

have determined that it is unnecessary to address the other prong of the critical circumstances test. For this reason, we preliminarily determine that critical circumstances do not exist for imports of steel wire rod produced by Belgo Mineira.

Regarding the "All Others" category, although the mandatory respondent did not have massive imports, we also considered country-wide import data for the products covered under the scope of this investigation. In determining whether massive imports exist for "All Others," we compared the volume of aggregate imports during the base period to the volume of aggregate imports during the comparison period. Based on our analysis of the country-wide import data, imports of steel wire rod increased during the comparison period, but not by the requisite 15 percent. See Critical Circumstances Memorandum. Accordingly, pursuant to section 733(e) of the Act and section 351.206(h) of the Department's regulations, we preliminarily find that critical circumstances do not exist for imports of steel wire rod produced by the "All Others" category.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all entries of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Brazil, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. We are also instructing the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds the EP or CEP, as indicated below. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

| Manufacturer/exporter | Margin (percent) |
|--|------------------|
| Companhia Siderúrgica Belgo Mineira and Belgo-Mineira Participação Indústria e Comércio S.A. (BMP) | 65.76 |
| All others | 65.76 |

Disclosure

The Department will normally disclose calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to the parties of the proceeding in this investigation in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. If our final antidumping determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine whether the imports covered by that determination are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry. The deadline for that ITC determination would be the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the date of our final determination.

Public Comment

Case briefs for this investigation must be submitted no later than one week after the issuance of the verification reports. Rebuttal briefs must be filed within five days after the deadline for submission of case briefs. A list of authorities used, a table of contents, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. Further, we would appreciate it if parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette.

Section 774 of the Act provides that the Department will hold a hearing to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs, provided that such a hearing is requested by any interested party. If a request for a hearing is made in an investigation, the hearing will tentatively be held two days after the deadline for submission of the rebuttal briefs, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. In the event that the Department receives requests for hearings from parties to more than one steel wire rod case, the Department may schedule a single hearing to encompass all those cases. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Requests should specify the number of participants and provide a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 2, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-9215 Filed 4-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-557-805]

Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia; Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review for the Period October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 15, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0656 or (202) 482-3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On October 1, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 49923) a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping order regarding extruded rubber thread from Malaysia for the period October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), on October 31, 2001, two producers/exporters of extruded rubber thread requested a review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia (*i.e.*, Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd.

(Filati) and Heveafil Sdn. Bhd. (Heveafil)).

In November 2001, the Department initiated an administrative review for each of these companies (66 FR 58432 (Nov. 21, 2001)) and issued questionnaires to them.

On February 8, 2002, Filati withdrew its request for review.

On February 15, 2002, Heveafil requested an extension of the 90-day limit to withdraw its request for a review until March 29, 2002. We granted this extension on February 19, 2002, and on March 27, 2002, Heveafil withdrew its request for review.

Rescission of Review

Filati and Heveafil withdrew their requests for an administrative review for the above-referenced period on February 8 and March 27, 2002, respectively. Therefore, because no other interested party requested a review of Filati or Heveafil for this POR, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia for the period of October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001. This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 9, 2002.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-9080 Filed 4-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-814]

Pure Magnesium From Canada; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for 2000-2001 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the current review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The period of review is August 1, 2000 through July 31, 2001. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 15, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jarrold Goldfeder or Scott Holland, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0189 or (202) 482-1279, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, and all citations to the regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Background

On September 24, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada, covering the period August 1, 2000, through July 31, 2001 (66 FR 49924). The preliminary results for the antidumping duty administrative review of pure magnesium from Canada are currently due no later than May 3, 2002.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Due to the complexity of the issues, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, May 3, 2002). See Memorandum from Team to Richard W. Moreland, "Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results," dated, February 1, 2001. Therefore, the Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to not later than September 3, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 9, 2002

Susan Kuhback,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 02-9079 Filed 4-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results of Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 15, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Callen or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-0180 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

Summary

On February 11, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its *Notice of Final Results and Partial Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 6173. This notice covered various exporters for the period June 1, 1994, through May 31, 1995. As a result of litigation, the Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded the results of the review to the Department on July 30, 1999. See *Timken Company v. United States*, Court No. 97-01-00394, Slip Op. 99-73 (CIT July 30, 1999). The Department submitted its final results of