

action, this docketed proceeding is terminated.

DATES: Effective June 3, 2002. A filing window for Channel 270A at Salome, Arizona, will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening this allotment for auction will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent Order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 01-345, adopted April 10, 2002, and released April 19, 2002. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualtex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone (202) 863-2893.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Arizona, is amended by removing Channel 241A at Salome, and adding Channel 270A at Salome; and removing Channel 242C3 at Wickenburg and adding Channel 242C at Wickenburg.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Office of Broadcast License Policy, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 02-10787 Filed 4-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-920; MM Docket Nos. 01-156, 01-158; RM-10177, RM-10179]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Paducah, Texas and Paulden, AZ

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document the Commission considers proposals in two separate docketed proceedings: Dismisses a proposal filed by Charles Crawford requesting the allotment of Channel 296C3 at Paducah, Texas because petitioner withdrew its expression of interest. At the request of Paulden Broadcasting, Channel 263C3 is allotted at Paulden, Arizona without a site restriction. Coordinates for Channel 263C3 at Paulden are: 34-53-00 NL and 112-28-00 WL. Jeraldine Anderson and Southwest FM Broadcasting Co., Inc. filed comments in support of the allotment. *See* 66 FR 39128 (July 27, 2001).

DATES: Effective June 6, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria M. McCauley, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket Nos. 01-156, 01-158, adopted April 10, 2002, and released April 22, 2002. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualtex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Arizona, is amended by adding Paulden, Channel 263C3.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Office of Broadcast License Policy, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 02-10788 Filed 4-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Transportation Security Administration

49 CFR Part 1511

[Docket No. TSA-2002-11334]

RIN 2110-AA02

Aviation Security Infrastructure Fees

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Guidance for the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee: Completing and submitting Appendix A on costs related to passenger and property screening for calendar year 2000

SUMMARY: The Transportation Security Administration issues this additional guidance for completing Appendix A of the Interim Final Rule regarding the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee. That rule requires carriers to provide information on their costs related to passenger and property screening for 2000. This guidance does not impose any additional requirements.

DATES: This guidance does not alter the due date for Appendix A, which remains on or before May 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further guidance involving technical matters you may contact Randall Fiertz, Department of Transportation, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs, 400 Seventh St., SW., Room 10101, Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-9192. For further guidance on other matters you may contact Steven Cohen, Department of Transportation, Transportation Security Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel (TSA-5), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20590; telephone (202) 493-1231. Office hours are from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., e.t. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Availability of the Guidance

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded using a modem and suitable communications software from the Government Printing Office's

Electronic Bulletin Boards Service at (202) 512-1661. Internet users may reach the **Federal Register**'s home page at: <http://www.nara.gov/fedreg> and the Government Printing Office's database at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov>.

Internet users can access this document and all comments received by DOT through the Department's docket management system web site, <http://dms.dot.gov>. It is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. Please follow the instructions online for more information and help.

Guidance for the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee: Completing and Submitting Appendix A on Costs Related to Passenger and Property Screening for Calendar Year 2000

The following guidance material is intended to assist air carriers and foreign air carriers (carriers) in submitting the information required by Appendix A of the Interim Final Rule on the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee (IFR), as published on February 20, 2002 on page 7926 of volume 67 of the **Federal Register**. The information provided here is only intended as guidance. Carriers should not infer that it represents the only acceptable means of completing Appendix A. Please note that any comments related to the IFR that were received by the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) will be addressed separately and are not specifically addressed in this guidance. If TSA determines, either based on comments received or on its own analysis of the Appendix A forms received from carriers, that the applicable regulations or the guidance provided herein have been misunderstood or misapplied, TSA will contact the affected carriers individually and, if necessary, will issue further clarification in the future.

1. What To Do if a Cost Category Identified in Appendix A Is Intermixed With Costs Not Related to Passenger and Property Screening in Your Accounting System

The instructions in Appendix A of the IFR address this issue. The instructions state: "Where actual costs of screening passengers and property cannot be directly identified through an air carrier's accounting system, the air carrier shall use appropriate alternate cost assignment methodology." This broad flexibility is qualified by the requirement that "[a]ll costs reported in Appendix A must be consistent with the air carrier's financial accounting information reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles." Further, carriers must

provide to TSA, upon request, "[d]ocumentation that explains and supports the assignment methodology used, the applicable pool, and the allocation basis."

In other words, where the costs of goods, services, etc., related to passenger and property screening were accounted for in calendar year 2000 (CY 2000) in a manner that commingled them with costs not related to passenger and property screening, then the carrier completing Appendix A may allocate a percentage of those total costs to passenger and property screening, as long as the allocation method is based on reasonable business practices. When assigning costs related to passenger and property screening, a carrier should use the best available information and must document, explain, and support its basis for using and applying that cost assignment methodology.

Example for assigning labor costs:

One possible method is to apply the ratio of total time (hours) that an employee spent on responsibilities related to passenger and property screening versus the time spent on all responsibilities (screening time/total time) to the annual cost of the employee (salary, benefits, etc.). For example, if an employee spent 30 hours on screening related activities out of a 40-hour work week, then 75 percent of the cost of that employee would be allocated to the labor costs reported in Appendix A. If an employee had responsibilities solely related to screening passengers or property during CY 2000, then 100 percent of the annual cost of that employee must be included in Appendix A.

Example for assigning equipment costs (expensed or depreciated): One possible method is to apply the ratio of the total time (hours) the equipment was used for functions related to passenger and property screening versus the time spent on all functions (screening time/total time) to the total cost of the equipment. For example, if a computer was used for 6 hours for screening related functions and for 2 hours on other functions in an 8-hour workday, 75 percent of the cost of the equipment would be allocated in Appendix A. However, under this allocations system, if a computer was used solely for screening related functions, then 100 percent of the cost of the equipment would be allocated in Appendix A, even if it was used for less than a whole work day.

Example for assigning property and facility costs: One possible method is to apply the ratio of square footage used for functions related to passenger and property screening versus the total

square footage of the property or facility (screening space/total space) to the annual costs of the property of facility. For example, if 4,000 square feet of a 16,000 square-foot building is used for screening, then 25 percent of the annual costs of that building should be captured in Appendix A. Such a cost allocation could only be made if the building was also being used for other activities. If the building was used solely for functions related to screening passenger or property during CY 2000, 100 percent of the costs must be included in Appendix A.

2. What To Do if Two or More Cost Categories From Appendix A Are Combined in Your Accounting System

TSA recognizes that carrier accounting systems are likely to record two or more cost categories from Appendix A in a single category. For instance, the labor costs for "Checkpoint Screening Personnel" and "Exit Lane Monitors" may be recorded in a single account. Similarly, carriers that engaged in security partnerships or entered into security contracts with other carriers, airports, or private screening companies may have a single accounting category that encompasses two or more of the cost categories set forth in Appendix A.

The instructions for Appendix A address this issue. The instructions state that "[t]o the extent necessary, the reporting air carrier may aggregate those specific costs that have been incurred but cannot be stated in the detailed cost categories requested by the form. However, all of the costs identified by this form must be included in the total calculations. In addition, explanations regarding costs that have been aggregated need to be provided."

The option to aggregate is only available "to the extent necessary," and where "specific costs * * * cannot be stated." Therefore, carriers should consult with appropriate parties, such as partner carriers, airports, and contractors to get information regarding individual costs before aggregating any cost categories in Appendix A. If the carrier is still unable to separate out individual costs, as set forth by Appendix A, the carrier may report those costs to TSA in an aggregated form. However, the carrier must specify in supporting documentation which costs have been aggregated and where the costs appear in the submitted Appendix A. For each cost category that is included in an aggregated amount, carriers should indicate where it is accounted for in the submitted Appendix A. In such a case, carriers should not leave the category blank or indicate that there were no costs.

3. What To Do if Your Screening Costs for CY 2000 Involve Contracting With a Partner Carrier, an Airport, or a Private Screening Company

It is not sufficient to submit an Appendix A that includes only the cost paid by a carrier to partner carriers, airports, or private screening companies under a screening services contract or other agreement. Even if a carrier outsourced all of its screening functions, its Appendix A submission must still identify, for example, the administrative costs and other related costs incurred by the carrier in entering into and maintaining such contracts and agreements, including any amendments, modifications, claims settlements, and costs incurred for overseeing the contracts or agreements. It must also identify costs related to screening passengers and property incurred by the carrier but not covered by the terms of the contract or agreement.

The fact that a carrier outsourced its screening functions does not relieve it of the duty to assign costs to specific categories in Appendix A before aggregating these costs. This can be done by examining the relevant contracts and agreements and by seeking input from contractors and partners. In the case of contracts and partnerships involving multiple carriers, be careful to ensure that all screening costs are reported to TSA, but that each dollar of the cost is only reported to TSA once.

4. What To Do if You Did Not Incur any Costs for a Cost Category in Appendix A

The instructions to Appendix A in the IFR specify that carriers must indicate those cost categories in which the carrier did not have any costs for CY 2000. This is to be indicated on Appendix A by the use of an appropriately placed zero. Cost categories that are rolled into an aggregated total should be so identified, not listed as zero. For instance, for Item 34 in Appendix A, "Management Fees for Oversight of Consortium Contracts" is defined as "[a]ny costs incurred for fees charged by other organizations for the management of contracts for the screening of persons and property." If a carrier paid any other entity a fee for the management of security contracts, the amount paid should be included on this cost line. If an air carrier did not incur such costs, then the reporting carrier should so indicate with a zero in the appropriate cost category. If a carrier paid such a contract, but management fees were not segregated out, then this

cost category may be aggregated in Appendix A, as described in Item 2.

5. What To Do if the Fiscal Year Recorded in Your Accounting System Is Not the Same as the Calendar Year

All cost information in Appendix A must be submitted to reflect calendar year 2000, not a carrier's fiscal year 2000. Therefore, if a carrier used a fiscal year different from the calendar year for 2000, it may be necessary to allocate costs over time and among functions.

6. What To Do if You Are, or if You Represent, a Carrier That no Longer Provides Air Transportation or Intrastate Air Transportation Service, but Did do so in CY 2000

Carriers no longer providing air transportation or intrastate air transportation in or from the United States do not need to remit the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee. However, under the IFR, they are still required to complete and submit an Appendix A. TSA needs to know the costs related to screening passengers and property incurred by all carriers in CY 2000, not just by those carriers still providing air transportation or intrastate air transportation today. Beginning in fiscal year 2005, TSA is authorized to re-determine the per-carrier limit for the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee, so long as the aggregate amount collected from carriers operating at that point does not exceed the aggregate screening costs of all carriers providing air transportation or intrastate air transportation in or from the United States in CY 2000.

7. How To Treat Acquired, Merged or Reorganized Carriers

The IFR states that the successor entity must submit only one Appendix A with all amounts combined, but must specify the names of all carriers whose CY 2000 passenger and property screening costs are included in Appendix A. However, for ease of auditing, carriers may keep separate the internal working papers pertaining to predecessor carriers.

8. How Payments Are Determined

For fiscal years 2002–2004, the IFR requires each carrier to pay 8.333% of the total listed in its Appendix A on a monthly basis, except for the period of February 18 through April 30, 2002, for which payment of 19.939% is due by May 31, 2002.

Payments for each month following April 2002 are due by the last calendar day of the following month. If, at any time, the Under Secretary determines, on his own or upon petition by a carrier,

that it is necessary to adjust the total amount of the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee that a carrier must pay and/or should have been paying, TSA will contact the carrier. In addition, after September 2004, the Under Secretary may determine a different fee or schedule. However, unless the Under Secretary makes such a determination, carriers should continue paying 8.333% monthly.

9. When Payments Are Due

If the last calendar day of the month falls on a day on which the carrier cannot make payments, such as a holiday or weekend, then the payment must be received by TSA in advance of the last day of the month. TSA will provide payment instructions for the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee on its web site, www.tsa.dot.gov. TSA will not be sending bills to carriers for this fee.

10. When To Submit Appendix A

As stated in the IFR, the deadline for submitting a completed Appendix A to TSA is by May 18, 2002. This means that TSA must receive the submission on or before that date.

11. How To Submit Appendix A

Appendix A is available electronically at www.tsa.dot.gov. It must be sent by certified mail to: Chief Financial Officer, Transportation Security Administration, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. For electronic submissions, use a format readable by current versions of Microsoft Word and mail a computer disk to the above address or e-mail it to TSA-Fees@ost.dot.gov.

12. What the Audit Must Cover

Each air carrier must provide for an audit of Appendix A performed by an independent certified public accountant. The auditor must plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the costs reported in Appendix A are "consistent with the air carrier's financial accounting information reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles." The auditor must provide a written letter of opinion on the accuracy of the costs and other information reported in Appendix A, based on the company's pre-existing financial statements and supporting documents, and in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. This opinion should include a statement as to whether the audited Appendix A is free of material misstatements. However, carriers need not provide for

an audit of the process of remitting the fee.

TSA or other Federal entities may also audit Appendix A and the supporting information to ensure that the information provided in Appendix A is true and correct, as well as to ensure that the Appendix A submitted and fees paid are consistent with the requirements of the IFR. The decision to conduct a Federal audit does not relieve a carrier of its own audit burden.

13. When the Audit Is Due

As provided for in the IFR, the audit is due to be received by TSA no later than July 1, 2002. TSA will not enforce this deadline against a carrier that submits a timely and proper Appendix A, makes timely and proper fee payments, and submits the audit to TSA no later than August 1, 2002.

14. How To Submit the Audit

As with Appendix A, submit the audit to: Chief Financial Officer, Transportation Security Administration, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590.

15. What To Do With the CPA's Working Papers for the Audit

The IFR indicates that the "accountant's working papers with respect to the audit must be included with this submission." This requirement may be satisfied by including in the audit submission the availability (location and time) of the accountant's working papers, so long as the working papers are retained and provided to TSA upon request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 29, 2002.

Stephen J. McHale,

Deputy Under Secretary of Transportation for Security.

[FR Doc. 02-10930 Filed 4-29-02; 2:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Parts 222 and 223

[Docket No. 020426096-2096-01; I.D. 042402D]

RIN 0648-AP99

Sea Turtle Conservation; Restrictions Applicable to Shrimp Trawl Activities; Leatherback Conservation Zone

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Temporary area gear restriction.

SUMMARY: NMFS is closing, for a 2-week period, all inshore waters and offshore waters 10 nautical miles (nm) (18.5 km) seaward of the COLREGS demarcation line, bounded by 32° N. lat. (approximately Tybee Island, GA) and 34° N. lat. (approximately Wilmington Beach, NC) within the Leatherback Conservation Zone, to fishing by shrimp trawlers required to have a turtle excluder device (TED) installed in each net that is rigged for fishing, unless the TED has an escape opening large enough to exclude leatherback turtles, as specified in the regulations. This action is necessary to reduce mortality of endangered leatherback sea turtles incidentally captured in shrimp trawls.

DATES: This action is effective from April 26, 2002 through 11:59 p.m. (local time) on May 10, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this action should be addressed to the Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Comments may also be sent via fax to 301-713-0376. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the Internet.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Bernhart (ph. 727-570-5312, fax 727-570-5517, e-mail David.Bernhart@noaa.gov); or Barbara Schroeder (ph. 301-713-1401, fax 301-713-0376, e-mail Barbara.Schroeder@noaa.gov).

For assistance in modifying TED escape openings to exclude leatherback sea turtles, fishermen may contact gear specialists at the NMFS, Pascagoula, MS laboratory by phone 228-762-4591 or fax 228-769-8699.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Prohibitions on taking sea turtles are governed by regulations implementing the Endangered Species Act at 50 CFR parts 222 and 223. The incidental take of turtles during shrimp fishing in the Atlantic Ocean off the coast of the southeastern United States and in the Gulf of Mexico is excepted from the taking prohibition pursuant to sea turtle conservation regulations at 50 CFR 223.206, which include a requirement that shrimp trawlers have a NMFS-approved TED installed in each net rigged for fishing. The use of TEDs significantly reduces mortality of loggerhead, green, Kemp's ridley, and hawksbill sea turtles. Because leatherback turtles are larger than the escape openings of most NMFS-approved TEDs, use of these TEDs is not

an effective means of protecting leatherback turtles.

Through a final rule (60 FR 47713, September 14, 1995), NMFS established regulations to provide protection for leatherback turtles when they occur in locally high densities during their annual, spring northward migration along the Atlantic seaboard. Within the Leatherback Conservation Zone, NMFS may close an area for 2 weeks when leatherback sightings exceed 10 animals per 50 nm (92.6 km) during repeated aerial surveys pursuant to § 223.206(d)(2)(iv)(A) through (C).

An initial aerial survey conducted on April 19, 2002, along the South Carolina coast documented 15 leatherback turtles between Bull's Bay and South Island (across both zones 32 and 33) and 11 leatherback turtles between Pritchard Island and Edisto Island in zone 32, with each area of leatherback concentration being less than 50 nm (92.6 km) in length. A replicate survey was flown along the South Carolina coast on April 23, 2002. During the replicate survey 11 leatherbacks were seen in a 13-nm stretch near Edisto Island in zone 32, 14 leatherbacks were seen in the zone 32 to 33 trackline overlap area (from Folly Beach to Cape Island, approximately a 42-mile stretch), and 15 leatherbacks were seen in a 27-mile stretch in zone 33 near the Windy Hill area. The sighting frequencies in the original and replicate surveys all met or exceeded the regulatory standard of at least 10 animals within a 50-nm (92.6-km) length of survey trackline.

The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (AA), is closing all inshore waters and offshore waters 10 nm (18.5 km) seaward of the COLREGS demarcation line, bounded by 32 N. lat. and 34° N. lat., within the Leatherback Conservation Zone to fishing by shrimp trawlers required to have a TED installed in each net that is rigged for fishing, unless the TED installed has an escape opening large enough to exclude leatherback turtles, meeting the specifications at 50 CFR 223.207(a)(7)(ii)(B)(1) or (2) or 223.207(c)(1)(iv)(B). These regulations specify modifications that can be made to either single-grid hard TEDs or Parker soft TEDs to allow leatherbacks to escape.

The regulations at 50 CFR 223.206(d)(2)(iv) also state that fishermen operating in the closed area with TEDs modified to exclude leatherback turtles must notify the NMFS Southeast Regional Administrator of their intention to fish in the closed area. This aspect of the regulations does not have a current