

Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 15, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant section 6(b) of the Act on March 25, 2002 (67 FR 13662).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-13304 Filed 5-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Optical Internetworking Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on March 28, 2002, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Optical Internetworking Forum has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Synopsys, Mountain View, CA; Exar, Fremont, CA; Charlotte's Web Networks, Yoqneam, Israel; Mysticom, Netanya, Israel; Anritsu, Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa, Japan; Flextronics Semiconductor, Bowie, MD; Stratos Lightwave, Mountlake Terrace, WA; Bookham Technology, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom; Tality, Cary, NC; Peregrine Semiconductor, San Diego, CA; SiPackets, Fremont, CA; Ignis Optics, San Jose, CA; Sparkolor, Santa Clara, CA; Xindium, Champaign, IL; Harting Electro-Optics GmbH, Espelkamp, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany; Silicon Logic, Eau Claire, WI; Myrica Networks, San Diego, CA; Africa, Hertzlia, Israel; TeraConnect, Nashua, NH; DeriveIt, Campbell, CA; Greenfield Networks, Santa Clara, CA; MathStar, Minnetonka, MN; Santec Corporation, Komaki, Aichi, Japan; Optium, Chalfont, PA; Fiberspace, Woodland Hills, CA; Octillion Communications, San Jose, CA; and GDA Technologies, San Jose, CA have been added as parties to this venture.

Princeton Optronics, Princeton, NJ changed from auditing to small business member. The following members have changed their names: Cinta Corporation to Cinta Networks, San Jose, CA; GMD

to FhG—IMK, Munich, Bavaria, Germany; Edgeflow to Meriton Networks, Kanata, Ontario, Canada; Solinet Systems to Ceyba, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Sita Equant to Equant, Valbonne, Sophia Antipolis, France.

The following members have been involved with mergers: Onex Communications, Bedford, MA merged with TranSwitch Corporation, Bedford, MA; Ocular Networks, Reston, VA merged with Tellabs, Lisle, IL; and Silicon Packets, San Jose, CA merged with Cypress Semiconductor, San Jose, CA.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Optical Internetworking Forum intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 5, 1998, Optical Internetworking Forum filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 29, 1999 (64 FR 4709).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 3, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 15, 2002 (67 FR 7201).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-13307 Filed 5-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") Project No. 2000-01

Notice is hereby given that, on April 25, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") Project No. 2000-01: "Effective Methods and Lessons Learned for Exploration & Production Waste Treatment" has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to

actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are ChevronTexaco Energy Research and Technology Company, Richmond, CA; ExxonMobil Production Company, Houston, TX; Phillips Petroleum Company, Bartlesville, OK; and Unocal, Brea, CA. The nature and objectives of the venture are, through cooperative research efforts, to identify, develop and/or improve methods for waste management considering both biological and non-biological methods, disposal options, selecting methods for international locations, sharing lessons learned from implementing technologies at specific sites including remote locations.

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-13305 Filed 5-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—VSI Alliance

Notice is hereby give that, on April 16, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), VSI Alliance has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Acuid Limited, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom; Bob Altizer (individual member), Phoenix, AZ; Suzanne Harrison (individual member), Palo Alto, CA; Gerald Keeler (individual member), San Francisco, CA; Sadrudin Laiwala (individual member), Fremont, CA; David Laone (individual member), San Jose, CA; Zainalabedin Navabi (individual member), Boston, MA; Patrick Sullivan (individual member), Palo Alto, CA; Angela Sutton (individual member), Redwood City, CA; Joe Villella (individual member), Palo Alto, CA; and NewLogic Technologies AG, Lustenau, Austria have been added as parties to this venture. Also, 3DSP Corporation, Irvine, CA; American Microsystems, Inc., Pocatello, ID; Ando Electric Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; Axys Design Automation, Irvine, CA; C Level Design, Campbell,

CA; Fluence Technology, Inc., Beaverton, OR; Fuji Xerox Co. Ltd., Kanagawa, Japan; inSilicon Corp., San Jose, CA; Intensys, San Jose, CA; MIPS Technologies, Mountain View, CA; Monterey Design Systems, Sunnyvale, CA; Paxonet Communications, Pune, Maharashtra, India; Silicon Metrics, Austin, TX; Teradyne, Inc., Agoura Hills, CA; Xilinx, San Jose, CA; Zaiq Technologies, Inc., Woburn, MA; and NewLogic Consulting & Technology GmbH, Lustenau, Austria have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and VSI Alliance intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 29, 1996, VSI Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 1997 (62 FR 9812).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 15, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2002 (67 FR 10763).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 02-13306 Filed 5-28-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Management Information System Reporting Requirements for Youth Opportunity Grants

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the

general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paper-work Reduction Act of 1995, (PRA95)(44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data could be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of information collection for the management information system (MIS) reporting requirements for Youth Opportunity Grants. A copy of the current information collection request forms ETA-9086 and ETA-9087, can be obtained by contacting the employee listed below in the contact section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on/or before July 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Gregg Weltz, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N-4511, Washington, DC 20210, telephone: 202-693-3527. (this is not a toll-free number), e-mail: gweltz@dolleta.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: I. Background

Youth Opportunity Grants concentrate a large amount of resources in high-poverty neighborhoods to increase the employment, high school graduation, and college enrollment rates of youth growing up in these communities. In February 1999, the DOL announced Youth Opportunity awards to 36 urban, rural, and Native American sites. The MIS requirements for these grants currently include information on enrollee characteristics, services received, outcomes, retention in jobs and school. Youth Opportunity program operators currently maintain individual records of enrollees through an electronic method. The purpose of this collection provides grantees, services providers and the Employment and Training Administration with

critical program data in order to ensure effective an efficient delivery of program services under these grants.

II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden and the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Action

The Youth Opportunity Grant program is a five year initiative. The current OMB approval instrument will expire in the middle of the third-year of program operation. In order to continue to monitor in real-time, the effective and efficient delivery of program services delivered through the grant funds for the remaining years of program operation, this collection of information is necessary. In addition, through the collection of this information the Employment and Training Administration is able to calculate the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) youth performance measures. The WIA youth performance measures data is also sent to the States in which grants are located to generate additional performance calculations that require Unemployment Insurance wage record data. Without this collection it would be impossible to generate the WIA youth performance measures, a Youth Opportunity Grant's required under the WIA legislation.

At this time, no revision will be made to the existing collection.

Cite/reference	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average	Burden
ETA-9086	36	Monthly	432	104	44,928
ETA-9087	36	Quarterly	144	48	6912
Totals	51,840