

process that promotes saving lives and property.

All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the relevant issues and factors. No factual analyses, conclusions, or findings should be considered final. Only after the Board has analyzed and reviewed the staff proposal, and voted to approve the status will there be a final record of board action.

The meeting is open to the public. Please notify CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed, 10 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board's Office of Prevention, Outreach, and Policy, (202)–261–7600, or visit our website at: www.csb.gov.

Christopher W. Warner,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 02–13169 Filed 5–21–02; 3:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 6350–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of antidumping and countervailing duty administrative reviews and request for revocation in part.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with April anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department of Commerce also received a request to revoke one antidumping duty order in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holly A. Kuga, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2001), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with April anniversary dates. The Department also received a timely request to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey.

Initiation of Reviews: In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than April 30, 2003.

	Period to be reviewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
The People's Republic of China:	
Brake Rotors,* A-570-846	4/1/01–3/31/02
China National Machinery and Equipment Import & Export (Xianjiang) Corporation, and manufactured by any company other than Zibo Botai Manufacturing Co., Ltd	
China National Automotive Industry Import & Export Corporation, and manufactured by any company other than Laizhou CAPCO Machinery Co., Ltd	
Laizhou CAPCO Machinery Co., Ltd. and manufactured by any company other than Laizhou CAPCO Machinery Co., Ltd	
Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., and manufactured by any company other than Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., or Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd	
Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd., and manufactured by any other company other than Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd., or Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co	
Beijing Concord Auto Technology, Inc	
China National Machinery Import & Export Company	
Laizhou Auto Brake Equipment Co., Ltd	
Qingdao Gren Co	
Yantai Winhere Auto-Part Manufacturing Co., Ltd	
Longkou Haimeng Machinery Co., Ltd	
Zibo Luzhou Automobile Parts Co., Ltd	
Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts Co., Ltd	
Hongfa Machinery (Dalian) Co., Ltd	
Qingdao Meita Automotive Industry Co., Ltd	
Shangdong Laizhou Huanri Group General	
* If one of the named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of brake rotors from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part	
Turkey:	
Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-489-807	4/1/01–3/31/02
Ekinciler Holding, A.S./Ekinciler Demir Celik A.S	
Colakoglu Metalurji A.S./Colakoglu Dis Ticaret	
ICDAS Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S	
Diler Demir Celik Endustri ve Ticaret A.S./Yazici Demir Celik	
Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Diler Dis Ticaret A.S	
Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazler Istihsal Endustrisi A.S	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
None.	
Suspension Agreements	
None.	

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under §351.211 or a determination under §351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 USC 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: May 16, 2002.

Holly A. Kuga,

*Senior Office Director, Group II, Office 4,
Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-13008 Filed 5-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-823; A-834-807; A-307-820]

Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Orders: Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final determination of sales at less than fair value and antidumping duty orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brett Royce (India), Cheryl Werner (Kazakhstan), and Deborah Scott (Venezuela) at (202) 482-4106, (202) 482-2667, and (202) 482-2657,

respectively; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 C.F.R. part 351 (2001).

Background

On April 2, 2002, the Department published its final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of silicomanganese from Kazakhstan, India, and Venezuela. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Final Negative Critical Circumstances Determination: Silicomanganese from India*, 67 FR 15531 (April 2, 2002); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Silicomanganese from Kazakhstan*, 67 FR 15535 (April 2, 2002); and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Silicomanganese from Venezuela*, 67 FR 15533 (April 2, 2002).

On May 16, 2002, the International Trade Commission ("ITC") notified the Department of its final determination pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of subject merchandise from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela.

Scope of the Orders

For purposes of these orders, the products covered are all forms, sizes and compositions of silicomanganese, except low-carbon silicomanganese, including silicomanganese briquettes, fines and slag. Silicomanganese is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon and iron, and normally contains much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorous and sulfur. Silicomanganese is sometimes referred to as ferrosilicon manganese. Silicomanganese is used primarily in

steel production as a source of both silicon and manganese.

Silicomanganese generally contains by weight not less than 4 percent iron, more than 30 percent manganese, more than 8 percent silicon and not more than 3 percent phosphorous.

Silicomanganese is properly classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Some silicomanganese may also be classified under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040. This scope covers all silicomanganese, regardless of its tariff classification. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service (Customs) purposes, our written description of the scope remains dispositive.

The low-carbon silicomanganese excluded from this scope is a ferroalloy with the following chemical specifications: minimum 55 percent manganese, minimum 27 percent silicon, minimum 4 percent iron, maximum 0.10 percent phosphorus, maximum 0.10 percent carbon and maximum 0.05 percent sulfur. Low-carbon silicomanganese is used in the manufacture of stainless steel and special carbon steel grades, such as motor lamination grade steel, requiring a very low carbon content. It is sometimes referred to as ferromanganese-silicon. Low-carbon silicomanganese is classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040.

Amended Final Determination: Silicomanganese from India

On April 8, 2002, we received a submission from Eramet Marietta Inc. (Eramet) and the Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical and Energy Workers International Union, Local 5-0639 (collectively, petitioners) alleging two ministerial errors in the final determination calculations in the investigation of imports from India. The allegations concerned the Department's calculations for one respondent, Universal Ferro and Allied Chemicals, Ltd (Universal). *See Analysis for Universal Ferro & Allied Chemicals, Ltd. (Universal) for the Final Determination in the Investigation of Silicomanganese from India for the Period April 1, 2000 Through March 31, 2001, (India Final Analysis)* (March 25, 2002). The allegations were timely filed pursuant to