

As a Potential Respondent to the Request for Information

A. What actions could be taken to help ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of the information to be collected?

B. Are the instructions and definitions clear and sufficient? If not, which instructions need clarification?

C. Can the information be submitted by the due date?

D. Public reporting burdens for the forms are estimated to average:

With the 2003 Changes (hours per response)

EIA-800, "Weekly Refinery and Fractionator Report,"—1.38 hours

EIA-801, "Weekly Bulk Terminal Report,"—0.83 hours

EIA-802, "Weekly Product Pipeline Report,"—0.83 hours

EIA-803, "Weekly Crude Oil Stocks Report,"—0.50 hours

EIA-804, "Weekly Imports Report,"—1.38 hours

EIA-810, "Monthly Refinery Report,"—4.13 hours

EIA-811, "Monthly Bulk Terminal Report,"—1.93 hours

EIA-812, "Monthly Product Pipeline Report,"—2.48 hours

EIA-813, "Monthly Crude Oil Report,"—1.50 hours

EIA-814, "Monthly Imports Report,"—2.20 hours

EIA-816, "Monthly Natural Gas Liquids Report,"—0.83 hours

EIA-817, "Monthly Tanker and Barge Movement Report,"—1.93 hours

EIA-819, "Monthly Oxygenate Telephone Report,"—0.55 hours

EIA-820, "Annual Refinery Report,"—2.00 hours

With the 2004 Changes (hours per response)

EIA-800, "Weekly Refinery and Fractionator Report,"—1.58 hours

EIA-801, "Weekly Bulk Terminal Report,"—0.95 hours

EIA-802, "Weekly Product Pipeline Report,"—0.95 hours

EIA-803, "Weekly Crude Oil Stocks Report,"—0.50 hours

EIA-804, "Weekly Imports Report,"—1.58 hours

EIA-810, "Monthly Refinery Report,"—4.74 hours

EIA-811, "Monthly Bulk Terminal Report,"—2.21 hours

EIA-812, "Monthly Product Pipeline Report,"—2.85 hours

EIA-813, "Monthly Crude Oil Report,"—1.50 hours

EIA-814, "Monthly Imports Report,"—2.53 hours

EIA-816, "Monthly Natural Gas Liquids Report,"—0.95 hours

EIA-817, "Monthly Tanker and Barge Movement Report,"—2.21 hours

EIA-819, "Monthly Oxygenate Telephone Report,"—0.63 hours

EIA-820, "Annual Refinery Report,"—2.30 hours

The estimated burdens include the total time necessary to provide the requested information. In your opinion, how accurate are the estimates?

E. The agency estimates that the only cost to a respondent is for the time it will take to complete the collection. Will a respondent incur any start-up costs for reporting, or any recurring annual costs for operation, maintenance, and purchase of services associated with the information collection?

F. What additional actions could be taken to minimize the burden of this collection of information? Such actions may involve the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

G. Does any other Federal, State, or local agency collect similar information? If so, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the methods of collection.

As a Potential User of the Information To Be Collected

A. What actions could be taken to help ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of the information disseminated?

B. Is the information useful at the levels of detail to be collected?

C. For what purpose(s) would the information be used? Be specific.

D. Are there alternate sources for the information and are they useful? If so, what are their weaknesses and/or strengths?

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the form. They also will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authority: Section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Issued in Washington, DC, May 29, 2002.

Jay H. Casselberry,

Agency Clearance Officer, Statistics and Methods Group, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-13893 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL00-95-001, Docket No. ER02-1656-001]

San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Complainant v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents; California Independent System Operator Corporation; Notice Shortening Answer Period

May 29, 2002.

On May 21, 2002, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) filed an errata to its proposals for a Comprehensive Market Redesign originally filed on May 1, 2002. On May 24, 2002, the Commission issued a Notice of Filing that set the comment date as June 11, 2002 on Cal ISO's errata filing. By this notice, the period for filing answers to Cal ISO's errata is hereby shortened to and including June 4, 2002.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-13913 Filed 5-31-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 2699-001, 2019-017, & 11563-002-CA]

Northern California Power Agency; Utica Power Authority; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

Issued: May 29, 2002.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the applications for licenses for the Angels Hydroelectric Project, Utica Hydroelectric Project, and the Upper Utica Project. Commission staff, with the U.S. Forest Service as a cooperating agency, has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project. These projects are located on the North Fork Stanislaus River, Silver Creek, Mill Creek, and Angels Creek in Alpine, Calaveras, and Tuolumne Counties, California, partially within the Stanislaus National Forest.