

registration of Research Triangle Institute is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Research Triangle Institute on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to section 1008(a) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act and in accordance with Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1301.34, the above firm is granted registration as an import of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above.

Dated: May 21, 2002.

**Laura M. Nagel,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-13263 Filed 5-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated August 27, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 7, 2001, (66 FR 46818), Rhodes Technologies, 498 Washington Street, Coventry, Rhode Island 02816, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Codeine (9050) .....	II
Oxycodone (9143) .....	II
Thebaine (9333) .....	II

The firm plans to produce bulk product for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Rhodes Technologies to manufacture the listed controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time.

DEA has investigated Rhodes Technologies to ensure that the

company's registration is consistent with the public interest. This investigation included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: May 21, 2002.

**Laura M. Nagel,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-13261 Filed 5-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

### MORRIS K. UDALL SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY FOUNDATION

**The United States Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution; Agency Information Collection Activities; Extension of Currently Approved Information Collection; Comment Request; U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution; Application for the National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals**

**AGENCY:** Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National Environmental Policy Foundation, U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act and supporting regulations, this document announces that the U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution (the Institute), part of the Morris K. Udall Foundation, is submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for an extension for the currently approved information collection (ICR), OMB control Number 2010-0030: Application for the National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals ("National Roster of ECR Practitioners"), currently operating pursuant to Terms of Clearance issued July 29, 1999. The Institute published a **Federal Register** notice on March 20, 2002 (67 FR 13021-13024), to solicit

public comments for a 60-day period. The Institute received no comments. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments regarding this information collection.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before June 27, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct comments to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Attention: Amy Farrell, Room 10202 NEOB, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503, Phone: 202-395-7318, Fax: 202-395-7285, Email: [Amy.L.Farrell@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Amy.L.Farrell@omb.eop.gov). Please provide a copy to the U.S. Institute (contact information below.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information or a copy of the ICR, contact: Joan C. Calcagno, Roster Manager: U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution, 110 South Church Avenue, Suite 3350, Tucson, Arizona 85701. Fax: 520-670-5530. Phone: 520-670-5299. E-mail: [roster@ecr.gov](mailto:roster@ecr.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Title for the Collection of Information

Application for National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals ("National Roster of ECR Practitioners").

##### B. Potentially Affected Persons

You are potentially affected by this action if you are a dispute resolution or consensus building professional in the environmental or natural resources field who wishes to be listed on the National Roster of Environmental Dispute Resolution and Consensus Building Professionals.

##### C. Questions To Consider in Making Comments

The U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution requests your comments to any of the following questions related to collecting information for the extension of the Application for the National Roster of ECR Practitioners:

(1) Is the continued use of the application ("collection of information") necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility?

(2) Is the agency's estimate of the time spent completing the application ("burden of the proposed collection of information") accurate, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used?