

eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on January 22, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on February 5, 2002 (67 FR 5293).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition, filed on behalf of workers at Alfa Laval Inc., formerly known as Tri-Clover, Kenosha, Wisconsin producing fittings, valves and pumps was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm during the relevant period. The investigation further revealed that during 2000, Tri-Clover was acquired by a company that also owned Alfa Laval. As both companies produced similar product lines, a strategic business decision was made to consolidate production among multiple facilities. Thus declines in sales, production and employment were attributable to eliminating excess capacity. Plant production of valves and pumps were scheduled to be shifted to other domestic locations during mid2002. Plant production of fittings was transferred to a foreign source, but was not imported back to the United States during the relevant period. The petitioner appears to be alleging that shifts in subject plant production of fittings to a foreign source occurred and that plant production of valves and pumps will be shifted to foreign sources in the near future, therefore the workers of the subject plant should be considered eligible for TAA.

An examination of the initial investigation revealed that shifts in production (fittings) at the subject firm have occurred. The other products (valves and pumps) produced at the subject firm were scheduled to be shifted during mid2002. The shifts in production (also outsourcing) to foreign sources is not relevant to meeting criterion (3) of the Trade Act of 1974.

The products produced by the subject firm would have to be imported back into the United States and also must "contribute importantly" to the layoffs at the subject firm for the worker groups engaged in producing fittings, valves and pumps to be certified eligible to apply for TAA. No such evidence was provided to show that this occurred during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-13942 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-13940 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,647]

Biltwell Clothing Co., Farmington, Missouri; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 28, 2002 in response to a worker petition, which was filed by the company on behalf of workers at Biltwell Clothing Co., Farmington, Missouri.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect (TA-W-39,244). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 3rd day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-13943 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,525, TA-W-40,525E, and TA-W-40,525F]

The Boeing Company Commercial Airplane Group, Seattle, Washington, Corinth, Texas, and Irving, Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 18, 2002, applicable to workers of The Boeing Company, Commercial Airplane Group, Seattle, Washington. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15226).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,471]

Besser Co., Alpena Michigan; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of January 4, 2002, the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Local Lodge D-472 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on November 27, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on December 18, 2001 (66 FR 65220).

The Department reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department will examine the petitioner's allegation claiming that the Department did not survey a representative sample of the subject firm's customer base.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

workers are engaged in the production of large commercial aircraft and the components thereof.

Company information shows that worker separations occurred at the Corinth, Texas and Irving, Texas locations of the Commercial Airplane Group of The Boeing Company. These workers produce commercial aircraft components such as wire harnesses and avionics—flight deck controls and black boxes, respectively.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of the Corinth, Texas and Irving, Texas locations of The Boeing Company, Commercial Airplane Group.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of The Boeing Company, Commercial Airplane Group who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-40,525 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of The Boeing Company, Commercial Airplane Group, Seattle, Washington (TA-W-40,525), Corinth, Texas (TA-W-40,525E) and Irving, Texas (TA-W-40,525F) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 25, 2002, through March 18, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-13937 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
[FR Doc. 02-13932 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

Signed in Washington, DC, this 17th day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
[FR Doc. 02-13945 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,353]

Dynamic Details, LP, a Division of Dynamic Details, Inc., Garland, Texas; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on November 13, 2001 in response to a worker petition, which was filed by the company on behalf of workers at Dynamic Details, LP, a division of Dynamic Details, Inc., Garland, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 6th day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-13941 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,926]

EVTAC Mining LLC, Formerly Thunderbird Mining, Eveleth, Minnesota; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 12, 2002, the United Steel Workers of America, Local 6860 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 13, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15225).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of EVTAC Mining LLC, formerly Thunderbird Mining, Eveleth, Minnesota was denied because criterion (2) of the group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Sales and production at the subject firm increased during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that sales and production would have shown a decline in the 2001 period if it were not for a fire at the subject firm that disrupted production at the subject plant during year 2000. The petitioner further implies that reduced production at the subject firm in 2000 appears to create an incorrect upward trend in sales and production at the subject plant in 2001.

Based on data supplied by the petitioner and the initial investigation,

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,396]

Carter Industries, Inc., Brooklyn, New York; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Carter Industries, Inc., Brooklyn, New York. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-39,396; Carter Industries, Inc.
Brooklyn, New York (May 20, 2002).

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,346]

Electronic Data Systems, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 15, 2002, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Electronic Data Systems, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania.

There is an existing petition investigation in process for workers of the subject firm (TA-40,916). Consequently further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.