

effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that Order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination"

is available for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new temporary § 165.T08—056 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.T08—056 Safety Zone; Ohio River Miles 269.0 to 270.0, Gallipolis, Ohio.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: the waters of the Ohio River from miles 269.0 to 270.0, extending the entire width of the river.

(b) *Effective date.* This section is effective from 10 p.m. to 10:45 p.m. on July 4, 2002.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry of persons and vessels into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Huntington or his designated representative.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage through the zone must request permission from the Captain of the Port Huntington, or his designated representative. They may be contacted via VHF-FM Channel 13 or 16 or via telephone at (304) 529-5524.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Huntington and designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: June 7, 2002.

L.D. Stroh,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Huntington.

[FR Doc. 02-15226 Filed 6-17-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Huntington-02-006]

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Zone; Ohio River Miles 252.0 to 253.0, Middleport, OH

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the waters of the Ohio River beginning at mile 252.0 and ending at mile 253.0, extending the entire width of the river. This safety zone is needed to protect spectators and vessels from the potential safety hazards associated with a fireworks display. Entry into this zone is prohibited, unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port, Huntington or his designated representative.

DATES: This rule is effective from 9:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. on July 4, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [COTP Huntington-02-006] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Huntington, 1415 6th Avenue, Huntington, West Virginia, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Petty Officer, Rick Leffler, Marine Safety Office Huntington, Marine Event Coordinator at (304) 529-5524.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM, and, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Information was made available to the Coast Guard in insufficient time to publish an NPRM or for publication in the **Federal Register** 30 days prior to the event. Publishing an NPRM and delaying its effective date would be contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to protect vessels and mariners from the hazards associated with a fireworks display.

Background and Purpose

The Captain of the Port Huntington is establishing a safety zone between miles

252.0 and 253.0 of the Ohio River, extending the entire width of the river. This safety zone is needed to protect spectators and vessels from the potential safety hazards associated with a fireworks display. All vessels and persons are prohibited from transiting within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Huntington or his designated representative.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This regulation will only be in effect for a short period of time and notifications to the marine community will be made through broadcast notice to mariners. The impacts on routine navigation are expected to be minimal.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit portions of the Ohio River from miles 252.0 to 253.0, from 9:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. on July 4, 2002. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because this rule will be in effect for only a short period of time and mariners will be notified in advance of the zone through broadcast notice to mariners.

If you are a small business entity and are significantly affected by this regulation please contact Chief Petty Officer Rick Leffler, Marine Safety Office Huntington at (304) 529–5524.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we so discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that Order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A “Categorical Exclusion Determination” is available for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new temporary § 165.108–055 is added to read as follows:

§ 165.108–055 Safety Zone; Ohio River Miles 252.0 to 253.0, Middleport, Ohio.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: the waters of the Ohio River from miles 252.0 to 253.0 extending the entire width of the river.

(b) *Effective date.* This section is effective from 9:30 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. on July 4, 2002.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry of persons and vessels into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Huntington or his designated representative.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage through the zone must request permission from the Captain of the Port Huntington, or his designated representative. They may be contacted via VHF–FM Channel 13 or 16 or via telephone at (304) 529–5524.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Huntington and designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: June 7, 2002.

L.D. Stroh,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Huntington.

[FR Doc. 02–15227 Filed 6–17–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD05–01–066]

RIN 2115–AE84

Regulated Navigation Area; Chesapeake Bay Entrance and Hampton Roads, VA and Adjacent Waters

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule; change of effective period.

SUMMARY: Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District is extending the effective

period for the temporary final rules published earlier for the “Regulated Navigation Area; Chesapeake Bay Entrance and Hampton Roads, VA and Adjacent Waters” to December 15, 2002, to ensure public safety and security and to ensure the uninterrupted flow of commerce.

DATES: Section 165.501(d)(14) added at 66 FR 53713, October 24, 2001, effective October 24, 2001, until June 15, 2002; sections 165.501(a)(13), (d)(15), and (d)(16), added at 66 FR 66754, December 27, 2001, effective December 11, 2001, until June 15, 2002, are extended in effect until December 15, 2002. Section 165.501(a)(1), suspended at 66 FR 66754, December 27, 2001, from December 11, 2001, until June 15, 2002, will continue to be suspended through December 15, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule or questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, contact Lieutenant Junior Grade Monica Acosta, project officer, USCG Marine Safety Office Hampton Roads, telephone number (757) 441–3453.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Purpose

Due to the terrorist attacks of September 2001, and continued warnings from national security and intelligence officials that future terrorist attacks are possible, there is an increased risk that subversive activity could be launched by vessels or persons against the United States. In September 2001, the Commander, Naval Station Norfolk requested vessel speed limits for certain vessels operating in the vicinity of Naval Station Norfolk to ensure the safety and security of naval vessels in that area.

On October 24, 2001, the Coast Guard published a temporary final rule entitled, “Regulated Navigation Area; Chesapeake Bay Entrance and Hampton Roads, VA and Adjacent Waters,” in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 53712). The temporary rule added vessel speed limits for certain vessels operating in the vicinity of Naval Station Norfolk, to the existing regulated navigation area for the Chesapeake Bay entrance and Hampton Roads, VA and adjacent waters.

We are extending the effective period of the temporary final rule so that we can complete a rulemaking to permanently change the regulated navigation area at the entrance to Chesapeake Bay and Hampton Roads, VA. Extending the effective date of the temporary rule until December 15, 2002,

should provide us enough time to complete the rulemaking.

Due to the increased awareness that future terrorist attacks are possible, the Coast Guard, as lead federal agency for maritime homeland security, has determined that the District Commander must have the means to be aware of, deter, detect, intercept, and respond to asymmetric threats, acts of aggression, and attacks by terrorists on the American homeland while still maintaining our freedoms and sustaining the flow of commerce. A regulated navigation area is a tool available to the Coast Guard that may be used to control vessel traffic by specifying times of vessel entry, movement, or departure to, from, within, or through ports, harbors, or other waters.

On December 27, 2001, we published a temporary final rule entitled, “Regulated Navigation Area; Chesapeake Bay Entrance and Hampton Roads, VA and Adjacent Waters,” in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 66753). The temporary rule expanded the geographic definition of the Hampton Roads regulated navigation area to include the waters of the 12 nautical mile territorial sea off the Coast of Virginia and added new port security measures.

We are also extending the effective period of this temporary final rule so that we can complete a rulemaking to permanently change the regulated navigation area at the entrance to Chesapeake Bay and Hampton Roads, VA. Extending the effective date of the temporary rule until December 15, 2002, should provide us enough time to complete the rulemaking.

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this rule and it is being made effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. When we promulgated these rules on October 24, 2001, and December 27, 2001, we intended to either allow them to expire on June 15, 2002, or to cancel them if we made permanent changes before this date. We are now preparing an NPRM to make permanent changes to the regulated navigation area. That rulemaking will follow the normal notice and comment procedures, and a final rule should be published before December 15, 2002. Continuing the temporary rule in effect while the permanent rulemaking is in progress will help to ensure the security of the Chesapeake Bay and the Port of Hampton Roads during that period. Therefore, the Coast Guard finds good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) and (d)(3) for why a notice of proposed rulemaking and opportunity for comment is not required and why this