

Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-11590 Filed 5-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL00-95-000, EL00-98-000, ER02-1656-000]

**San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents; Investigation of Practices of the California; Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange; California Independent System Operator (MDO2); Amended Notice of Technical Conference and Agenda**

May 3, 2002.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Staff is convening a technical conference to facilitate continued discussions between the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO), market participants, state agencies and other interested participants on the development of a revised market design for the CAISO. Attached is the proposed agenda for the conference. This amended notice adds Docket

No. ER02-1656-000. The conference will held in San Francisco, California, at the Renaissance Parc 55 Hotel, 55 Cyril Magnin Street, San Francisco, CA, on May 9 and 10, 2002, beginning at 9 a.m.

For additional information concerning the conference, interested persons may contact Robert Pease at (202) 208-0131 or by electronic mail at "[robert.pease@ferc.gov](mailto:robert.pease@ferc.gov)." No telephone communication bridge will be provided at this technical conference.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

Discussion Issues for FERC Technical Conference on California Market Design May 9-10, 2002.

1. Implementation/Redesign of Markets
  - a. Day-Ahead Market
    - i. Price Certainty
    - ii. Date for Implementation
    - iii. Market Separation
  - b. Hourly Market
    - i. Price Certainty
    - ii. Date for Implementation

- c. 10—Minute Market
  - i. Price Certainty
2. Feasible Schedules
  - a. Ramping Constraints
  - b. Transmission Constraints
  - c. Balanced Schedules
3. Intrazonal Congestion
4. Capacity Obligations
  - a. Presentation by Inter-Agency Task Force on AFEC
  - b. Other Presentations
5. Process for Incorporating Stakeholder Input

[FR Doc. 02-11591 Filed 5-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-1-001]

**Southern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Amendment**

May 3, 2002.

Take notice that on April 29, 2002, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP02-1-001, an amendment to its application for abandonment authorization and for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed on October 1, 2001 in Docket No. CP02-1-000 to modify certain of the pipeline, compression, measurement, interconnection and appurtenant facilities proposed therein, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Southern states that two of the shippers, Calpine Energy Services, L.P. and SCANA Resources, Inc., participating in the South System Expansion II Project have advised Southern that because of changes in project schedules these shippers will not need their transportation services on the dates indicated in the application. Southern states that it has agreed to assist these shippers in coordinating the availability of Transportation Demands with their revised project schedules and is proposing to reduce the size of the expansion project as well as rescheduling the construction of certain segments of the expansion project. To reflect the reduction in the quantity of

firm transportation services to be included in the expansion project from 359,891 Mcf per day to 329,891 Mcf per day, Southern states that it has reduced the miles of pipeline loop as proposed in its application by 9.1 miles and the amount of compression horsepower by 12,270 horsepower.

Southern further states that it is proposing two other changes to certain of the compression and measurement facilities that are unrelated to the above changes in the shippers' project schedules. Southern states that the proposed expansion of its pipeline system will now consist of 114.2 miles of pipeline loop, 64,660 horsepower of compression, the rescheduling and rerating of certain existing compression units, the resizing of the cylinders on certain existing compression units, and the installation of certain interconnection and measurement facilities. Southern states that there are no changes to the compressor units it is proposing to abandon and that the proposed in-service dates for Phase 1 and Phase 2 remain the same, June 1, 2003 and May 1, 2004 respectively. Finally, Southern states that the total cost of the revised facilities is estimated to be \$229.1 million, which is \$16.4 million less than the estimated cost of the project as originally filed.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to R. David Hendrickson, Associate General Counsel, Southern Natural Gas Company, Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, at (205) 325-7114 or fax (205) 327-2253.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before May 24, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments