on labels for some products, a secondary energy usage disclosure in the form of an estimated annual operating cost based on a specified DOE national average cost for the fuel the appliance uses.

Section 305.8(b) of the Rule requires manufacturers, after filing an initial report, to report certain information annually to the Commission by specified dates for each product type. These reports, which are to assist the Commission in preparing the ranges of comparability, contain the estimated annual energy consumption or energy efficiency ratings for the appliances derived from tests performed pursuant to the DOE test procedures. Because manufacturers regularly add new models to their lines, improve existing models, and drop others, the data base from which the ranges of comparability are calculated is constantly changing. To keep the required information consistent with these changes, under Section 305.10 of the Rule, the Commission will publish new ranges if an analysis of the new information indicates that the upper or lower limits of the ranges have changed by more than 15%. Otherwise, the Commission will publish a statement that the prior ranges remain in effect for the next year.

The annual reports for clothes washers have been received and analyzed by the Commission. The ranges of comparability for clothes washers have not changed by more than 15% from the current ranges for this product category. Therefore, the current ranges for clothes washers, published on May 11, 2000 (65 FR 30351), will remain in effect. Manufacturers must continue to base the disclosures of estimated annual operating cost required at the bottom of the EnergyGuide for clothes washers on the 2000 Representative Average Unit Costs of Energy for electricity (8.03 cents per kilo Watt-hour) and natural gas (68.8 cents per therm) that were published by DOE on February 7, 2000 (65 FR 5860), and by the Commission on April 17, 2000 (65 FR 20352).

For up-to-date tables showing current range and cost information for all covered appliances, see the Commission’s Appliance Labeling Rule web page at http://www.ftc.gov/appliances.

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 305
Advertising, Energy conservation, Household appliances, Labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for Part 305 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 42 U.S.C. 6294.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 02–8859 Filed 4–11–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms
27 CFR Part 20
[T.D. ATF—476; Notice No. 923]
RIN 1512–AB57
Distribution and Use of Denatured Alcohol and Rum (2000R–291P)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule (Treasury Decision).

SUMMARY: This final rule amends the regulations in part 20 of title 27 of the Code of Federal Regulations by eliminating the requirement for users and dealers of specially denatured spirits (SDS) to file a bond. It also liberalizes certain qualification requirements relating to industrial alcohol user permits.

DATES: This rule is effective on June 11, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa M. Gesser, Regulations Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 650 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20226, (202–927–9347) or e-mail at LMGesser@atfHQ.atf.treas.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background on Specially Denatured Spirits
Specially denatured spirits (SDS) are alcohol or rum that have been treated with denaturants to make them unfit for beverage use. SDS include specially denatured alcohol (SDA) and specially denatured rum (SDR). A user purchases SDS to use in a process or in the manufacture of a substance, preparation, or product requiring SDS. SDS have many uses, such as:

• In laboratories as a solvent, for cleansing purposes, or in the preparation of indicator solutions and reagents.
• In the manufacture of such articles as perfumes, proprietary solvents, tobacco flavors, lotions, and sprays.

• In conversion processes to produce other substances, such as vinegar or ethyl acetate.

An industrial alcohol user permit is needed to procure, use, recover, or deal in SDS. To obtain an industrial alcohol user permit, certain registration requirements must be met. These requirements may include the submission of a detailed application with supporting data, the payment of special (occupational) tax (SOT), and the acquisition of bond coverage. Once such registration requirements are met, the applicant is issued an industrial alcohol user permit and may commence conducting any of the uses authorized under the law and regulations for industrial alcohol user permittees. The permittee is allowed to purchase and acquire alcohol from a bonded dealer or a registered distilled spirits plants (DSP) free of the excise tax payments normally required by the DSP proprietor. For this reason, SDS authorized uses are limited or restricted under the law. Any permittee who uses SDS in a manner that violates the laws and regulations becomes liable for the tax and other provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, 26 U.S.C. 5001(a)(5).

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
On July 17, 2001, ATF published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) Notice No. 923, to solicit public comment on proposed regulations that would eliminate the requirement for users or dealers of SDS to file a bond. ATF also proposed to liberalize certain qualification requirements relating to industrial alcohol user permits. The public was invited to submit written comments on this notice for a period of sixty (60) days ending September 17, 2001.

Comments on the NPRM
We received two comments as a result of Notice No. 923. The first was from an industry member who agreed with our proposal but suggested that in addition to eliminating the requirement for users of SDS to file a bond, we should eliminate the same requirement for dealers of SDS. While the original notice may not be clear, the intent of our suggestion is to eliminate the bond requirement for both users and dealers. We have rewritten our background material to make that clarification.

We received a second comment after the close of the comment period from the Surety Association of America opposing our proposal. The Association indicated that the bond requirement should not be eliminated because it provides two valuable services to ATF:

2 Reports for clothes washers are due March 1.
and financial burdens on industrial
substantially reduced administrative
under subpart E will result in
elimination of the bond requirement
Additionally, ATF believes that
requirements, submit an Industrial
States, political subdivisions, and the
Specifically, applicants (other than
reflects that expansion today.
regulations.
75 percent under the adopted
filing a surety bond increased from 43
users of SDS who were exempt from
the bond requirement for users and
dealers of SDS has been adopted in this
final rule.
Bonds and Consents of Surety
Section 5272 of the Internal Revenue
Code of 1986 provides that bond
coverage may be required as a part of
the industrial alcohol user permit
qualification process. Prior to 1985, the
regulations required applicants (other
than States, political subdivisions, and
the District of Columbia) who wished to
obtain more than 120 gallons of SDS per
year, to submit an Industrial Alcohol
Bond, ATF Form 5150.25. In 1985, the
SDS regulations were revised and the
exemption from bond coverage was
expanded. See, T.D. ATF–199, (50 FR
9152), published on March 6, 1985.
Under those revisions, the percentage
of users of SDS who were exempt from
filing a surety bond increased from 43
percent, under the prior regulations, to
75 percent under the adopted
regulations.
Subpart E of 27 CFR part 20 still
reflects that expansion today.
Specifically, applicants (other than
States, political subdivisions, and the
District of Columbia) who wish to
obtain more than 5,000 gallons of SDS
per year must, in addition to other
requirements, submit an Industrial
Alcohol Bond, ATF Form 5150.25.
Based on the post-1985 experience in
administering part 20, ATF has
determined that bond coverage should
no longer be required of any applicant
for an industrial alcohol use permit.
Additionally, ATF believes that
elimination of the bond requirement
under subpart E will result in
substantially reduced administrative
and financial burdens on industrial
alcohol permittees. Therefore, ATF is
eliminating the requirement for users
and dealers of SDS to file a bond.
Qualification Requirements
Section 5271 of the Internal Revenue
Code of 1986 requires the submission of
an application before a permit may be
issued to procure, deal in, or use SDS.
Current regulations require the
submission of a detailed application
with supporting data by all applicants.
The appropriate ATF officer is
authorized to waive some of the
application and supporting data
requirements for applicants who are a
State, political subdivisions thereof, or
the District of Columbia, or whose
annual withdrawal and sale or usage of
SDS will not exceed 5,000 proof gallons.
ATF has determined that this waiver
should be available to all applicants
when the appropriate ATF officer
concludes that the revenue is
adequately protected with respect to the
person submitting the application and
that there is no hindrance to the
effective administration of part 20.
Therefore, ATF is amending the
regulations to allow the appropriate
ATF officer to waive detailed
applications with supporting data for all
applicants.
Paperwork Reduction Act
The provisions of the Paperwork
Chapter 35, and its implementing
regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, do not
apply to this rule because there are no
new reporting or recordkeeping
requirements.
Regulatory Flexibility Act
It is hereby certified that these
regulations will not have a significant
economic impact on a substantial
class of small entities. The
regulations will simplify the
qualification process for an industrial
alcohol user permit by eliminating the
requirement to obtain a bond. A copy of
the proposed rule was submitted to the
Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small
Business Administration in accordance
with 26 U.S.C. 7805(f). No comments
were received.
Executive Order 12866
This regulation is not a significant
regulatory action as defined by
Executive Order 12866. Accordingly,
this rule is not subject to the analysis
required by this Executive Order.
Drafting Information
The principal author of this document
is Lisa M. Gesser, Regulations Division,
justifying the waiver no longer exist. In this case, the permittee will furnish the information in respect to the previously waived items, as provided in § 20.56(a)(2).

Par. 7. Revise the second sentence of § 20.58 to read as follows:

§ 20.58 Adoption of documents by a fiduciary.

* * * The fiduciary may adopt the formulas and statements of process of the predecessor. * * *

§ 20.59 [Amended]

Par. 8. Amend § 20.59 as follows:

a. Remove paragraph (b);

b. Redesignate paragraph (c) as paragraph (b); and

c. Redesignate paragraph (d) as paragraph (c).

§ 20.61 [Amended]

Par. 9. Amend § 20.61 by removing the last sentence of the text.

§ 20.62 [Amended]

Par. 10. Amend § 20.62 as follows:

a. Remove the paragraph letter and title designation ‘‘(a) Permit;’’ and

b. Remove paragraph (b).

§ 20.68 [Amended]

Par. 11. Amend § 20.68 as follows:

a. Remove paragraph (b); and

b. Redesignate paragraph (c) as paragraph (b).

Subpart E—[Removed]

Par. 12. Remove and reserve Subpart E—Bonds and Consents of Surety.

Par. 13. Revise paragraph (c) of § 20.175 to read as follows:

§ 20.175 Shipment for account of another dealer.

* * * * *

(a) The dealer who ordered the shipment shall be liable for the tax while the specially denatured spirits are in transit and the person actually shipping the specially denatured spirits shall not be liable.

§ 20.177 [Amended]

Par. 14. Amend paragraph (b) of § 20.177 by removing the word ‘‘bonded’’ in the first sentence.

§ 20.232 [Amended]

Par. 15. Amend § 20.232 as follows:

a. Remove paragraph (b); and

b. Redesignate paragraph (c) as paragraph (b).

§ 20.241 [Amended]

Par. 16. Amend § 20.241 by removing the words ‘‘and filing of a bond are’’ and add, in their place, the word ‘‘is.’’


Bradley A. Buckles,

Director.

Approved: March 11, 2002.

Timothy E. Skud,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary

(Regulatory, Tariff and Trade Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 02–5923 Filed 4–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[NV 021–0049a; FRL–7167–3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Nevada

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving the maintenance plan for the Steptoe Valley Central area in Nevada and granting the request submitted by the State to redesignate this area from nonattainment to attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for sulfur dioxide (SO2). Elsewhere in this Federal Register, we are proposing approval and soliciting written comment on this action; if adverse written comments are received, we will withdraw the direct final rule and address the comments received in a new final rule; otherwise no further rulemaking will occur on this approval action.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective June 11, 2002, without further notice, unless we receive adverse comments by May 13, 2002. If we receive such comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register to notify the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Please address your comments to the EPA contact below. You may inspect and copy the rulemaking docket for this notice at the following location during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Air Division, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the SIP materials are also available for inspection at the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, 333 W. Nye Lane, Carson City, NV 89710.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Valerie Cooper, Grants and Program Integration Office (AIR–8), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901. Telephone: (415) 947–4103. E-mail: Cooper.Valerie@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Throughout this document, ‘‘we,’’ ‘‘us,’’ and ‘‘our’’ refer to EPA.
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I. Summary of Action

We are approving the maintenance plan for the Steptoe Valley—Central SO2 nonattainment area (‘‘Steptoe Valley’’).1 We are also approving the State of Nevada’s request to redesignate the Steptoe Valley area from nonattainment to attainment for the primary SO2 NAAQS.

II. Introduction

A. What National Ambient Air Quality Standards Are Considered in Today’s Rulemaking?

Sulfur dioxide is the pollutant that is the subject of this action. The NAAQS are safety thresholds for certain ambient air pollutants set to protect public health and welfare. SO2 is among the ambient air pollutants for which we have established a health-based standard.

SO2 causes adverse health effects by reducing lung function, increasing respiratory illness, altering the lung’s defenses, and aggravating existing cardiovascular disease. Children, the elderly, and people with asthma are the most vulnerable. SO2 has a variety of

1 For the definition of the Steptoe Valley—Central nonattainment area, see 40 CFR 81.329. The Northern and Southern areas of Steptoe Valley hydrographic area 179 are not nonattainment for the SO2 NAAQS. These areas are designated as ‘‘cannot be classified.’’ Steptoe Valley is a sparsely populated area in White Pine County in the northeastern portion of Nevada.