

particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM10).

DATES: EPA must receive written comments on this proposed rule by June 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You should mail written comments to: Patricia Morris, Acting Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

You may inspect copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it at:

Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Pohlman, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6524.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" are used we mean EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. What action is EPA taking today?
- II. Where can I find more information about this proposal and the corresponding direct final rule?

I. What Action is EPA Taking Today?

We are proposing to approve section 9 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (as revised by Public Act 89-491) as a revision to the Illinois SIP. The revised Illinois Environmental Protection Act exempts rural grain elevators from particulate matter control requirements contained in Section 212.462 of Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code (35 IAC 212.462). An air quality modeling analysis showed that the requested SIP revision would not cause or contribute to violations of PM10 NAAQS.

II. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 7, 2002.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 02-13247 Filed 5-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 70

[NE 156-1156; FRL-7218-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Operating Permit Program; State of Nebraska

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve revisions to the Nebraska State Implementation Plan (SIP), Operating Permit Program, and Air Toxics Program. These revisions will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the state's air program. In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving these revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by June 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Lynn M. Slugantz, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynn Slugantz at (913) 551-7883.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 16, 2002.

Karen A. Flournoy,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 02-13249 Filed 5-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[W1101-7332b; FRL-7206-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Wisconsin Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Wisconsin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: We are proposing to approve the State of Wisconsin's request to redesignate the villages of Rothschild and Weston and the Township of Rib Mountain, all located in central Marathon County, Wisconsin, from primary and secondary sulfur dioxide (SO₂) nonattainment areas to attainment of the SO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). In conjunction with these actions, EPA is also proposing to approve the maintenance plan for the Rothschild-Rib Mountain-Weston nonattainment areas, which was submitted to ensure that attainment of the NAAQS will be maintained. Further, we are also proposing to incorporate into the Wisconsin SO₂ State Implementation Plan consent orders for Weyerhaeuser Company and Wisconsin Public Service Corporation's Weston Plant. The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) submitted the redesignation request and maintenance plan on November 17, 2000, and submitted the consent orders on October 17, 2001. The proposed actions are approvable because they satisfy the requirements of the Clean Air Act. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these actions as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because we view this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comments in response to the direct final rule, we contemplate no further activity in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comments on the direct final rule, we will withdraw the direct final rule, and we will address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment