

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections; as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before May 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6608, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to: Michael Mann, Chief, Current Account Services Branch, Room 8018, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; phone: (202) 606-9573; and fax: (202) 606-5314.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Bureau of Economic Analysis is responsible for the computation and publication of the U.S. balance of payments accounts. The information collected in this survey is an integral part of the "private remittances" portion of the U.S. balance of payments accounts. The balance of payments accounts, which are published quarterly in the Bureau's monthly publication, the *Survey of Current Business*, are one of the major statistical products of BEA. The accounts provide a statistic summary of U.S. international transactions. They are used by government and private organizations for national and international policy formulation, and analytical studies. Without the information collected in this survey, an integral component of the private remittances account would be omitted. No other Government agency collects comprehensive annual data on private unilateral transfers of funds to foreign countries.

The survey requests information from U.S. religious, charitable, educational, scientific, and similar organizations on the transfer of cash grants to foreign countries and their expenditures in foreign countries. Information is collected on a quarterly basis from institutions transferring \$1 million or more each year, and annually for all others. Nonprofit organizations with

total remittance of less than \$25,000 annually are exempt from reporting.

II. Method of Collection

Information is obtained from U.S. religious, charitable, educational, scientific, and similar organizations who voluntarily agree to provide data regarding transfers of cash grants to foreign countries and their expenditures in foreign countries. Submission of the completed report form, or computer printouts in the format of the report form, are the most expedient and economical methods of reporting the information. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, BEA is implementing the ASTAR system which allows organizations to file electronically via the Internet.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0608-0002.

Form Number: BE-40.

Type of Review: Renewal—regular submission.

Affected Public: U.S. religious, charitable, educational, scientific, and similar organizations which transfer cash grants to foreign countries and their expenditures in foreign countries.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,336.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.5 hours per annual reporter. 6.0 hours per quarterly reporter.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,273 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The estimated annual cost to the government is \$17,600. The estimated annual cost to the public is \$98,190 based on total number of hours estimated as the reporting burden and as estimated hourly cost of \$30.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Bretton Woods Agreement Act, Section 8, and E.O. 10033, as amended.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency; including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 26, 2002.

Madeleine Clayton,

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-7542 Filed 3-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-EA-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-853]

Bulk Aspirin from the People's Republic of China; Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on bulk aspirin from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review is July 6, 2000 through June 30, 2001. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

DATES: March 29, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blanche Ziv or Cole Kyle in Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; at telephone (202) 482-4207 and 482-1503, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and all citations to the regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day

of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Background

On August 20, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on bulk aspirin from the PRC, covering the period July 6, 2000 through June 30, 2001 (66 FR 43570). The preliminary results for the antidumping duty administrative review of bulk aspirin from the PRC are currently due no later than April 2, 2002.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

In order to consider various issues (e.g., factor values, changed circumstances review request) raised by the interested parties in this review, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (i.e., by April 2, 2002). Therefore, the Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than July 31, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 25, 2002

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 02-7654 Filed 3-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-825]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Germany: Amended Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amended Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 28, 2001.

SUMMARY: On July 27, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published an amended final determination of its antidumping duty investigation of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Germany, 64 FR 40557 (July 27, 1999). Parties to the proceedings subsequently challenged certain aspects of our final determination before the United States Court of International Trade (the Court). This litigation resulted in two remand orders by the Court, to which the Department timely responded.

On October 19, 2001, the Court affirmed the redetermination made by the Department pursuant to the Court's second remand of the final determination of sales at less than fair value of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. See *Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and Krupp Hoesch Steel Products, Inc. v. United States*, Court No. 99-08-0050, Slip Op. 01-123 (CIT October 19, 2001). As there is now a final and conclusive court decision with respect to litigation for *Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and Krupp Hoesch Steel Products, Inc. v. United States*, Court No. 99-08-0050, we are hereby amending our final determination of sales at less than fair value and will instruct U.S. Customs of the resultant changes in the relevant cash deposit instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Tran or Robert James at (202) 482-1121, or (202) 482-0649, respectively, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Below is a summary of the litigation for the April 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998 final determination for which the Court has issued final and conclusive decisions.

On July 27, 1999, the Department published in the *Federal Register* a notice of amended final determination of sales at less than fair value and antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in*

Coils From Germany, 64 FR 40557 (July 27, 1999) (*Amended Final Determination*).

Following publication of the amended final determination, Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH (KTN) and Krupp Hoesch Steel Products, Inc. (KHSP) filed a lawsuit with the Court challenging certain aspects of the Department's findings in the antidumping investigation of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany.

On July 31, 2000, the Court remanded eight issues from the *Amended Final Determination*, ordering the Department to either alter its approach or to provide additional explanation or adduce substantial evidence that its original approach was in accordance with law and otherwise supported by substantial evidence. See *Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and Krupp Hoesch Steel Products, Inc. v. United States*, Court No. 99-08-0050, Slip Op. 00-89 (CIT 2000) (*Krupp I*).

On October 30, 2000 the Department issued its *Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany (Remand Determination I)* addressing the concerns of the Court as stated in *Krupp I*.

On July 9, 2001 the Court issued a second order remanding the Department's *Remand Determination I*. In *Krupp Thyssen Nirosta GmbH and Krupp Hoesch Steel Products, Inc. v. United States*, Court No. 99-08-0050, Slip Op. 01-84 (CIT 2001) (*Krupp II*), the Court sustained our first redetermination as to facts available for certain downstream sales, our rejection of an affiliated U.S. reseller's database, and our application of facts available with respect to allocating sales of unidentified origin. See *Krupp II*. However, the Court directed the Department: i) to use facts available for the purpose of calculating U.S. Reseller's margin rate and any other calculation predicated on U.S. Reseller's cost and sales data; and, ii) to calculate facts available for the reseller in a way that uses sales prices net of movement and selling expenses.

On September 7, 2001 the Department issued its Draft Results of Redetermination to the plaintiffs and defendant-intervenors to comment. Neither party submitted comments on the Department's Draft Results of Redetermination. Pursuant to *Krupp II* the Department filed its redetermination on remand on September 14, 2001. The Department's Results of Redetermination were identical to the Draft Results of Redetermination.

On October 19, 2001, the Court affirmed the Department's remand