

the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period. The investigation revealed that the Lock Haven plant transferred production to another domestic location.

The petitioner alleges that the company not only produced photomers as the decision indicated, but also produced dye intermediates.

Based on the information provided by the petitioner and the company it is evident that the dye intermediates were produced and discontinued prior to the relevant period. The investigation concentrated on the product (photomers) produced at the subject firm during the relevant period.

Although not noted in the denial notice, the Department surveyed the customers of the subject firm regarding their purchases of photomers during the relevant period. The survey revealed that none of the respondents increased their purchases of imported photomers, while decreasing their purchases from the subject firm during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After review of the application for reconsideration and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of April 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12404 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

in the **Federal Register** on November 30, 2001 (66 FR 59817).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of leather and leather products (used by the automotive industry, i.e., seating components) at Connolly North America, El Paso, Texas, was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports of leather or leather products from Mexico or Canada, nor did the subject firm shift production from El Paso, Texas to Mexico or Canada.

The petitioner requested administrative reconsideration based on a major customer switching their purchases of leather and leather products from the subject firm in favor of producing the products at the customer's affiliated location in Mexico.

Based on data supplied during the initial investigation, the allegation by the petitioner is consistent with what the subject firm provided. The loss of a customer and the decision by the customer to produce the leather and leather products in Mexico and the further processing of these products into car seat components in Mexico does not meet the eligibility requirements of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended.

However, based on the data supplied, the Department will evaluate if the firm is secondarily impacted under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Implementation Act.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigation findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of April 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12403 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-5397]

Connolly North America, El Paso, TX; Negative Finding Regarding Qualification as a Secondary Firm Pursuant to the Statement of Administrative Action Accompanying the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Implementation Act

The Department of labor hererin presents the results of an investigation regarding qualification as a secondarily impacted firm, pursuant to the Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Implementation Act.

The workers of Connolly North America, El Paso, Texas were denied eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA (NAFTA-5397). Pursuant to that determination, an investigation was conducted in order to determine whether the workers qualify as secondarily impacted as suppliers to or finishers or processors for a firm primarily affected by increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada.

In order for an affirmative finding to be made, the following requirements must be met:

(1) The subject firm must be a supplier of a firm that is directly affected by imports from Mexico or Canada or shifts in production to those countries; or

(2) The subject firm must assemble or finish products made by a directly-impacted firm; and

(3) The loss of business with the directly-affected firm must have contributed importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and (2) have not been met.

The petitioners assert that a major customer switched their purchases of leather and leather products from the subject firm in favor of producing the leather and leather products at the customer's affiliated location in Mexico.

The subject firm workers were engaged in activities related to

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-5397]

Connolly North America, El Paso, Texas; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated December 4, 2001, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on November 15, 2001, and was published

production to leather and leather products (used by the automotive industry, *i.e.*, seating components). The investigation found that the customer has not been certified under NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance and therefore is not a directly-impacted firm.

Based on this evidence, I determine that with respect to workers of Connolly North America, El Paso, Texas, such workers do not qualify as secondarily affected pursuant to the Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act.

For further information on assistance under Title I of the Workforce Investment Act which may be available to workers included under this determination, contact: Ms. Barbara Cigainero, Director, Workforce Development, Texas Workforce Commission, 101 E. 15th Street, Austin, Texas 78778-001, Phone: (512) 463-7747, FAX: (512) 463-2799, E-Mail: Barbara.cigainero@twe.state.tx.us

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of April 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12405 Filed 5-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-005610]

General Electric Transportation Systems, Global Signaling, Grain Valley, MO; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called NAFTA-TAA and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2331), an investigation was initiated on July 30, 1998, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at General Electric Transportation System (GETS) Global Signaling, Grain Valley, Missouri.

None of the three workers that filed the petition are employees of GETS, Global Signaling's Grain Valley facility. The petition is therefore deemed invalid. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC., this 30th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12392 Filed 5-6-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-6044]

Huntsman Polymers Corp., Odessa, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called NAFTA-TAA and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2331), the Department of Labor herein presents the results of an investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA.

Petition NAFTA-6044 is a duplicate of a previous petition (NAFTA-5171), which was certified on August 29, 2001. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 3rd day of May, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12387 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-5291]

Kraft Foods North America, Inc., Lehigh Valley, PA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Kraft Foods North America, Inc., Lehigh Valley, Pennsylvania. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

NAFTA-5291; Kraft Foods North America, Inc., Lehigh Valley, Pennsylvania (April 25, 2002)

Signed at Washington, DC this 29th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-12380 Filed 5-16-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05148]

Plasticsource, Inc., Kelly Staff Leasing, Kelly Services, Inc., El Paso, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 250(A), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on September 24, 2001, applicable to workers of PlasticSource, Inc., including workers of Kelly Staff Leasing, El Paso, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 11, 2001 (66 FR 51974).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the State shows that leased employees of Kelly Services, Inc. were also employed at PlasticSource, Inc. to produce headlamp parts and vacuum cleaner parts at the El Paso, Texas location of the subject firm.

Worker separations occurred at Kelly Services, Inc. as a result of worker separations at PlasticSource, Inc. El Paso, Texas.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Kelly Service, Inc. employed at PlasticSource, Inc., El Paso, Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of PlasticSource, Inc., El Paso, Texas adversely affected by declines in sales, production and employment and increased customer imports of headlamp parts and vacuum cleaner parts from Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-5148 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of PlasticSource, Inc., El Paso, Texas, including workers of Kelly Staff