

its non-ECN floor operation.<sup>7</sup> In addition, an ECN's transactions as a floor broker would be subject to the applicable SCCP fee as would any ECN's specialist trades. Even if the ECN is acting as a floor broker or specialist with respect to some trades, those trades for which it is not acting as a floor broker or specialist, but rather as an ECN, would be subject only to the monthly and not other transaction charges.

The proposed rule change extends SCCP's existing fee schedule for ECNs for an additional one year period in order to have the opportunity to fully review and evaluate the overall structure of the ECN program, including whether to impose volume threshold requirements.

SCCP believes that its current ECN fee schedule provides competitive fees with appropriate incentives thus proving a reasonable method to attract large order flow providers such as ECNs to Phlx and SCCP. Additional order flow should enhance liquidity and improve Phlx's, and therefore SCCP's, competitive position in equity trading and clearing.

SCCP believes that its proposal to extend its current pilot program for one year, thereby continuing to implement the existing SCCP fee waivers described above for ECNs, is consistent with section 17A(b)(3)(D) of the Act<sup>8</sup> because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges. SCCP believes that structuring this fee for ECNs is appropriate, as ECNs are unique in their role as order flow providers to Phlx. Specifically, SCCP points out that ECNs operate a unique electronic agency business similar to a securities exchange as opposed to directly executing orders for their own customers as principal or agent.

#### *(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

SCCP does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

#### *(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were either solicited or received.

### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the foregoing rule change establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by SCCP, it has

become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>9</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder.<sup>10</sup> At any time within sixty days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at SCCP. All submissions should refer to the File No. SR-SCCP-2002-02 and should be submitted by April 5, 2002.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>11</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-6261 Filed 3-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

---

### **SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

#### **Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review**

**AGENCY:** Small Business Administration.  
**ACTION:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and

recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before April 15, 2002. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

**COPIES:** Request for clearance (OMB 83-1), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments concerning this notice to: Agency Clearance Officer, Jacqueline White, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW., 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20416; and OMB Reviewer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline White, Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 205-7044.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Voluntary Customer Surveys in accordance with E.O. 12862.

*No:* N/A.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Description of Respondents:* SBA Customers.

*Responses:* 33,115.

*Annual Burden:* 2,760.

**Jacqueline White,**

*Chief, Administrative Information Branch.*

[FR Doc. 02-6187 Filed 3-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8025-01-P**

---

### **SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

#### **Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review**

**AGENCY:** Small Business Administration.  
**ACTION:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before April 15, 2002. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

<sup>7</sup> For example, an ECN acting as a specialist would be subject to the trade recording fee for specialist trades matching with PACE trades.

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(D).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).