

Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

### Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, so we discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination

with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (Water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. From 8 p.m. May 11 through 6 p.m. May 12, 2002, a new temporary § 165.T08-043 is added to read as follows:

**§ 165.T08-043 Safety Zones; Port Neches Riverfest, Neches River, Port Neches, Texas.**

(a) *Regulated Areas.* The following areas are safety zones:

(1) *Fireworks safety zone.* All waters of the Neches River, shore to shore,

adjacent to Port Neches Park, Port Neches, Texas, between the northern boundary at 30°00'00" N and the southern boundary at 29°59'42" N. These coordinates are based upon [NAD 83].

(2) *Boat race safety zone.* All waters of the Neches River, shore to shore, adjacent to Port Neches Park, Port Neches, Texas, between the northern boundary at 30°00'12" N and the southern boundary at 29°59'36" N. These coordinates are based upon [NAD 83].

(b) *Enforcement dates.* (1) The fireworks safety zone in paragraph (a)(1) of this section will be enforced from 8 p.m. to 10 p.m. on May 11, 2002.

(2) The boat race safety zone in paragraph (a)(2) of this section will be enforced from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. on May 12, 2002.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into the safety zones in this section is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Port Arthur, or his designated representative.

(2) Vessels requiring entry into or passage through a safety zone in this section must request permission from the Captain of the Port, Port Arthur, or his designated representative. They may be contacted via VHF Channel 13 or 16, or via telephone at (409) 723-6500.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port, Port Arthur and designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: April 22, 2002.

**R.E. Walker,**

*Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Port Arthur.*

[FR Doc. 02-11276 Filed 5-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

### 33 CFR Part 165

[COTP BALTIMORE 02-002]

RIN 2115-AA97

**Security Zone; Potomac River, Washington Channel, Washington, DC**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone

in the waters of Washington Channel on the Potomac River off Fort Lesley J. McNair, Washington, DC during the May 30, 2002, U.S. Coast Guard Commandant's Change of Command ceremony. The security zone is necessary to provide for the security and safety of life and property of event participants, spectators and mariners on U.S. navigable waters during the event. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland, or designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 11 a.m. through 4 p.m. on May 30, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP Baltimore 02-002 and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Activities Baltimore, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ronald L. Houck, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, at telephone number (410) 576-2674.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Regulatory Information**

On March 20, 2002, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Security Zone; Potomac River, Washington Channel, Washington, DC in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 12947). We received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public hearing was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Immediate action is needed to protect dignitaries taking part in the high-level military ceremony from potential threats posed by waterborne acts of sabotage or other subversive acts. For the concerns noted, it is in the public interest to have this regulation in effect during the event.

**Background and Purpose**

The Coast Guard will conduct a Change of Command ceremony along the Potomac River at Washington, DC. A security zone is needed to protect dignitaries taking part in the high-level military ceremony from potential threats posed by waterborne acts of sabotage or other subversive acts. The purpose of the proposed regulation is to promote maritime safety and protect participants and spectators during the event. These regulations will impact the movement of

all vessels operating in the specified area on the Washington Channel at Washington, DC.

**Discussion of Comments and Changes**

No comments were received; therefore, we have made no changes to the regulatory text.

**Discussion of Rule**

The U.S. Coast Guard Commandant's Change of Command ceremony will be held at Fort Lesley J. McNair, Washington, DC on May 30, 2002. The event will consist of a background comprised of three U.S. Coast Guard vessels anchored adjacent to Fort McNair on the confined waters of the Washington Channel, on the Potomac River. A security zone is needed from 11 a.m. through 4 p.m. on May 30, 2002 to safeguard event participants and spectators. U.S. Coast Guard patrol vessels will be provided to prevent the movement of persons and vessels in an area approximately 200 yards wide and 450 yards long within Washington Channel. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his or her designated representative. The Captain of the Port will notify the public of changes in the status of the zone by a Marine Safety Radio Broadcast.

**Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This temporary rule affects a limited area for approximately five hours, and will not completely close the navigable channel. Therefore, the Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

**Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of the Washington Channel from 11 a.m. through 4 p.m. on May 30, 2002. The zone will only encompass a limited area. Shallow water vessel traffic not constrained by draft can pass safely around the security zone. A lack of commercial vessel traffic exists in the area during the effective period. Maritime advisories on the Change of Command ceremony have been advertised and made widely available to users of the channel and will continue until the ceremony is complete.

**Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

**Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

**Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have

determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the

Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because of the limited duration and scope of the regulation. A “Categorical Exclusion Determination” is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add § 165.T05–006 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T05–006 Security Zone; Potomac River, Washington Channel, Washington, DC

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: all waters of the Washington Channel, from surface to bottom, encompassed by lines connecting the following points, beginning at 38°52′03″ N, 077°01′07″ W, thence to 38°52′03″ N, 077°01′14″ W, thence to 38°51′50″ N, 077°01′16″ W, thence to 38°51′50″ N, 077°01′07″ W, thence to 38°52′03″ N, 077°01′07″ W. These coordinates are based upon NAD 1983.

(b) *Regulations.*

(1) In accordance with § 165.33, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland, or his or her designated representative. Section 165.33 also contains other general requirements.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the area of the security zone may contact the Captain of the Port at telephone number (410) 576–2693 or on VHF channel 16 (156.8 MHz) or VHF channel 22 (157.1 MHz) to seek permission to transit the

area. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port or his or her designated representative.

(c) *Authority.* In addition to 33 U.S.C. 1231 and 50 U.S.C. 191, the authority for this section includes 33 U.S.C. 1226.

(d) *Effective period.* This section will be effective from 11 a.m. through 4 p.m. on May 30, 2002.

Dated: April 23, 2002.

**E.Q. Kahler,**

*Acting Commander, U.S. Coast Guard,  
Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland.*

[FR Doc. 02–11275 Filed 5–6–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### 50 CFR Part 100

#### 36 CFR Part 242

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

RIN 1018–AH85

#### Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, Agriculture; and Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule, in response to comments on an interim rule, amends the operating regulations of the Federal Subsistence Management Program in Alaska. The primary aspects of that Interim Rule, published June 12, 2001, expanded the authority that the Board may delegate to agency field officials and clarified the procedures for enacting emergency or temporary restrictions, closures, or openings. This Final Rule also corrects some inadvertent errors and oversights of previous rules.

**DATES:** This rule is effective June 6, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chair, Federal Subsistence Board, c/o U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Thomas H. Boyd, (907) 786–3888. For questions specific to National Forest System lands, contact Ken Thompson, Regional Subsistence Program Manager, USDA, Forest Service, Alaska Region, (907) 271–2540.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation