

Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-001, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket No. 50-328, Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, Hamilton County, Tennessee

Date of amendment request: May 6, 2002, as supplemented on May 8, 2002 (TSC 02-05).

Description of amendment request: The amendment changed the Technical Specifications (TSs) for Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2. The proposed change would modify TS Surveillance Requirement 4.4.5.4.a.8 to clarify the scope of the steam generator (SG) tube inspections required in the SG tubesheet region.

Date of issuance: May 10, 2002.

Effective date: May 10, 2002.

Amendment No.: 266.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-79: The amendment revises the TSs.

Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration (NSHC): No. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment, finding of emergency circumstances, state consultation, and final NSHC determination are contained in a safety evaluation dated May 10, 2002.

Attorney for licensee: General Counsel, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, ET 10H, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

NRC Section Chief: Thomas Koshy, Acting.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of May, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02-13082 Filed 5-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

COMMISSION ON OCEAN POLICY

Public Meeting

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy will hold its sixth regional meeting, the Commission's eighth public meeting, to hear and discuss coastal and ocean issues of concern to the Northwest region of the United States.

DATES: Public meetings will be held Thursday, June 13, 2002 from 12:30 p.m. to 6 p.m. and Friday, May 14, 2002 from 8:30 a.m. to 6 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting location is the Commission Chambers, Port of Seattle, Pier 69, 2711 Alaskan Way, Seattle, WA 98121.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terry Schaff, U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, 1120 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC, 20036, 202-418-3442, schaff@oceancommission.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting is being held pursuant to requirements under the Oceans Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-256, Section 3(e)(1)(E)). The agenda will include presentations by invited speakers representing local and regional government agencies and non-governmental organizations, comments from the public and any required administrative discussions and executive sessions. Invited speakers and members of the public are requested to submit their statements for the record electronically by June 5, 2002 to the meeting Point of Contact. A public comment period is scheduled for Friday, June 14. The meeting agenda, including the specific time for the public comment period, and guidelines for making public comments will be posted on the Commission's website at <http://www.oceancommission.gov> prior to the meeting.

Dated: May 20, 2002.

James D. Watkins,

Chairman, U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy.

[FR Doc. 02-13199 Filed 5-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-WM-P

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIMES AND DATES: 1 p.m., Monday, June 3, 2002; 8:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 4, 2002.

PLACE: Washington, DC at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., in the Benjamin Franklin Room.

STATUS: June 3—1 p.m. (Closed); June 4—8:30 a.m. (Open)

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Monday, June 3—1 p.m. (Closed)

1. Financial Performance.
2. Strategic Planning.
3. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.

Tuesday, June 4—8:30 a.m. (Open)

1. Minutes of the Previous Meeting, May 6-7, 2002.
2. Remarks of the Postmaster General and CEO.
3. Implementation of June 30 Price Changes.
4. Automated Flat Sorting Machine 100 Achievements.

Tuesday, June 4—8:30 a.m. (Open)

[continued]

5. Making Information Technology Accessible for Persons with Disabilities.
6. Capital Investment.
 - a. Northern New Jersey, Teterboro, Processing and Distribution Center.
7. Tentative Agenda for the July 1-2, 2002, meeting in Anchorage, Alaska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William T. Johnstone, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260-1000. Telephone (202) 268-4800.

William T. Johnstone,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-13367 Filed 5-23-02; 2:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

PRESIDIO TRUST

Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with § 103(c)(6) of the Presidio Trust Act, 16 U.S.C. § 460bb note, Title I of Pub. L. 104-333, 110 Stat. 4097, and in accordance with the Presidio Trust's bylaws, notice is hereby given that a public meeting of the Presidio Trust Board of Directors will be held from 6 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. on Thursday, June 13, 2002, at the Officers' Club, 50 Moraga Avenue, Presidio of San Francisco, California. The Presidio Trust was created by Congress in 1996 to manage approximately eighty percent of the former U.S. Army base known as the Presidio, in San Francisco, California.

The purpose of this meeting is to provide an opportunity for the public to ask questions regarding the Presidio Trust Management Plan, Land Use Policies for Area B of The Presidio of San Francisco (PTMP) and associated Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS).

Time: The meeting will be held from 6 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. on Thursday, June 13, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Officers' Club, 50 Moraga Avenue, Presidio of San Francisco.

Agenda: The agenda of the meeting is (1) a presentation of PTMP highlights; and (2) a Public Comment Period: Question and Answer Session regarding PTMP and associated Final EIS.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen Cook, General Counsel, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, California 94129-0052, Telephone: (415) 561-5300.

Dated: May 22, 2002.

Karen A. Cook,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 02-13310 Filed 5-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4R-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extension:

Rule 7d-2; SEC File No. 270-464; OMB Control No. 3235-0527
Rule 237; SEC File No. 270-465; OMB Control No. 3235-0528

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension and approval of the collections of information discussed below.

In Canada, as in the United States, individuals can invest a portion of their earnings in tax-deferred retirement savings accounts ("Canadian retirement accounts"). In cases where these individuals move to the United States, these participants ("Canadian/U.S. Participants" or "participants") may not be able to manage their Canadian retirement account investments. Most securities and most investment

companies ("funds") that are "qualified investments" for Canadian retirement accounts are not registered under the U.S. securities laws. Those securities, therefore, generally cannot be publicly offered and sold in the United States without violating the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act")¹ and, in the case of securities of an unregistered fund, the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Investment Company Act").² As a result of these registration requirements of the U.S. securities laws, Canadian/U.S. Participants, in the past, had not been able to purchase or exchange securities for their Canadian retirement accounts as needed to meet their changing investment goals or income needs.

In 2000, the Commission issued two rules that enabled Canadian/U.S. Participants to manage the assets in their Canadian retirement accounts by providing relief from the U.S. registration requirements for offers of securities of foreign issuers to Canadian/U.S. Participants and sales to their accounts.³ Rule 237 under the Securities Act permits securities of foreign issuers, including securities of foreign funds, to be offered to Canadian/U.S. Participants and sold to their Canadian retirement accounts without being registered under the Securities Act. Rule 7d-2 under the Investment Company Act permits foreign funds to offer securities to Canadian/U.S. Participants and sell securities to their Canadian retirement accounts without registering as investment companies under the Investment Company Act.

The provisions of rules 237 and 7d-2 are substantially identical. Rule 237 requires written offering materials for securities that are offered and sold in reliance on the rule to disclose prominently that those securities are not registered with the Commission and may not be offered or sold in the United States unless they are registered or exempt from registration under the U.S. securities laws. Rule 7d-2 requires written offering materials for securities offered or sold in reliance on that rule to make the same disclosure concerning those securities, and also to disclose prominently that the fund that issued the securities is not registered with the Commission. Neither rule 237 nor rule 7d-2 requires any documents to be filed with the Commission. The burden under either rule associated with adding

this disclosure to written 2 offering documents is minimal and is non-recurring. The foreign issuer, underwriter or broker-dealer can redraft an existing prospectus or other written offering material to add this disclosure statement, or may draft a sticker or supplement containing this disclosure to be added to existing offering materials. In either case, based on discussions with representatives of the Canadian fund industry, the staff estimates that it would take an average of 10 minutes per document to draft the requisite disclosure statement. The staff estimates the annual burden as a result of the disclosure requirements of rules 7d-2 and 237 as follows.

a. Rule 7d-2

At the time rule 7d-2 was adopted,⁴ the staff estimated that there were approximately 1,300 publicly offered Canadian funds that potentially would rely on the rule to offer securities to participants and sell securities to their Canadian retirement accounts without registering under the Investment Company Act. During the first year rule 7d-2 was in effect, the staff estimates that approximately 910 (70 percent) of these Canadian funds relied on the rule. The staff further estimates that each of those 910 Canadian funds, on average, distributed 3 different written offering documents concerning those securities, for a total of 2,730 offering documents.⁵

The staff therefore estimates that during the first year that rule 7d-2 was in effect, approximately 910 respondents made 2,730 responses by adding the new disclosure statements to approximately 2,730 written offering documents. Thus, the staff estimates that the total annual burden associated with this disclosure requirement in the first year after rule 7d-2 became effective was approximately 455 hours (2,730 offering documents × 10 minutes per document). In each year following the first year that rule 7d-2 became effective, the staff estimates that approximately 65 (5 percent) additional Canadian funds may rely on the rule to offer securities to Canadian/U.S. Participants and sell securities to their Canadian retirement accounts, and that each of those funds, on average, distributes 3 different written offering documents concerning those securities, for a total of 195 offering documents. The staff therefore estimates that in each

⁴ See *supra* note 3.

⁵ Because Canadian tax law effectively precludes non-Canadian funds from being held in a Canadian retirement account, the Commission believes that no funds from countries other than Canada rely on rule 7d-2 to sell their shares to the Canadian retirement accounts of Canadian/U.S. Participants.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 77.

² 15 U.S.C. 80a.

³ See Offer and Sale of Securities to Canadian Tax-Deferred Retirement Savings Accounts, Release Nos. 33-7860, 34-42905, IC-24491 (June 7, 2000) [65 FR 37672 (June 15, 2000)].