced in this notice is not being printed in the **Federal Register**. A copy is available on the FERC's web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) at the "RIMS" link or from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, N.E., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. For instructions on connecting to RIMS, refer to page 4 of this notice.