

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at General Mills, Snack Division, Carlisle, Pennsylvania engaged in the production of single-serve fruit juice and fruit-based beverages, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The company made a decision to exit the single-serve juice and fruit-based beverages business because the product no longer fit into this company's long-term plan for the Snacks Division. Imports of single-serve juice and fruit-based beverages did not contribute importantly to the declines in employment at the subject plant.

The NAFTA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. The company made a decision to exit the single-serve juice and fruit-based beverages business because the product no longer fit into this company's long-term plan for the Snacks Division. The subject firm did not shift production to Canada or Mexico, nor did they import from Canada or Mexico single serve fruit juices or fruit-based beverages during the relevant period.

The petitioner feels that the products produced by the subject firm were impacted by imports of products like or directly competitive with what the subject plant produced.

Based on available industry data, the domestic market for single serve fruit beverages faces little or no competition from foreign sources. U.S. imports of single fruit or vegetable juice were negligible during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of March, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-9758 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,488 and NAFTA-5512]

Sunbrand, A Division of Willcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, GA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Sunbrand, a Division of Willcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, Georgia. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-40,488 & NAFTA-5512; Sunbrand, a Division of Willcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, Georgia (April 11, 2002)

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-9760 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,960]

Alfa-Laval Incorporated Formerly Known As Tri-Clover Kenosha, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 11, 2002 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Alfa Laval Inc., formerly known as Tri-Clover, Pleasant Prairie, Wisconsin. According to evidence developed in the course of the investigation, the location of the subject facility is Kenosha, Wisconsin and not Pleasant Prairie as listed in the petition.

A negative determination applicable to the petitioning group of workers was issued on January 22, 2002 (TA-W-40,590). No new information is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determination. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Dated: Signed in Washington, DC this 8th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-9753 Filed 4-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,213, TA-W-39,213A]

Chicago Specialties, LLC, Chicago, IL; Chicago Specialties, LLC, Sales Office, Westlake, OH; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 31, 2001, applicable to workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC, Chicago, Illinois. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 14, 2001 (66 FR 32389).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the sales Office Westlake, Ohio location of Chicago Specialties, LLC. The Westlake, Ohio workers provide sales support function services for the subject firm's production facility in Chicago, Illinois.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC, Sales Office, Westlake, Ohio.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC who were adversely affected by increased imports of Para Cresol.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39-213 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Chicago Specialties, LLC, Chicago, Illinois (TA-W-39-213) and Chicago Specialties, LLC, Sales Office, Westlake, Ohio (TA-W-39,213A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 23, 2000, through May 31, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.