a changed project setting and indicates that the project may cause significant impacts to the environment. As a result, Kenneth M. Kaneshiro, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is needed for this project.

The project will be implemented under authority of the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (Pub. L. 83–566), as amended, for the purpose of flood prevention. Sponsoring local organizations (SLO) are the County of Maui, Department of Public Works and Waste Management and the West Maui Soil and Water Conservation District.

Alternatives under consideration include a floodwater diversion channel that starts south of Lahainaluna Road and extends to Kauaula Stream. The proposed project also includes the construction of an inlet basin, three (3) sediment basins, a debris basin at Kauaula Stream leading to an outlet at Puamana channel and a second outlet to the south with an additional sediment basin. Other alternatives to meet the objectives of the flood prevention project will be formulated and evaluated.

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared and circulated for review by agencies and the public. The Natural Resources Conservation Service invites participation and consultation of agencies and individuals that have special expertise, legal jurisdiction, or interest in the preparation of the draft environmental impact statement. All written and verbal comments received in response to this Notice of Intent will be considered in determination of the scope of the environmental impact statement. The SLOs will be issuing an Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice (EISPN) pursuant to Hawaii Revised Status (HRS) Chapter 343 and have already begun a public participation process in the affected community, including public meetings and compilation of a list of interested organizations and agencies. This Notice of Intent will be mailed, along with background information on the Lahaina Watershed, to organizations and agencies on the SLO mailing list. The Notice of Intent will also be published in a local newspaper and in the Office of Environmental Quality Control's *Environmental Notice*. To the extent practicable, NEPA and HRS 343 requirements will be coordinated in the preparation of the EIS document. Due to earlier public scoping meetings held during the federal EA process and ongoing efforts by the SLOs to keep the public informed of this project, a public meeting for the expressed purpose to determine the scope of the evaluation of the project will not be held.

Please provide comments to Kenneth M. Kaneshiro, State Conservationist, at the above address or telephone.

(This activity is listed in the Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.904—Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention—and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with state and local officials.)

Dated: April 12, 2002.

Kenneth M. Kaneshiro,

State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. 02–9793 Filed 4–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210–16–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–557–805]

Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On November 6, 2001, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia (66 FR 56057). This review covers three manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States (Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd., Heveafil Sdn. Bhd./Filmax Sdn. Bhd, and Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd.). The period of review is October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000.

Based on our findings at verification and the identification of certain clerical errors, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled ''Final Results of Review.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–0656 or (202) 482–3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

Background

On November 6, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. *See Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 56057 (Nov. 6, 2001).

In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Heveafil Sdn. Bhd./Filmax Sdn. Bhd. (Heveafil) and Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd. (Filati) submitted comments on December 6, 2001, regarding certain clerical errors in the preliminary results. On December 19, 2001, we postponed the final results of this review until no later than May 6, 2002, in order to allow us to conduct foreign verifications for Filati, Heveafil, and Rubberflex, and U.S. verifications for Heveafil and Rubberflex. (The U.S. verification for Filati was conducted prior to the preliminary results.) S*ee Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia; Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 65471 (Dec. 19, 2001).

In January, February, and March 2002, we conducted verifications of the sales and cost data submitted by Filati, Heveafil, and Rubberflex. After verification, we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results and verification findings, but we did not receive case briefs from any party to this proceeding. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is extruded rubber thread. Extruded rubber thread is defined as vulcanized rubber thread obtained by extrusion of stable or concentrated natural rubber latex of any cross sectional shape, measuring from 0.18 mm, which is 0.007 inch or 140 gauge, to 1.42 mm, which is 0.056 inch

or 18 gauge, in diameter. Extruded rubber thread is currently classifiable under subheading 4007.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our findings at verification and the identification of certain clerical errors, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. These changes are discussed in the May 6, 2002, calculation memoranda to the file entitled ''Calculations Performed for Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd. in the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia,'' ''Calculations Performed for Heveafil Sdn. Bhd., Filmax Sdn. Bhd., and Heveafil USA, Inc. in the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia,'' and ''Calculations Performed for Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd in the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Extruded Rubber Thread from Malaysia.''

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Percentage Margin
Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd. ...	18.52
Heveafil Sdn. Bhd./ Filmax Sdn. Bhd.	0.20
Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd.	0.00

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated importer- specific assessment rates. For Filati and Heveafil, we divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by their total entered value for each importer. In addition, for Rubberflex's constructed export price sales, we divided the total dumping margins for these sales by their total entered value for the affiliated importer. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting percentage margins against the entered values for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries. However, we will instruct Customs to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, all entries for any importer for whom the assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

For Rubberflex's EP sales, we divided the total dumping margins by the entered quantity for each importer. We will direct Customs to assess these per-unit amounts on all entries by these importers.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of extruded rubber thread from Malaysia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed firms will be the rates shown above, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 15.16 percent. This rate is the ''All Others'' rate from the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 16, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–9807 Filed 4–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 12, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 52100 (October 12, 2001). The administrative review covers the period September 1, 1999, through August 31, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted–average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled ''Final Results of Review.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doug Campau or Maureen Flannery; Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230;