

instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-5059 Filed 3-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-94-000]

#### El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 26, 2002.

Take notice that on February 21, 2002, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP02-94-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211(b)) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point located in Pinal County Arizona, under El Paso's blanket certificates issued in Docket Nos. CP82-435-000 and CP88-433-000 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request.

Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "Rims" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

El Paso states that the new delivery point will permit the interruptible transportation and delivery of natural gas for Abbott Laboratories L.L.C. (Abbott Labs). Abbott Labs, it is said, utilizes natural gas to fuel boilers in its manufacturing and processing plant located in Pinal County, Arizona. Abbott Labs, it is further said, has requested natural gas service directly from El Paso for its manufacturing and processing plant which is currently served by Southwest Gas Corporation.

El Paso asserts that El Paso's environmental analysis supports the conclusion that the construction and operation of the proposed delivery point will not be a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment.

El Paso states that the construction and operation of the Abbott Labs delivery point is not prohibited by El Paso's existing Tariff. El Paso states further that the estimated cost of the

proposed facilities is \$195,150 and that Abbott Labs has agreed to reimburse El Paso for the cost of the construction.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Robert T. Tomlinson, Director, Regulatory Affairs Department, El Paso Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80904, phone: (719) 520-3788.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and, pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-filing" link.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-5055 Filed 3-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PR01-6-002]

#### Enogex Inc.; Notice of Compliance Filing

February 26, 2002.

Take notice that on February 13, 2002, Enogex Inc. (Enogex) tendered for filing a copy of its fuel percentage calculation for 2002.

Enogex states that the purpose of its filing is to comply with the settlement in Docket Nos. PR01-6-000 and PR01-6-001, approved by the Commission by a letter order dated January 30, 2002, which requires Enogex to file its fuel percentage for 2002 within 30 days of the order accepting the settlement.

Enogex further states that it has served copies of this filing upon all parties in Docket No. PR01-6-000.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before March 6, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-5058 Filed 3-1-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-60-000]

#### Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Complainant, v. Sellers of Long Term Contracts to the California Department of Water Resources, Respondents; Notice of Complaint

February 25, 2002.

Take notice that on February 25, 2002, the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (Complainant) submitted a complaint against specified sellers of long term contracts to the California Department of Water Resources (Respondents) alleging that the prices, terms, and conditions of such contracts are unjust and unreasonable and, to the extent applicable, not in the public interest. Complainant alleges that Respondents obtained the prices, terms, and conditions in the contracts through the exercise of market power, in violation of the Federal Power Act, and that Respondents' actions are causing injury to the citizens and ratepayers of California on whose behalf the CPUC is statutorily entitled to act.

Copies of this filing were served upon Respondents and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal

Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before March 18, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before March 18, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-5053 Filed 3-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC02-52-000, et al.]

#### Florida Power & Light Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

February 26, 2002.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission. Any comments should be submitted in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 1. Florida Power & Light Company, Tampa Electric Company

[Docket No. EC02-52-000]

Take notice that on February 22, 2002, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) and Tampa Electric Company (TECO) tendered for filing an application requesting all necessary authorizations under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for a transfer from FPL to TECO of a 13.55 mile long transmission line located in Hillsborough County, Florida.

*Comment Date:* March 15, 2002

#### 2. B.L. England Power LLC

[Docket No. EG02-80-000]

Take notice that on February 22, 2002, B.L. England Power LLC (BL England) supplemented its application in the above-referenced docket by (i) submitting the order issued on February 20, 2002 by the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities under section 32(c) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 finding that allowing the BL England facility to be an eligible facility is in the public interest; and (ii) clarifying its statement regarding other leases associated with the facility.

*Comment Date:* March 19, 2002

#### 3. Deepwater Power LLC

[Docket No. EG02-81-000]

Take notice that on February 22, 2002, Deepwater Power LLC (Deepwater) supplemented its application in the above-referenced docket by (i) submitting the order issued on February 20, 2002 by the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities under section 32(c) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 finding that allowing the Deepwater facility to be an eligible facility is in the public interest; and (ii) clarifying its statement regarding other leases associated with the facility.

*Comment Date:* March 19, 2002

#### 4. Keystone Power LLC

[Docket No. EG02-82-000]

Take notice that on February 22, 2002, Keystone Power LLC (Keystone) supplemented its application in the above-referenced docket by (i) submitting the order issued on February 20, 2002 by the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities under section 32(c) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 with respect to Keystone's purchase of the Atlantic City Electric Company interest in the Keystone facility; and (ii) clarifying its statement regarding other leases associated with the facility.

*Comment Date:* March 19, 2002

#### 5. Conemaugh Power LLC

[Docket No. EG02-83-000]

Take notice that on February 22, 2002, Conemaugh Power LLC (Conemaugh) supplemented its application in the above-referenced docket by (i) submitting the order issued on February 20, 2002 by the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities under section 32(c) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 with respect to Conemaugh's purchase of the Atlantic City Electric Company interest in the Conemaugh facility; and (ii) clarifying its statement regarding other leases associated with the facility.

*Comment Date:* March 19, 2002

#### 6. Southeast Chicago Energy Project, LLC

[Docket No. EG02-97-000]

Take notice that on February 21, 2002, Southeast Chicago Energy Project, LLC (Applicant) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), an application for determination of Exempt Wholesale Generator (EWG) status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

The Applicant states that it will own and sell electric energy from six combustion turbines with a combined generating capacity of 350 MW and certain limited interconnection facilities located in Calumet, Illinois.

*Comment Date:* March 19, 2002

#### 7. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER00-1608-001 and ER01-2166-001]

Take notice that on February 19, 2002, Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Savannah Electric and Power Company (collectively Southern Companies) made an informational filing regarding their intent to recover from Tenaska Alabama Partners, LP (Tenaska), pursuant to an interconnection agreement between Tenaska and Southern Companies, and from Duke Energy North American LLC (Duke), pursuant to an interconnection agreement between DENA and Southern Companies, Southern Companies' actually incurred costs associated with line outages that were necessary for Tenaska and DENA to interconnect certain of their generating facilities to Southern Companies' transmission system. In addition, Southern Companies filed supporting informational materials regarding their policies and procedures for assigning cost responsibility to interconnection customers for expenses related to transmission line outage.

*Comment Date:* March 12, 2002

#### 8. San Diego Gas & Electric Company

[Docket No. ER02-635-001]

Take notice that on February 21, 2002, San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) tendered for filing an errata related to its change in rates for the Transmission Revenue Balancing Account Adjustment and the Transmission Access Charge Balancing Account Adjustment set forth in its Transmission Owner Tariff (TO Tariff). This change was filed December 28, 2001 in Docket No. ER02-635-000. The effect of this rate change is to