

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Office of the Secretary****Central Utah Project Completion Act**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Water and Science, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision documenting the Department of Interior's approval to proceed with the construction of the Diamond Fork System modifications, Utah County, Utah.

**SUMMARY:** On April 10, 2002, Tom Weimer, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Water and Science, Department of the Interior (Interior), signed the Record of Decision (ROD) which documents Interior's decision to modify a portion of the alignment of the Bonneville Unit Diamond Fork System of the Central Utah Project. The new alignment would eliminate the construction of a portion of the Upper Diamond Fork Tunnel and instead construct an alternative series of pipeline, tunnel, and shaft as presented in the Diamond Fork System 2002 Final Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Action Modifications and Finding of No Significant Impact (2002 Modifications EA/FONSI).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Additional information on matters related to this **Federal Register** notice can be obtained by contacting Mr. Reed Murray, Deputy Program Director, CUP Completion Act Office, Department of the Interior, 302 East 1860 South, Provo UT 84606-6154, (801) 379-1237, [rmurray@uc.usbr.gov](mailto:rmurray@uc.usbr.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The ROD also approves the Central Utah Water Conservancy District (CUWCD) proceeding with the completion of the Diamond Fork System, in accordance with statutory and contractual obligations. The Proposed Action includes the following features: (1) Sixth Water Connection to Tanner Ridge Tunnel; (2) Tanner Ridge Tunnel; (3) Upper Diamond Fork Pipeline; (4) Upper Diamond Fork Flow Control Structure; (5) Upper Diamond Fork Shaft; (6) Aeration Chamber and Connection to Upper Diamond Fork Tunnel; and (7) Upper Diamond Fork Road Reconstruction.

The Proposed Action fulfills project needs to: (1) Maintain the statutorily mandated minimum flows in Sixth Water Creek and Diamond Fork Creek; (2) implement Interior's environmental commitments on the Diamond Fork System which includes but is not limited to removing high flows brought over from Strawberry Reservoir into the

Sixth Water and Diamond Fork Creek drainages; (3) meet the CUWCD's M&I water contractual commitments to Salt Lake, Utah and Wasatch Counties, by conveying Bonneville Unit water to Utah Lake for exchange to Jordanelle Reservoir; and (4) provide the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (Mitigation Commission) the opportunity and flexibility for future restoration of aquatic and riparian habitat in Sixth Water and Diamond Fork creeks to protect water quality and threatened species in Diamond Fork Creek.

During preparation of the 2002 Modifications EA/FONSI, CUWCD consulted formally on listed species with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) under § 7 of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. sections 1531 to 1544, as amended). The Joint-Lead Agencies have included the USFWS recommendations as environmental commitments in the ROD.

Dated: May 1, 2002.

**Ronald Johnston,**

*Program Director, Department of the Interior.*  
[FR Doc. 02-12089 Filed 5-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-RK-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Indian Affairs****Tribal Consultation on Indian Education Topics; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of tribal consultation meetings; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the notice that was published in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 2002 (67 FR 30722), by changing the comment deadline.

**Correction**

On page 30722, in the second column under the **DATES** section, the first sentence "Comments are due on or before June 28, 2002." is corrected to read: "Comments are due on or before July 26, 2002."

All other information published in the May 7, 2002 notice remains unchanged.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Kenneth Whitehorn, (202) 208-4976.

Dated: May 7, 2002.

**Neal A. McCaleb,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 02-12053 Filed 5-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-6W-M**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-449]

**Certain Abrasive Products Made Using a Process for Powder Preforms, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Issuance of Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order in the above-captioned investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3041. Copies of the limited exclusion order, the cease and desist order, the public version of the Commission's opinion, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on February 5, 2001, based upon a complaint filed on January 5, 2001, by Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co. ("3M") of St. Paul, Minnesota and Ultimate Abrasive Systems, LLC ("UAS") of Atlanta, Georgia. 66 FR 9720 (Feb. 9, 2001). Their complaint named Kinik Company ("Kinik") of Taipei, Taiwan and Kinik Corporation ("Kinik Corp.") of Anaheim, California as respondents.

Complainants alleged that respondents had violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and selling within the United States after importation certain abrasive products that are made using a