

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton and Man-Made Fiber and Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in India

March 22, 2002.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 28, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ross Arnold, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927-5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at <http://www.customs.gov>. For information on embargoes and quota reopenings, refer to the Office of Textiles and Apparel website at <http://otexa.ita.doc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being reduced for carryforward used.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the

**CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 66 FR 65178, published on December 18, 2001). Also see 66 FR 59577, published on November 29, 2001.

**James C. Leonard III,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

#### Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

March 22, 2002.

Commissioner of Customs,  
*Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229*

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on November 23, 2001, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in India and exported

during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 2002 and extends through December 31, 2002

Effective on March 28, 2002, you are directed to reduce the current limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit
Levels in Group I	
334/634 .....	190,231 dozen.
338/339 .....	4,729,459 dozen.

<sup>1</sup>The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2001

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

James C. Leonard III,  
*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 02-7331 Filed 3-26-02 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Request of the National Futures Association for Approval of Interpretive Notice to NFA Compliance Rule 2-9: Supervision of the Use of Automated Order Routing Systems

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "Commission" or "CFTC") has determined, pursuant to section 17(j) of the Commodity Exchange Act (the "Act"), to review the National Futures Association's ("NFA's") proposed Interpretive Notice of (the "Interpretive Notice") to its Compliance Rule 2-9 regarding supervision of the use of automated order routing systems ("AORSs") that route orders through futures commission merchants ("FCMs"). The Interpretive Notice would require that NFA members adopt and enforce written procedures in the areas of security, capacity, and credit and risk management controls in connection with AORSs, but it provides flexibility for members to design procedures tailored to their own circumstances. Because NFA's policy is to set standards rather than to require specific technology, other procedures than those described in the Interpretive Notice may comply with the general standards for supervisory

responsibilities imposed by NFA Compliance Rule 2-9. The proposed Interpretive Notice would become effective upon approval by the Commission. Therefore, the Commission has determined to provide an opportunity for public comment prior to its consideration of the Interpretive Notice.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by April 26, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the proposed rules may be sent to Jean A. Webb, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581. In addition, comments may be sent by facsimile transmission to facsimile number (202) 418-5521, or by electronic mail to [secretary@cftc.gov](mailto:secretary@cftc.gov). Reference should be made to "NFA Interpretive Notice Regarding Supervision of Automated Order Routing Systems."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lawrence B. Patent, Associate Chief Counsel, or Christopher W. Cummings, Special Counsel, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581, telephone number: (202) 418-5450, facsimile number: (202) 418-5536, electronic mail: [lpatent@cftc.gov](mailto:lpatent@cftc.gov), or [ccummings@cftc.gov](mailto:ccummings@cftc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

By letter dated March 1, 2002, NFA submitted to the Commission for its approval, pursuant to section 17(j) of the Act, NFA's proposed Interpretive Notice to its Compliance Rule 2-9 regarding supervision of the use of AORSs that route orders through FCMs. NFA's submission indicates that the Interpretive Notice would become effective upon approval by the Commission. NFA Compliance Rule 2-9 requires, in relevant part, that "(e)ach Member shall diligently supervise its employees and agents in the conduct of their commodity futures activities for or on behalf of the Member. Each Associate who has supervisory duties shall diligently exercise such duties in the conduct of that Associate's commodity futures activities on behalf of the Member." NFA believes that supervisory standards do not change with the medium used, but that the manner in which those standards are applied may be affected by technology. In order to fulfill their supervisory obligations, NFA members using AORSs must adopt and enforce written procedures to examine the security,

capacity and credit and risk management controls provided by the firm's AORSs.

NFA notes that the proposed Interpretive Notice applies to AORSs that are within an NFA member's control (including those provided by an application service provider or an independent software vendor). While a member would not be responsible for an AORS chosen by the customer and not under the member's control, the member would be required to adopt procedures that could reasonably be expected to address the trading, clearing and other risks arising from its customer relationship.

## II. Description of the Interpretive Release

The proposed Interpretive Notice would require that NFA members adopt and enforce written procedures in three general areas: (1) Security procedures to protect the reliability and confidentiality of customer orders and account information; (2) capacity procedures to maintain adequate personnel and facilities for timely and efficient delivery of orders and reporting of executions, as well as for timely handling of customer complaints; and (3) credit and risk management controls to prevent customers from entering trades that could create undue financial risks for the NFA member or its other customers.

Without specifying the manner in which they are to be implemented, the proposed Interpretive Notice describes a set of essential functions under each general heading and gives non-exclusive examples to illustrate. The essential functions that are listed under security procedures include: (1) Authentication of the user; (2) encryption or equivalent protections; (3) firewalls or equivalent protections; (4) means for customers to give notice that a person is no longer authorized; (5) periodic testing; and (6) administrative oversight and supervision. The essential functions that are listed under capacity procedures include: (1) Regular evaluation of the system's capacity (with increases as needed); (2) monitoring of system capacity and performance; (3) follow-up on customer access complaints; (4) disaster recovery and redundancies; (5) prompt notice to customers of operational difficulties; and (6) advance disclosure of factors that could stress the system. The essential functions that are listed under credit and risk management controls are: (1) Pre-execution controls (to be imposed when appropriate); (2) post-execution controls and trade monitoring; (3) extra precautions for direct-access systems;

and (4) review of AORS controls in conjunction with the member's regular credit and risk control procedures.

NFA has also revised the required annual self-examination to include the WebTrust<sup>SM/TM</sup> Self-Assessment Questionnaire, which was developed by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants. WebTrust<sup>SM/TM</sup> states that it is "for use by electronic commerce service providers to document their business practices/transaction integrity disclosures, policies, procedures and monitoring."

As noted earlier, the proposed Interpretive Release would become effective upon approval by the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission seeks comments on the proposed Interpretive Notice to NFA Compliance Rule 2-9 regarding supervision of the use of AORSs.

Copies of the proposed Interpretive Notice will be available for inspection at the Office of the Secretariat, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581. Copies also may be obtained through the Office of the Secretariat at the above address by telephoning (202) 418-5100.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 21, 2002, by the Commission.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 02-7345 Filed 3-26-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-M**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0005]

#### **Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Standard Form 255, Architect-Engineer and Related Services Questionnaire for Specific Project**

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office

of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Standard Form (SF) 255; Architect-Engineer and Related Services Questionnaire for Specific Project. A request for public comments was published in the **Federal Register** at 67 FR 6011 on February 8, 2002. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before April 26, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVP), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0005, Architect-Engineer and Related Services Questionnaire for Specific Project (SF 255), in all correspondence.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cecelia Davis, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 219-0202.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **A. Purpose**

Standard Form 255 is used by all Executive agencies to obtain information from architect-engineer (A-E) firms interested in a particular project. The information on the form is reviewed by a selection panel composed of professional people and assists the panel in selecting the most qualified A-E firm to perform the specific project. The form is designed to provide a uniform method for A-E firms to submit information on experience, personnel, capabilities of the A-E firm to perform, along with information on the consultants they expect to collaborate with on the specific project.