

5. Executive Order 13132

Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government."

This final rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. As described elsewhere in this preamble, today's final rule would only have the effect of providing a continual use of an ocean disposal site pursuant to section 102(c) of MPRSA. Thus, Executive Order 13132 does not apply to this rule. Although section 6 of Executive Order 13132 does not apply, EPA did consult with State officials in developing this rule and no concerns were raised.

6. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A Major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This rule will be effective June 6, 2002.

This Final Rule does not contain any information collection requirements subject to Office of Management and Budget review under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 228

Environmental protection, Water pollution control.

Dated: April 12, 2002.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

In consideration of the foregoing, subchapter H of chapter I of title 40 is amended as follows.

PART 228—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 228 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1412 and 1418.

2. Section 228.15 is amended by revising the paragraph heading of paragraph (h)(5) and revising paragraphs (h)(5)(v) and (vi) to read as follows:

§ 228.15 Dumping sites designated on a final basis.

* * * * *

(h) * * *

(5) Charleston, SC, Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site.

* * * * *

(v) Period of Use: Continued use.

(vi) Restriction: Disposal shall be limited to dredged material from the Charleston Harbor area. All dredged materials must be placed within the box defined by the following four corner coordinates (NAD83): 32.65663° N, 79.75716° W; 32.64257° N, 79.72733° W; 32.61733° N, 79.74381° W; and 32.63142° N, 79.77367° W. Additionally, all disposals shall be in accordance with all provisions of disposal placement as specified by the Site Management Plan, which is periodically updated.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 02-11299 Filed 5-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-7205-9]

Utah: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Immediate final rule.

SUMMARY: Utah has applied to EPA for Final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA has determined that these changes satisfy all requirements needed to qualify for Final authorization and is authorizing the State's changes through this immediate final action. We are publishing this rule to authorize the

changes without a prior proposal because we believe this action is not controversial. Unless we receive written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the decision to authorize Utah's changes to their hazardous waste program will take effect. If we receive comments that oppose this action, we will publish a document in the **Federal Register** withdrawing this rule before it takes effect, and a separate document in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** will serve as a proposal to authorize the changes.

DATES: This Final authorization will become effective on July 8, 2002 unless EPA receives adverse written comment by June 6, 2002. If EPA receives such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal of this Immediate Final Rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that this authorization will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Kris Shurr, 8P-HW, U.S. EPA, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, phone number: (303) 312-6139. Copies of the Utah program revision applications and the materials which EPA used in evaluating the revisions are available for inspection and copying at the following locations: EPA Region VIII, from 7:00 AM to 4:00 PM, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, contact: Kris Shurr, phone number: (303) 312-6139 or Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UDEQ), from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM, 288 North 1460 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4880, contact: Susan Toronto, phone number: (801) 538-6776.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kris Shurr, 8P-HW, U.S. EPA, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, phone number: (303) 312-6139.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Why Are Revisions to State Programs Necessary?

States which have received Final authorization from EPA under RCRA section 3006(b), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), must maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their programs and ask EPA to authorize the changes. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, States must change their programs because of changes to EPA's regulations in 40 Code

of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 124, 260 through 266, 268, 270, 273 and 279.

B. What Decisions Have We Made in This Rule?

We conclude that Utah's application to revise its authorized program meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Therefore, we grant Utah Final authorization to operate its hazardous waste program with the changes described in the authorization application. Utah has responsibility for permitting Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDFs) within its borders, except in Indian Country, and for carrying out the aspects of the RCRA program described in its revised program application, subject to the limitations of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA). New Federal requirements and prohibitions imposed by Federal regulations that EPA promulgates under the authority of HSWA take effect in authorized States before they are authorized for the requirements. Thus, EPA will implement those requirements and prohibitions in Utah, including issuing permits, until the State is granted authorization to do so.

C. What Is the Effect of Today's Authorization Decision?

This decision means that a facility in Utah subject to RCRA will now have to comply with the authorized State requirements instead of the equivalent Federal requirements in order to comply with RCRA. Utah has enforcement responsibilities under its State hazardous waste program for violations of such program, but EPA retains its authority under RCRA sections 3007, 3008, 3013, and 7003, which include, among others, authority to:

- Do inspections, and require monitoring, tests, analyses or reports;
- Enforce RCRA requirements and suspend or revoke permits;
- And take enforcement actions regardless of whether the State has taken its own actions.

This action does not impose additional requirements on the regulated community because the regulations for which Utah is being authorized by today's action are already effective and are not changed by today's action.

D. Why Wasn't There a Proposed Rule Before Today's Rule?

EPA did not publish a proposal before today's rule because we view this as a routine program change. We are providing an opportunity for the public to comment now. In addition to this

rule, in the proposed rules section of today's **Federal Register** we are publishing a separate document that proposes to authorize the State program changes.

E. What Happens if EPA Receives Comments That Oppose This Action?

If EPA receives comments that oppose this authorization, we will withdraw this rule by publishing a document in the **Federal Register** before the rule becomes effective. EPA will base any further decision on the authorization of the State program changes on the proposal mentioned in the previous paragraph. We will then address all public comments in a later final rule. You may not have another opportunity to comment. If you want to comment on this authorization, you must do so at this time.

If we receive comments that oppose only the authorization of a particular change to the State hazardous waste program, we will withdraw that part of this rule but the authorization of the program changes that the comments do not oppose will become effective on the date specified above. The **Federal Register** withdrawal document will specify which part of the authorization will become effective, and which part is being withdrawn.

F. What Has Utah Previously Been Authorized for?

Utah initially received Final Authorization on October 10, 1984, effective October 24, 1984 (49 FR 39683) to implement its base hazardous waste management program. Utah received authorization for revisions to its program on February 21, 1989 (54 FR 7417), effective March 7, 1989; May 23, 1991 (56 FR 23648) and August 6, 1991 (56 FR 37291), both effective July 22, 1991; May 15, 1992 (57 FR 20770), effective July 14, 1992; February 12, 1993 (58 FR 8232) and May 5, 1993 (58 FR 26689), both effective April 13, 1993; October 14, 1994 (59 FR 52084), effective December 13, 1994; May 20, 1997 (62 FR 27501), effective July 21, 1997; January 13, 1999 (64 FR 02144), effective March 15, 1999; and October 16, 2000 (65 FR 61109), effective January 16, 2001.

G. What Changes Are We Authorizing With Today's Action?

On April 4, 2000, Utah submitted a final complete program revision application, seeking authorization of their changes in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21. We now make an immediate final decision, subject to receipt of written comments that oppose this action, that Utah's hazardous waste

program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for Final authorization. Therefore, we grant Utah Final authorization for the following program changes (the Federal Citation followed by the analog from the Utah Administrative Code (R315), revised September 20, 2001):

Clarification of Standards for Hazardous Waste LDR Treatment Variances [62 FR 64504, 12/05/97] (Checklist 162)/R315-13-1; Organic Air Emission Standards for Tanks, Surface Impoundments, & Containers [62 FR 64636, 12/08/97] (Checklist 163)/R315-3-2.5(b)(5); R315-7-9.6(b); R315-7-12.4; R315-7-26; R315-7-27; R315-7-30; R315-50-17; R315-8-2.6(b)(4); R315-8-5.3; R315-817, R315-8-18; R315-8-22; Kraft Mill Steam Stripper Condensate Exclusion [63 FR 18504, 04/15/98] (Checklist 164)/R315-2-4(a)(15); Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Treatment Standards for Metal Wastes & Mineral Processing Wastes [63 FR 28556, 05/26/98] (Checklist 167A)/R315-13-1; Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Hazardous Soils Treatment Standards & Exclusions [63 FR 28556, 05/26/98] (Checklist 167B)/R315-13-1; Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Corrections [63 FR 28556, 05/26/98] (Checklist 167C)/R315-13-1; R315-13-1; Mineral Processing Secondary Materials Exclusion [63 FR 28556, 05/26/98] (Checklist 167D)/R315-2-2(c)(1)(i); R315-2-2(c)(3); R315-2-2(e)(1)(iii); R315-2-4(a)(16); Bevill Exclusion Revisions & Clarifications [63 FR 28556, 05/26/98] (Checklist 167E)/R315-2-3(a)(2); R315-2-4(b)(7); Exclusion of Recycled Wood Preserving Wastewaters [63 FR 28556, 05/26/98] (Checklist 167F)/R315-2-4(a)(9); Hazardous Waste Combustors Revised Standards [63 FR 33782, 06/19/98] (Checklist 168)/R315-2-4(a)(17); R315-2-26; R315-3-4.3; Petroleum Refining Process Wastes [63 FR 42110, 08/06/98] (Checklist 169)/R315-2-3(a)(2)(iv)(C); R315-2-3(c)(2)(ii)(B); 2-3(c)(2)(ii)(E); R315-2-4(a)(12); R315-2-4(a)(18); R315-2-4(a)(19); R315-2-6; R315-210; R315-13-1; R315-14-7; R315-50-9; Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Zinc Micronutrient Fertilizers, Amendment [63 FR 46332, 08/31/98] (Checklist 170)/R315-13-1; Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Extension of Compliance Date for Characteristic Slags [63 FR 48124, 09/09/98] (Checklist 172)/R315-13-1; Land Disposal Restrictions; Treatment Standards for Spent Potliners from Primary Aluminum Reduction (K088); Final Rule [63 FR 51254, 09/24/98] (Checklist 173)/R315-13-1; Post-Closure Permit Requirement & Closure

Process [63 FR 56710, 10/22/98] (Checklist 174)/R315-3-1.1; R315-3-2.5(a); R315-3-2.19; R315-7-13.1; R315-7-14; R315-7-15; R315-8-6.1; R315-8-7; R315-8-8; Universal Waste Rule—Technical Amendments [63 FR 71225] (Checklist 176)/R315-14-6; R315-16-1.9(j); Organic Air Emission Standards: Clarification and Technical Amendments [64 FR 03382] (Checklist 177)/R315-5-3.34; R315-7-30; R315-8-17; R315-8-22; Petroleum Refining Process Wastes-Leachate Exemption [64 FR 06806] (Checklist 178)/R315-2-4(b)(15).

H. Where Are the Revised State Rules Different From the Federal Rules?

In the Federal Register on October 16, 2000 [65 FR 61109, effective January 16, 2001], we listed several places where we consider the State requirements to be more stringent than the Federal requirements. R315-5-10 was inadvertently left off the list of citations where the State requires notification also be given to the Utah Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste, as well as, the Federal entities. These requirements are part of Utah's authorized program and are Federally enforceable.

I. Who Handles Permits After the Authorization Takes Effect?

Utah will issue permits for all the provisions for which it is authorized and will administer the permits it issues. EPA will continue to administer any RCRA hazardous waste permits or portions of permits which we issued prior to the effective date of this authorization until Utah has equivalent instruments in place. We will not issue any new permits or new portions of permits for the provisions listed in the Item G after the effective date of this authorization. EPA has previously suspended issuance of permits for other provisions on the effective date of Utah's Final Authorization for the RCRA base program and each of the revisions listed at Item F. EPA will continue to implement and issue permits for HSWA requirements for which Utah is not yet authorized.

J. How Does Today's Action Affect Indian Country (18 U.S.C. 1151) in Utah?

This program revision does not extend to "Indian Country" as defined in 18 U.S.C. Section 1151. Indian Country includes lands within the exterior boundaries of the following Indian reservations located within or abutting the State of Utah:

1. Goshute Indian Reservation
2. Navajo Indian Reservation

3. Northwestern Band of Shoshoni Nation of Utah (Washakie) Indian Reservation
4. Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah Indian Reservation
5. Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians of Utah Indian Reservation
6. Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation (see below)
7. Ute Mountain Indian Reservation

With respect to the Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation, Federal courts have determined that certain lands within the exterior boundaries of the Reservation do not constitute Indian Country. This State program revision approval will extend to those lands which the courts have determined are not Indian Country.

In excluding Indian Country from the scope of this program revision, EPA is not making a determination that the State either has adequate jurisdiction or lacks jurisdiction over sources in Indian Country. Should the State of Utah choose to seek program authorization within Indian Country, it may do so without prejudice. Before EPA would approve the State's program for any portion of Indian Country, EPA would have to be satisfied that the State has authority, either pursuant to explicit Congressional authorization or applicable principles of Federal Indian law, to enforce its laws against existing and potential pollution sources within any geographical area for which it seeks program approval and that such approval would constitute sound administrative practice.

K. What Is Codification and Is EPA Codifying Utah's Hazardous Waste Program as Authorized in This Rule?

Codification is the process of placing the State's statutes and regulations that comprise the State's authorized hazardous waste program into the Code of Federal Regulations. We do this by referencing the authorized State rules in 40 CFR part 272. We reserve the amendment of 40 CFR part 272, subpart TT for the codification of Utah's program until a later date.

L. Administrative Requirements

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from the requirements of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), and therefore this action is not subject to review by OMB. This action authorizes State requirements for the purpose of RCRA 3006 and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the

Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this action authorizes pre-existing requirements under State law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). For the same reason, this action also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This action will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely authorizes State requirements as part of the State RCRA hazardous waste program without altering the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established by RCRA. This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks.

Under RCRA 3006(b), EPA grants a State's application for authorization as long as the State meets the criteria required by RCRA. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a State authorization application, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that otherwise satisfies the requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this document and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This action will be effective July 8, 2002.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: April 26, 2002.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.
[FR Doc. 02-11291 Filed 5-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Chapter 18

RIN 2700-AC33

NASA FAR Supplement—Conformance With FACs 01-01, 01-02, and 01-06; and Miscellaneous Administrative and Technical Revisions

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This is a final rule to conform the NASA FAR Supplement with the FAR as a result of changes made by FAC's 01-01, 01-02, and 01-06; and make editorial and miscellaneous changes dealing with NASA internal and administrative matters.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Celeste Dalton, NASA Headquarters

Office of Procurement, Contract Management Division (Code HK), Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-1645, e-mail: *celeste.dalton@hq.nasa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Item IV of FAC 01-01 amended various FAR subparts and clauses to implement recent statutory and regulatory changes relating to veterans' employment opportunities and reporting. Item II of FAC 01-02 amended various FAR subparts to implement Executive Order 13123 of June 3, 1999, Greening the Government through Efficient Energy Management. Item III of FAC 01-02 amended various FAR subparts and clauses to reflect changes to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prompt payment requirements and clarify existing FAR prompt payment coverage. Item V of FAC 0106 amended various FAR subpart to rewrite procurement integrity coverage in plan language. This final rule makes changes to NFS parts 1803, 1811, 1822, 1823, and 1832 necessary to conform to the changes in FAC's 01-01, 01-02, and 01-06. Additional changes dealing with NASA internal and administrative matters included in this rule clarify the approval authority for letter contracts and that "instrument" means the award document when referring to contracts, grants, cooperative agreement, or other agreement. Changes are made to subparts 1806.3 and 1819.70 to delete text duplicative of the FAR. Changes are made to clauses in part 1852 to identify optional (though preferable) forms for submission of information about technology innovations under NASA contracts and to identify the web site where these forms are available. Editorial changes are made throughout the NFS to reflect title changes. Lastly, technical amendments are made to subpart 1804.74 and part 1852 to update url listings. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This final rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

NASA certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) because it does not impose any new requirements.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the NFS do not impose any recordkeeping or information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR, Parts 1801 through 1809, 1811, 1812, 1815 through 1817, 1819, 1822, 1823, 1825, 1828 through 1830, 1832, 1835 through 1837, 1842, 1845, 1848 through 1850, and 1852

Government procurement.

Tom Luedtke,

Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

Accordingly, 48 CFR Chapter 18, Parts 1801 through 1809, 1811, 1812, 1815 through 1817, 1819, 1822, 1823, 1825, 1828 through 1830, 1832, 1835 through 1837, 1842, 1845, 1848 through 1850, and 1852 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Chapter 18, Parts 1801 through 1809, 1811, 1812, 1815 through 1817, 1819, 1822, 1823, 1825, 1828 through 1830, 1832, 1835 through 1837, 1842, 1845, 1848 through 1850, and 1852 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

2. 48 CFR Chapter 18 is amended by—
a. Removing "Associate Administrator for Procurement" and adding "Assistant Administrator for Procurement" in its place each time it appears;

b. Removing "Associate Administrator for Management Systems and Facilities" and adding "Assistant Administrator for Management Systems" in its place each time it appears;

c. Removing "Associate Administrator for Equal Opportunity Programs" and adding "Assistant Administrator for Equal Opportunity Programs" in its place each time it appears;

d. Removing "Associate Administrator for Small and Disadvantage Business Utilization" and adding "Assistant Administrator for Small and Disadvantage Business Utilization" in its place each time it appears;

e. Removing "Associate Administrator for Headquarters Operations" and adding "Director for Headquarters Operations" in its place each time it appears; and

f. Removing "Deputy Associate Administrator for Procurement" and adding "Deputy Assistant Administrator for Procurement" in its place each time it appears.