

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-40,549]

**D8 Inc., Potlatch, ID; Notice of
Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 14, 2002, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at D8 Inc., Potlatch, Idaho.

The company official submitting the petition has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 19th day of February, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-4731 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-39,839]

**Honeywell, Inc. Advanced Circuits
Division, Roseville, MN; Notice of
Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 20, 2001 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Honeywell International, Advanced Circuits Division, Roseville, Minnesota.

The petitioning group of workers is subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued (TA-W-39,281C). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 13th day of February, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-4727 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-40,614]

**Port Townsend Paper Corporation,
Portland, OR; Notice of Termination of
Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 22, 2002, in response to a worker petition which was filed by workers at Port Townsend Paper Corporation in Portland, Oregon.

The petitioning workers have formally withdrawn the petition and consequentially, further investigation in this case would serve no purposes, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 15th day of February, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-4726 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-40,607 and TA-W-40,607A]

**Xerox Corporation, Soho Division,
Small Office/Home Office Division,
Xerox Inkjet Focus Factory,
Canandaigua, NY and Farmington, NY;
Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 22, 2002 in response to a worker petition which was filed by UNITE on behalf of workers at Xerox Corporation, Soho Division, Small Office/Home Office Division, Xerox Inkjet Focus Factory, located in Canandaigua and Farmington, New York.

The petitioning group of workers is subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued (TA-W-40,405). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 14th day of February 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 02-4728 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[NAFTA-04812]

**Cemex Kosmos Cement Co.,
Pittsburgh Plant, Pittsburgh, PA;
Notice of Negative Determination On
Reconsideration**

On December 3, 2001, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for NAFTA-TAA applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of cement at Cemex Kosmos Cement Company, Pittsburgh Plant, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The petitioner claims that jobs at the subject plant were lost after Cemex acquired Southdown Kosmos Cement Company. That is, the petitioner indicated that the acquisition of the subject plant and another Southdown Kosmos facility suddenly changed the subject plant's market area which resulted in the shutdown of the subject plant, due to the Southdown Louisville plant's market area moving North, resulting in the closure of the subject plant and the conversion of that facility to a cement terminal. The petitioner is of the opinion that this led to cheaper Mexican cement and clinker imports to be absorbed in the Southern and Western Market.

Review of the investigation and further contact with the company revealed that Southdown's (Louisville, Kentucky) market area was not reduced by additional movement North into the subject plant's market area.

According to the company, the preponderance in the declines in employment at the Pittsburgh Plant are related to the subject plant being the highest cost with the lowest capacity within Southdown's operations. The Louisville plant completed a large expansion, in which production was increased and the manufacturing cost was lowered. Therefore, with the unexpected slowdown in the economy

and market excess capacity developed within Southdown, the decision was made to discontinue manufacturing operations in Pittsburgh and maximize production at the Louisville Plant and deliver cement into the Pittsburgh market (via the Pittsburgh plant functioning as a terminal).

The company did not import products from Mexico or Canada that are like and directly competitive with what the subject plant produced.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of February, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-4736 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05786]

Flextronics Enclosures Systems, Inc., Kingston, PA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on January 28, 2002, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Flextronics Enclosures Systems, Inc., Kingston, Pennsylvania.

The Petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of February, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-4732 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-05745]

Gold Toe Brands, Inc., Great American Knitting Mills, Bally, PA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on January 18, 2002, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Gold Toe Brands, Inc., Great American Knitting Mills, Inc., Bally, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of February, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-4733 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-005312E]

Rockwell Automation, Department 225, Milwaukee, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called NAFTA-TAA and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2331), an investigation was initiated on September 10, 2001, in response to a petition filed by United Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers (UE), Local 1111, on behalf of workers at Rockwell Automation, Department 255, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Workers produced NEMA disconnects.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect (NAFTA-004283). Consequently, further investigation in this case would

serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 20th day of February, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-4734 Filed 2-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-4778]

Shasta View Produce, Inc., Malin, OR; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated August 24, 2001, the company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on July 16, 2001, and was published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 2001 (66 FR 41053).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The denial of NAFTA-TAA for workers engaged in activities related to the production of potatoes and potato products at Shasta View Produce, Inc., Malin, Oregon was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports of potatoes and potato products from Mexico or Canada, nor did Shasta View Produce, Inc. shift production from Malin, Oregon to Mexico or Canada. Major customers did not import potatoes or potato products from Mexico or Canada during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that Canadian imports of potatoes increased significantly. Although the Department