of visitor use and resources management within the National Monument. This General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement are being prepared in response to the requirements of the National Parks and Recreation Act of 1978, Public Law 95–625, and in accord with Director’s Order Number 2, the planning guidance for National Park Service units that became effective May 27, 1998. The National Park Service will conduct public scoping meetings in the local area to receive input from interested parties on issues, concerns, and suggestions pertinent to the management of Fort Matanzas. Suggestions and ideas for managing the cultural and natural resources and visitor experiences at Fort Matanzas are encouraged. The comment period for each of these meetings will be announced at the meetings and will be published on the Fort Matanzas General Management Plan web site at http://www.nps.gov/foma.

DATES: Locations, dates and times of public scoping meetings will be published in local newspapers and may also be obtained by contacting the National Park Service Southeast Regional Office, Division of Planning and Compliance. This information will also be published on the General Management Plan web site for Fort Matanzas.

ADDRESSES: Scoping suggestions should be submitted to the following address to ensure adequate consideration by the Service; Superintendent, Castillo de San Marcos National Monument, 1 South Castillo Drive, St. Augustine, Florida, 32084. Telephone 904–829–6506, ext. 221.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent, Castillo de San Marcos National Monument, 1 South Castillo Drive, St. Augustine, Florida, 32084. Telephone 904–829–6506, ext. 221.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Draft and Final General Management Plan Amendment and Environmental Impact Statement will be made available to all known interested parties and appropriate agencies. Full public participation by federal, state, and local agencies as well as other concerned organizations and private citizens is invited throughout the preparation process of this document. Due to public disclosure requirements, the National Park Service, if requested, is required to make the names and addresses of those who submit written comments public. Anonymous comments will not be considered. However, individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and addresses from the public record. If you wish to withhold your name and/or address, you must state that request prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

The responsible official for the Environmental Impact Statement is Jerry Belson, Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 100 Alabama Street SW, 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.


W. Thomas Brown, Regional Director, Southeast Region.

Editorial note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register, March 22, 2002.

[FR Doc. 02–7377 Filed 3–27–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request renewed authority for three collections of information: 30 CFR 872, Abandoned mine reclamation funds; 30 CFR 955, Certification of Blasters in Federal program States and on Indian lands; and (3) 30 CFR part 705 and the Form OSM–23, Restriction on financial interests of State employees. OSM will request a 3-year term of approval for each information collection activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) The need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; (2) the accuracy of the agency’s burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information. A summary of the public comments will accompany OSM’s submission of the information collection request to OMB.

The following information is provided for the information collection: (1) Title of the information collection; (2) OMB control number; (3) summary of the information collection activity; and (4) frequency of collection, description of the respondents, estimated total annual responses, and the total annual reporting and recordkeeping burden for the collection of information.

Title: Abandoned mine reclamation funds, 30 CFR part 872.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0054.

Summary: 30 CFR part 872 establishes a procedure whereby States and Indian tribes submit written statements announcing the State/Tribe’s decision not to submit reclamation plans, and therefore, will not be granted AML funds.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: State and Tribal abandoned mine land reclamation agencies.

Total Annual Responses: 1.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 1.
Determination of No Violation of the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Individuals intent of being certified as blasters in Federal program States and on Indian lands.

Restrictions on financial interests of State employees, 30 CFR 705.

Summarized: Respondents supply information on employment and financial interests. The purpose of the collection is to ensure compliance with section 517(g) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, which places an absolute prohibition on having a direct or indirect financial interest in underground or surface coal mining operations.

Bureau Form Number: OSM–74.
Frequency of Collection: On occasion.
Description of Respondents: Individuals intent of being certified as blasters in Federal program States and on Indian lands.
Total Annual Responses: 33.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 57.

Title: Restrictions on financial interests of State employees, 30 CFR 705.

Summary: Respondents supply information on employment and financial interests. The purpose of the collection is to ensure compliance with section 517(g) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, which places an absolute prohibition on having a direct or indirect financial interest in underground or surface coal mining operations.

Bureau Form Number: OSM–23.
Frequency of Collection: Entrance on duty and annually.
Description of Respondents: Any State regulatory authority employee or member of advisory boards or commissions established in accordance with State law or regulation to represent multiple interests who performs any duty under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act. Total Annual Responses: 2,909.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 974.

Dated: March 5, 2002.

Richard G. Bryson, Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.
[FR Doc. 02–7387 Filed 3–27–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–443]

In the Matter of Certain Flooring Products; Notice of Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the above-referenced investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David I. Wilson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server, http://www.usitc.gov.

Copies of the public version of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission ordered the institution of this investigation on December 27, 2000, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Alloc, Inc., Racine, Wisconsin; Berry Finance N.V., Oostrozebeke, Belgium; and Vålinge Aluminium AB, Viken, Sweden (collectively “complainants”), 66 FR 1155 (2001). The notice of investigation was published in the Federal Register on January 5, 2001, Id. The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 in the importation, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain flooring products by reason of infringement of claims 1–3, 5–6, 8–12, 14–15, 17–36, and 38–41 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,860,267 (“the ’267 patent”) and claims 1–14 of U.S. Letters Patent 6,023,907 (“the ’907 patent”). Id. The Commission named seven respondents: Unilin Decor N.V., Wielisbeke, Belgium; BHK of America, Inc., Central Valley, NY; Meister-Leisent Schulte GmbH, Rüthen, Germany (collectively, Unilin); Pergo, Inc., Raleigh, NC (“Pergo”); Akzenta Paneele + Profile GmbH, Kaisersesch, Germany (“Akzenta”); Tarkett, Inc., Whitehall, PA; and Roysol, Saint-Florentin, France (“Roysol”).

On March 5, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (ALJ Order No. 8) granting complainants’ motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add allegations of infringement of claims 1, 8, 13–14, 21, 26–27, 34, 39–41, and 48 of U.S. Letters Patent 6,182,410 (“the ’410 patent”). On July 10, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (ALJ Order No. 26) granting complainants’ motion for summary determination on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. Those IDs were not reviewed by the Commission. An evidentiary hearing was held from July 26, 2001, through August 1, 2001. The ALJ heard closing arguments on October 16, 2001. On October 19, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (ALJ Order No. 30) granting complainants’ unopposed motion to terminate the investigation with respect to claims 1–3, 5–6, 8–12, 14–15, 17–18, 20–22, 24–36, 38, and 40–41 of the ’267 patent; claims 4–14 of the ’907 patent; and claims 8, 13–14, 21, 27, 34, and 40 of the ’410 patent. On October 25, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (ALJ Order No. 31) terminating the investigation as to respondent Tarkett, Inc. Those IDs were not reviewed by the Commission.

The only asserted claims remaining in the investigation are claims 19, 23, and 39 of the ’267 patent, claims 1–3 of the ’907 patent, and claims 1, 26, 39, 41, and 48 of the ’410 patent.

The ALJ issued his final ID on November 2, 2001, concluding that there was no violation of section 337, based on the following findings: (a) Complainants have not established that any of the asserted claims are infringed by any of the respondents; (b) respondents have failed to establish that the asserted claims of each of the ’267, ’907, and ’410 patents are not valid; (c) no domestic industry exists that exploits any of the ’267, ’907, and ’410 patents; and (d) it has not been established that complainants misused any of the patents in issue. The ALJ also made recommendations regarding remedy and bonding in the event the Commission concludes there is a violation of section 337. On November 15, 2001, complainants and the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) petitioned for review of the ID. On November 23, 2001, respondents Unilin, Pergo, Roysol, and Akzenta, and complainants filed responses to the petitions for review. On December 20, 2001, the Commission determined to review: (1) The ID’s construction of the asserted claims of the ’410 patent; (2) the ID’s construction of the asserted claims of the ’267 and ’907 patents, except not to review the ID’s construction of those claims apart from 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 6; (3) the ID’s infringement conclusions with respect to the ’410, ’267, and ’907 patents, except not to review the ID’s conclusions that (a) the asserted claims of the ’267 and ’907 patents are not infringed when those claims are construed apart from 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 6 and (b) complainants have not established that there are no substantial noninfringing uses for the accused...