

sales and production increased in 2001 over the 2000 period. The petitioner supplied a company memo with their request for administrative reconsideration showing what estimated plant production would have been if there were no fire at the subject plant in the year 2000. Based on the information supplied, no declines in sales or production occurred during the relevant period of the investigation.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of May, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13938 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,147 and TA-W-40,147A]

#### Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York and Guilford Mills, Inc., Sales Division, New York, New York; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of January 16, 2002, the company requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on December 31, 2001, based on the finding that imports of lace and fabric did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2002 (67 FR 1510).

To support the request for reconsideration, the company requested that the Department of Labor survey an additional list of major lace customers.

Upon examination of the customer list it became evident that a major customer affiliated with the subject firm was certified for TAA on December 31, 2001 (Guilford Mills, Inc., Herkimer, New York, TA-W-38,749). A major portion of

the subject plant's lace was shipped to that facility. That customer incorporated the lace into window and bedspread products. The Herkimer facility was certified for TAA on the basis of increased imports of curtain and bedspreads. The Sales Division workers, located in New York, New York were engaged in the sales of the lace produced by the subject plant. Since a meaningful portion of production and sales at the respective subject firm locations were in direct support of the affiliated certified facility, the subject facilities meet the TAA criteria.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, the company imports of articles like or directly competitive with an affiliated facility under an existing TAA certification in which Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York and Guilford Mills, Inc., Sales Division, New York, New York are in direct support of contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York, (TA-W-40,147) and Guilford Mills, Inc., Sales Division, New York, New York (TA-W-40,147A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 21, 2000 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC this 9th day of May, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13935 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,147, TA-W-40,147B, and TA-W-40,147C]

#### Guilford Mills, Inc.; Cobleskill, New York, Guilford Mills, Inc., Apparel Home Fashion Division, Greensboro, North Carolina, and Guilford Mills, Inc., Corporate Division, Greensboro, North Carolina; Amended Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Revised

Determination on Reconsideration on May 9, 2002, applicable to workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York. The notice will be published soon in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of lace and fabric for apparel.

The company reports that worker separations occurred at the Apparel Home Fashion Division and the Corporate Division facilities of the subject firm. These divisions provide sales and administrative support function services directly for the Cobleskill, New York production facility.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Apparel Home Fashion Division and Corporate Division, Greensboro, North Carolina.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Guilford Mills, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-40,147 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Guilford Mills, Inc., Cobleskill, New York (TA-W-40,147), Guilford Mills, Apparel Home Fashion Division, Greensboro, North Carolina (TA-W-40,147B) and Guilford Mills, Inc., Corporate Division, Greensboro, North Carolina (TA-W-40,147C) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 21, 2000, through May 9, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 20th day of May, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division, of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13934 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,473]

#### Marlan Tool, Inc., Meadville, Pennsylvania; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Marlan Tool, Inc., Meadville, Pennsylvania. The application contained no new substantial

information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-40,473; Marlan Tool, Inc., Meadville, Pennsylvania (May 17, 2002)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of May, 2002.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13936 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,126]

#### **U.S. Steel Corp., Clairton Works, Clairton, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 25, 2002 in response to a petition, which was filed by United Steelworkers of America, Local 1557, on behalf of workers at Clairton Works, U.S. Steel Corporation, Clairton, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 15th day of May, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13944 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,884 and TA-W-39,884A]

#### **VF Playwear, Inc., Centreville, Alabama, and VF Playwear, Inc., Corporate Headquarters, Greensboro, North Carolina; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on November 5, 2001, applicable to workers of VF Playwear, Inc., Centreville, Alabama. The notice was published in the **Federal**

**Register** on November 20, 2001 (66 FR 58171).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of children's playwear.

The company reports that worker separations occurred at the Corporate Headquarters, Greensboro, North Carolina location of the subject firm. The Corporate Headquarters provides administrative support functions to the subject firms' many production facilities including Centreville, Alabama.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of VF Playwear, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers of VF Playwear, Inc., Corporate Headquarters, The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39,884 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of VF Playwear, Inc., Centreville, Alabama (TA-W-39,884) and VF Playwear, Inc., Corporate Headquarters, Greensboro, North Carolina (TA-W-39,884A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 2, 2000, through November 5, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington DC, this 23rd day of April, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13933 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,427]

#### **Wehadkee Yarn Mills, Talladega, Alabama; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 22, 2002, in response to a petition which was filed by the company official at Wehadkee Yarn Mills, Talladega, Alabama.

The petitioner has formally withdrawn the petition and consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 17th day of May, 2002.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-13946 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05556]

#### **Alfa Laval Inc., Formerly Known as Tri-Clover, Kenosha, Wisconsin; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated February 21, 2002, the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, Lodge 34 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers producing pumps and valves of the subject firm. The denial notice for pumps was signed on January 30, 2002, and was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2002 (67 FR 6748). The denial notice for valves was signed on January 30, 2002 and will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The NAFTA-TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Alfa Laval, Inc., formerly known as Tri-Clover engaged in activities related to the production of pumps and valves was denied because criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production of valves and pumps from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period. The investigation further revealed that during 2000, Tri-Clover was acquired by a company that also owned Alfa Laval.