

PART 165—[CORRECTED]**Correction**

The heading of the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published March 20, 2002, on page 12940 of the **Federal Register**, contained an incorrect regulation identifier number, 2115-AG23. The correct RIN is 2115-AG33. To advise the public of this error, we are publishing this notice of correction.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the Protection of Naval Vessels NPRM published March 20, 2002, FR Doc. 02-6766, [docket number PAC AREA-02-001], is corrected as follows: On page 12940, in the heading, "RIN 2115-AG23" is corrected to read "RIN 2115-AG33".

Dated: April 3, 2002.

S.G. Venckus,

Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law, United States Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 02-8439 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 251-0326b; FRL-7160-9]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) emissions from electric power boilers. We are proposing to approve the local rule to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990.

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 8, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey, CA 93940.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charnjit Bhullar, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 972-3960.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses local rule, MBUAPCD 431. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time, we do not plan to open a second comment period. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: March 1, 2002.

Keith Takata,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 02-8294 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 210-0306b; FRL-7165-3]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions from fuel burning equipment and from boilers, steam generators, process heaters, and from water heaters. We are proposing to approve local rules under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 8, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-

4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revisions and TSDs at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 East Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 947-4118.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the approval of local SCAQMD Rules 1146 and 1146.2. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: March 8, 2002.

Keith Takata,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 02-8292 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 259-0332b; FRL-7158-8]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the South Coast Air Quality

Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns particulate matter (PM-10) emissions from open fires. We are proposing to approve local rules that regulate this emission source under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 8, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revisions and TSD at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 East Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 947-4118.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the approval of the local SCAQMD Rules 208 and 444. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: March 1, 2002.

Keith Takata,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 02-8288 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

40 CFR Part 1603

Rules Implementing the Government in the Sunshine Act

AGENCY: Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board proposes new regulations establishing the agency's procedures for implementing the Government in the Sunshine Act.

DATES: Submit comments on or before May 8, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this proposed rule to Christopher Kirkpatrick, Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board, 2175 K Street, NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20037.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Kirkpatrick, (202) 261-7600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board ("CSB" or "Board"), as an agency headed by a collegial body composed of five members appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate, is subject to the Government in the Sunshine Act ("Sunshine Act" or "Act"), 5 U.S.C. 552b. The Sunshine Act establishes standards for publicizing and permitting access to agency meetings, and for closing meetings to the public under certain conditions. The Act requires agencies to promulgate regulations to implement the statute's requirements.

This proposed rule is designed to implement the requirements of the Sunshine Act. This proposed rule mirrors the Sunshine Act regulations of many other agencies, most specifically, those of the National Transportation Safety Board (49 CFR part 804) and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (10 CFR part 1704).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Board, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b), has reviewed this proposed regulation and certifies that it will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This proposed rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and it will not

significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Public Law 104-4, 109 Stat. 48.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 1603

Sunshine Act.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board proposes to add a new 40 CFR part 1603 to read as follows:

PART 1603—RULES IMPLEMENTING THE GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE ACT

Sec.

- 1603.1 Applicability.
 - 1603.2 Policy.
 - 1603.3 Definitions.
 - 1603.4 Open meetings requirement.
 - 1603.5 Assurance of compliance.
 - 1603.6 Business requiring a meeting.
 - 1603.7 Grounds on which meetings may be closed or information may be withheld.
 - 1603.8 Procedures for closing meetings, or withholding information, and requests by affected persons to close a meeting.
 - 1603.9 Procedures for public announcement of meetings.
 - 1603.10 Changes following public announcement.
 - 1603.11 Transcripts, recordings, or minutes of closed meetings.
 - 1603.12 Availability of transcripts, recordings, and minutes, and applicable fees.
 - 1603.13 Report to Congress.
 - 1603.14 Severability.
- Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552b; 42 U.S.C. 7412(r)(6)(N).

§ 1603.1 Applicability.

(a) This part implements the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b. These procedures apply to meetings, as defined in this part, of the Members of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board ("CSB" or "Board").

(b) This part does not affect the procedures by which CSB records are made available to the public, which continue to be governed by part 1601 of this chapter pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, except that the exemptions set forth in § 1603.7 shall govern in the case of any requests made for the transcripts, recordings, and minutes described in § 1603.11.

§ 1603.2 Policy.

It is the policy of the CSB to provide the public with the fullest practicable information regarding the decisionmaking processes of the Board, while protecting the rights of individuals and the ability of the Board to discharge its statutory functions and responsibilities. The public is invited to