

after the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by petitioners. The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On May 23, 2002, Usinor Group (respondent) requested that the Department, pursuant to Section 735(a)(2) of the Act, postpone its final determination until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** and requested extension of provisional measures to a period not to exceed six months. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because the request was filed in proper form and because (1) our amended preliminary determination was affirmative, (2) the respondent requesting the postponement accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting the respondent's request and are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act, the Department is postponing the final determination of the aforementioned investigation until September 23, 2002. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This notice is published in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act.

Dated: June 6, 2002

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-15099 Filed 6-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-560-803]

Notice of Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Extruded Rubber Thread From Indonesia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: We determine that sales of the subject merchandise have not been made below normal value ("NV").

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 14, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Terpstra or Lyman Armstrong, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3965 or (202) 482-3601, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2001).

Case History

On February 7, 2002, the Department published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Indonesia. See *Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Extruded Rubber Thread from Indonesia*, 67 FR 5786 ("Preliminary Results"). As discussed in the preliminary results, this review covers shipments by P.T. Swasthi Parama Mulya ("Swasthi"), during the period of review ("POR") May 1, 2000 through April 30, 2001. We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Swasthi, a respondent in the case, submitted a letter stating that

it would not file any comments regarding the preliminary results unless in response to other comments filed by other interested parties. See Letter from Swasthi to the Department of Commerce (February 28, 2002). Interested parties did not submit case briefs nor did they request a hearing. There have been no changes since the preliminary results.

Scope of the Review

For purposes of this review, the product covered is extruded rubber thread ("ERT") from Indonesia. ERT is defined as vulcanized rubber thread obtained by extrusion of stable or concentrated natural rubber latex of any cross sectional shape, measuring from 0.18 mm, which is 0.007 inches or 140 gauge, to 1.42 mm, which is 0.056 inch or 18 gauge, in diameter.

ERT is currently classified under subheading 4007.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS). Although the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Partial Recission

We originally initiated a review of two companies: Swasthi and Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd. ("Filati"), (see *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 32934 (June 19, 2001)). However, as noted in the preliminary results, Filati withdrew its request and there were no additional requests for a review of Filati from any other interested party. We received no comments concerning Filati for the final results. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) and consistent with Department practice, we are rescinding our review of Filati (see, e.g., *Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 63 FR 35190, 35191, (June 29, 1998); see also, *Certain Fresh Cut Flowers From Colombia: Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 53287, 53288 (October 14, 1997)).

Price Comparisons

We calculated export price and NV based on the same methodology described in the *Preliminary Results*.

Analysis of Comments Received

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. As noted above, we received no comments from any party.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that Swasthi had a zero weighted-average margin for the period May 1, 2000 through April 30, 2001.

Assessment Rate

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department calculated an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise. For assessment purposes, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates for the subject merchandise by aggregating the dumping margins for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing the amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis* we will instruct Customs to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of ERT from Indonesia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Swasthi will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent final results in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent final results for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 24.00 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Extruded Rubber Thread From Indonesia, 64 FR 27755 (May 21, 1999).

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under

19 CFR 351.402 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 3, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-15102 Filed 6-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-605]

Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On April 17, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil (67 FR 18859). This review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States. This review covers the period May 1, 2000, through April 30, 2001. We have made no changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results.

We have determined that no sales have been made below the normal value by Branco Peres Citrus S.A. in this review. In addition, we have determined

to rescind the review with respect to Citrovita Agro-Industrial Ltda., because the request for review was withdrawn, and with respect to CTM Citrus S.A., and Sucorrico S.A., because they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 14, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656 or (202) 482-3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations are to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

This review covers four manufacturers/exporters (*i.e.*, Branco Peres Citrus S.A. (Branco Peres); Citrovita Agro Industrial Ltda. and its affiliated parties Cambuhy MC Industrial Ltda. and Cambuhy Citrus Comercial e Exportadora (collectively, "Citrivita"); CTM Citrus S.A. (CTM); and Sucorrico S.A. (Sucorrico)).

On April 17, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil. *See Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil; Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 18859 (Apr. 17, 2002) (Preliminary Results).

Sucorrico claimed that it did not have shipments of subject merchandise to the United States. Because we were able to confirm this with the Customs Service, and because we were also able to confirm that CTM also had no shipments, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding our review for CTM and Sucorrico. In January 2002, the petitioners withdrew their request