

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[NAFTA—06051]

**Mac Specialties Ltd, Oceanside, NY;
Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA), and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on February 11, 2002, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Mac Specialties Ltd, Oceanside, New York.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02–14795 Filed 6–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA–W–41,086]

**Abbott Laboratories, Laurinburg, NC;
Notice of Revised Determination on
Reconsideration**

By application of May 1, 2002, the company requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination, based on the finding that imports of medical equipment (IV units, surgical kits, trays etc.) did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant. The denial notice was signed on April 11, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2002 (67 FR 20166).

The company requested reconsideration based on a misunderstanding of the "Business Confidential Data Request Form" they

supplied the Department of Labor. The company failed to supply quantities and timing of products that are being imported back to the United States.

A review of import data supplied by the company on administrative reconsideration shows that the company began importing medical equipment "like or directly competitive" with products produced at the subject plant during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that increased imports of medical equipment, contributed importantly to the decline in production and to the total or partial separation of workers at Abbott Laboratories, Laurinburg, North Carolina. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following revised determination:

"All workers of Abbott Laboratories, Laurinburg, North Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 18, 2001 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 31st day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment
Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02–14799 Filed 6–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA–W–40,256]

**Lucent Technologies (Now Known as
Celestica), Columbus Works,
Columbus, OH; Notice of Revised
Determination on Reconsideration**

By letter of February 28, 2002, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 2020 requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on January 31, 2002, based on the finding that imports of circuit packs did not contribute importantly to worker separations at Lucent Technologies, (now known as Celestica), Columbus Works, Columbus, Ohio. The denial

notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2002 (67 FR 6748).

The petitioner on reconsideration alleged that the company shifted production of circuit packs to Canada and China and began importing the products back to the United States during the relevant period.

A review of data supplied in the initial investigation and further clarification obtained from the company shows that a major portion of production at the subject firm was transferred to foreign sources and that greater than half of that production was imported back to the United States during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Lucent Technologies, (now known as Celestica), Columbus Works, Columbus, Ohio, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Lucent Technologies, (now known as Celestica), Columbus Works, Columbus, Ohio, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 10, 2000 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 30th day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment
Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02–14797 Filed 6–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA–W–40,419]

**Flextronics International, Porstmouth,
NH; Notice of Negative Determination
Regarding Application for
Reconsideration**

By application received on May 1, 2002, the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to