

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz	50	50
100 kHz–500 kHz	50	50
500 kHz–2 MHz	50	50
2 MHz–30 MHz	100	100
30 MHz–70 MHz	50	50
70 MHz–100 MHz	50	50
100 MHz–200 MHz	100	100
200 MHz–400 MHz	100	100
400 MHz–700 MHz	700	50
700 MHz–1 GHz	700	100
1 GHz–2 GHz	2000	200
2 GHz–4 GHz	3000	200
4 GHz–6 GHz	3000	200
6 GHz–8 GHz	1000	200
8 GHz–12 GHz	3000	300
12 GHz–18 GHz	2000	200
18 GHz–40 GHz	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak root-mean-square (rms) values.

or,

(2) The applicant may demonstrate by a system test and analysis that the electrical and electronic systems that perform critical functions can withstand a minimum threat of 100 volts per meter, peak electrical field strength, from 10 kHz to 19 GHz. When using this test to show compliance with the HIRF requirements, no credit is given for signal attenuation due to installation.

A preliminary hazard analysis must be performed by the applicant, for approval by the FAA, to identify either electrical or electronic systems that perform critical functions. The term "critical" means those functions whose failure would contribute to, or cause, a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane. The systems identified by the hazard analysis that perform critical functions are candidates for the application of HIRF requirements. A system may perform both critical and non-critical functions. Primary electronic flight display systems, and their associated components, perform critical functions such as attitude, altitude, and airspeed indication. The HIRF requirements apply only to critical functions.

Compliance with HIRF requirements may be demonstrated by tests, analysis, models, similarity with existing systems, or any combination of these. Service experience alone is not acceptable since normal flight operations may not include an exposure to the HIRF environment. Reliance on a system with similar design features for redundancy as a means of protection against the effects of external HIRF is generally insufficient since all elements of a redundant system are likely to be exposed to the fields concurrently.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the Raytheon (Beechcraft) Models V35A (to S/N 8872), S35, 35–C33A, E33A, E33C (up to S/N CE–249 and CJ–14) airplane. Should S–TEC Corporation apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101.

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on one model of airplane. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of these special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason, and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 23

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Signs and symbols.

Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113 and 44701; 14 CFR part 21, §§ 21.16 and 21.101; and 14 CFR part 11, § 11.38.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Raytheon (Beechcraft) Models V35, V35A (to S/N 8872), S35, 35–C33A, E33A, E33C (up to S/N CE–249 and CJ–14) airplane modified by S–TEC Corporation to add an EFIS.

1. Protection of Electrical and Electronic Systems from High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF). Each System that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operations, and operational capabilities of these systems to perform critical functions, are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated electromagnetic fields external to the airplane.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies:

Critical Functions: Functions whose failure would contribute to, or cause, a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on April 15, 2002.

Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–9942 Filed 4–26–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM216; Special Conditions No. 25–199–SC]

Special Conditions: Cessna Model 501 and 551 Series Airplanes; High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for Cessna Aircraft Company Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes modified by ElectroSonics. These modified airplanes will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. The modification incorporates the installation of dual air data display unit systems that perform critical functions. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of these systems from the effects of high-intensity-radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: The effective date of these special conditions is April 17, 2002. Comments must be received on or before May 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments on these special conditions may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Attention: Rules Docket (ANM-113), Docket No. NM216, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Transport Airplane Directorate at the above address. All comments must be marked: *Docket No. NM216*. Comments may be inspected in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Dunn, FAA, Airplane and Flight Crew Interface Branch, ANM-111, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2799; facsimile (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable because these procedures would significantly delay certification and thus delivery of the affected airplanes. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance; however, the FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments.

We will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning these special conditions. The docket is available for public inspection before and after the comment closing date. If you wish to review the docket in person, go to the address in the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so

without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions in light of the comments we receive.

If you want the FAA to acknowledge receipt of your comments on this proposal, include with your comments a pre-addressed, stamped postcard on which the docket number appears. We will stamp the date on the postcard and mail it back to you.

Background

On March 20, 2002, ElectroSonics, 4391 International Gateway, Columbus, Ohio, applied for a Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) to modify Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes. The Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes are normal category turbine powered airplanes. The Cessna Model 501 series airplanes are powered by two Pratt & Whitney JT15D-1(A/B) turbofan engines and have a maximum takeoff weight of 11,850 pounds. These airplanes operate with either a 1-pilot or 2-pilot crew and can hold up to 8 passengers. The Cessna Model 551 series airplanes are powered by two Pratt & Whitney JT15D-4 turbofan engines and have a maximum takeoff weight of 12,500 pounds. These airplanes operate with either a 1-pilot or 2-pilot crew and can hold up to 10 passengers. The modification incorporates the installation of Innovative Solutions & Support Air Data Display Units (ADDU). The ADDU is a replacement for the existing analog flight instrumentation, while also providing additional functional capability and redundancy in the system. The avionics/electronics and electrical systems installed in this airplane have the potential to be vulnerable to high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF) external to the airplane.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, ElectroSonics must show that the Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes, as modified to include the new air data display units, continue to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A27CE, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the "original type certification basis." The regulations included in the certification basis for the Cessna 501 airplanes include part 23 of the Federal Aviation Regulations effective February 1, 1965, as amended by Amendments 23-1 through 23-16, with certain exceptions, and section 23.1385 as amended through

Amendment 23-20; part 25, effective February 1, 1965, as amended by Amendments 25-1 through 25-37, with certain exceptions; part 36, effective December 1, 1969, as amended by Amendments 36-1 through 36-5; SFAR 27, Fuel Venting (replaced by part 34, effective September 10, 1990); plus additional requirements listed in the type certificate data sheet that are not relevant to these special conditions.

The regulations included in the certification basis for the Cessna Model 551 series airplanes include part 23 of the Federal Aviation Regulations effective February 1, 1965, as amended by Amendments 23-1 through 23-16, with certain exceptions, sections 23.1143(e) and 23.1385(c) as amended through Amendments 23-18, and sections 23.1301 and 23.1335 as amended by Amendments through 23-20; part 25 effective February 1, 1965, as amended by Amendments 25-1 through 25-37 with certain exceptions, and sections 25.901(c), 25.903(e)(3), and 25.1351(d) as amended through Amendments 25-41; part 36, effective December 1, 1969, as amended by Amendments 36-1 through 36-6; SFAR 27, as amended by Amendments 27-1 through 27-3, Fuel Venting (replaced by part 34, effective September 10, 1990). For the Bendix EFS-10, Sperry EDZ-600, Sperry EDZ-601, and Sperry EDZ-603 electronic flight instrument systems only, compliance has been shown with the following regulations: sections 25.1301, 25.1303(b), and 25.1322 as amended through Amendment 25-38; sections 25.1309, 25.1321(a), (b), (d), and (e), 25.1331, 25.1333, and 25.1335 as amended through Amendments 25-41; plus additional requirements listed in the type certificate data sheet that are not relevant to these special conditions.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (that is, 14 CFR part 25, as amended) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes modified by ElectroSonics because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Note: Although 14 CFR part 25 is referenced in these special conditions, the Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes are certified under both part 25 and part 23. The applicable airworthiness regulations under part 23, as they relate to HIRF, are the same as those under part 25.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, these Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the

noise certification requirements of part 36.

Special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, are issued in accordance with § 11.38, and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.101(b)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should ElectroSonics apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model already included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Novel or Unusual Design Features

As noted earlier, the Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes modified by ElectroSonics will incorporate dual air data display unit systems that will perform critical functions. These systems may be vulnerable to high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF) external to the airplane. The current airworthiness standards of (14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards that address protecting this equipment from the adverse effects of HIRF.

Accordingly, this system is considered to be a novel or unusual design feature.

Discussion

There is no specific regulation that addresses protection requirements for electrical and electronic systems from HIRF. Increased power levels from ground-based radio transmitters and the growing use of sensitive avionics/electronics and electrical systems to command and control airplanes have made it necessary to provide adequate protection.

To ensure that a level of safety is achieved that is equivalent to that intended by the regulations incorporated by reference, special conditions are needed for the Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes modified by ElectroSonics to include the dual air data display unit systems. These special conditions require that new avionics/electronics and electrical systems that perform critical functions be designed and installed to preclude component damage and interruption of function due to both the direct and indirect effects of HIRF.

High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

With the trend toward increased power levels from ground-based transmitters, plus the advent of space and satellite communications coupled with electronic command and control of

the airplane, the immunity of critical avionics/electronics and electrical systems to HIRF must be established.

It is not possible to precisely define the HIRF to which the airplane will be exposed in service. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF.

Furthermore, coupling of electromagnetic energy to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined. Based on surveys and analysis of existing HIRF emitters, an adequate level of protection exists when compliance with the HIRF protection special condition is shown with either paragraph 1 OR 2 below:

1. A minimum threat of 100 volts rms (root-mean-square) per meter electric field strength from 10 KHz to 18 GHz.

a. The threat must be applied to the system elements and their associated wiring harnesses without the benefit of airframe shielding.

b. Demonstration of this level of protection is established through system tests and analysis.

2. A threat external to the airframe of the field strengths indicated in the table below for the frequency ranges indicated. Both peak and average field strength components from the table below are to be demonstrated.

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz	50	50
100 kHz–500 kHz	50	50
500 kHz–2 MHz	50	50
2 MHz–30 MHz	100	100
30 MHz–70 MHz	50	50
70 MHz–100 MHz	50	50
100 MHz–200 MHz	100	100
200 MHz–400 MHz	100	100
400 MHz–700 MHz	700	50
700 MHz–1 GHz	700	100
1 GHz–2 GHz	2000	200
2 GHz–4 GHz	3000	200
4 GHz–6 GHz	3000	200
6 GHz–8 GHz	1000	200
8 GHz–12 GHz	3000	300
12 GHz–18 GHz	2000	200
18 GHz–40 GHz	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak of the root-mean-square (rms) over the complete modulation period.

The threat levels identified above are the result of an FAA review of existing studies on the subject of HIRF, in light of the ongoing work of the Electromagnetic Effects Harmonization Working Group of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes

modified by ElectroSonics to include Innovative Solutions & Support Air Data Display Units. Should ElectroSonics apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101(a)(1).

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on the Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes modified by ElectroSonics. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of the special conditions for these airplanes has been subjected to notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. Because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplanes, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the supplemental type certification basis for the Cessna Aircraft Company Cessna Model 501 and 551 series airplanes modified by ElectroSonics.

1. *Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF).* Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high-intensity radiated fields.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies:

Critical Functions: Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 17, 2002.

Lirio Liu-Nelson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, ANM-100.

[FR Doc. 02-9943 Filed 4-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-ANE-39-AD; Amendment 39-12668; AD 2002-04-11]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company GE90 Series Turbofan Engines; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document makes a correction to Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2002-04-11 applicable to General Electric Company GE90 series turbofan engines that was published in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2002 (67 FR 9582). The Table in the regulatory text section is incorrect. This document corrects that Table. In all other respects, the original document remains the same.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ian Dargin, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7178, fax (781) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A final rule airworthiness directive (FR Doc. 02-5003) applicable to General Electric Company GE90 series turbofan engines, was published in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2002 (67 FR 9582). The following corrections are needed:

§ 39.13 [Corrected]

1. On page 9583, in the third column entitled, Inspect per engine manual chapter, in the third entry, (HPCR, Disk, Stage 7) "72-31-07-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection

(subtask 72-31-07-230-051), and 72-31-07-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection (subtask 72-31-07-250-051 or 72-31-07-230-052 or 72-31-07-230-053" is corrected to read "72-31-07-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-31-07-230-051), and 72-31-07-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection of the Rim Boltholes (subtask 72-31-07-250-051 or 72-31-07-250-052 or 72-31-07-250-053".

2. On the same page, in the same column entitled, Inspect per engine manual chapter, in the ninth entry, (HPTR Disk, Stage 1) "72-53-02-200-001-002 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-53-02-160-051), and 72-53-02-200-001-002 Eddy Current Inspection of the Bore " is corrected to read "72-53-02-200-001-002 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-53-02-230-052), and 72-53-02-200-001-002 Eddy Current Inspection of the Bore".

Issued in Burlington, MA, on April 18, 2002.

Francis A. Favara,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-10273 Filed 4-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

20 CFR Parts 404 and 416

[Regs. No. 4 and 16]

RIN 0960-AF20

Administrative Procedure for Imposing Penalties for False or Misleading Statements

AGENCY: Social Security Administration (SSA).

ACTION: Final rules.

SUMMARY: The interim final rules published at 65 FR 42283 on July 10, 2000, are adopted as final without change. These rules reflect and implement section 207 of the Foster Care Independence Act of 1999. This provision amended the Social Security Act (the Act) by adding a new section 1129A which provides for the imposition by SSA of a penalty on any person who knowingly (knew or should have known or acted with knowing disregard for the truth) makes a statement that is false or misleading or omits a material fact for use in determining any right to or the amount of monthly benefits under titles II or XVI of the Act. The penalty is nonpayment for a specified number of months of benefits under title II that would otherwise be payable to the

person and ineligibility for cash benefits under title XVI (including State supplementary payments made by SSA according to § 416.2005).

EFFECTIVE DATE: These regulations were effective July 10, 2000, the date they were published in the **Federal Register** as interim final rules (65 FR 42283).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Hilton, Social Insurance Specialist, Office of Program Benefits, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235-6401, (410) 965-2468 or TTY (410) 966-5609. For information on eligibility, claiming benefits, or coverage of earnings, call our national toll-free number, 1-800-772-1213 or TTY 1-800-325-0778.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 207 of the Foster Care Independence Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 106-169) amended title XI of the Act by adding section 1129A to help prevent and respond to fraud and abuse in SSA's programs and operations. Section 1129A provides for the imposition by SSA of a penalty on an individual who makes, or causes to be made, a statement or representation of a material fact that the person knows or should know is false or misleading or omits a material fact, or that the person makes with a knowing disregard for the truth. The statement must be made for use in determining eligibility for or the amount of benefits under title II or XVI. The penalty is nonpayment for 6, 12 or 24 months of benefits under title II that would otherwise be payable to the person and ineligibility for the same period of time for cash benefits under title XVI (including State supplementary payments made by SSA according to § 416.2005).

Section 207 of Pub. L. 106-169 directs the Commissioner of Social Security to develop rules prescribing the administrative process for making determinations under section 1129A, including when periods of penalty shall commence, and providing guidance on the exercise of discretion as to whether the penalty should be imposed in particular cases. Consequently, we published interim final rules on July 10, 2000, which added new rules at §§ 404.459 and 416.1340 to reflect and implement section 1129A. We provided a 60-day period for interested individuals and organizations to comment. Summaries of the comments we received and our responses thereto are set out later in this preamble. After consideration of all the comments received, we have decided not to revise